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1. ABSTRACT

The response of archaea to changes in external NaCl is reviewed and compared to what is known about osmoadaptation and osmoregulation in bacteria and eukaryotes. Cells placed in altered external NaCl exhibit short term and long term responses. The earliest events are likely to be water movement through aquaporin-like channels (efflux if external NaCl has been increased, influx into the cell if the external NaCl has been decreased) and ion movement (e.g., K⁺ moving in the direction opposite to water flow) through channels sensitive to osmotic pressure. A brief discussion of recent structures of homologues of these membrane proteins is presented. Accumulation of organic solutes, either by uptake from the medium or de novo synthesis, is triggered after these initial changes. Archaea have some unique organic solutes (osmolytes) that are not used by other organisms. These as well as other more common solutes have a role in stabilizing macromolecules from denaturation. Many osmolytes are distinguished by their stability in the cell and their lack of strong interactions with cellular components. A cell may respond by accumulating one or more temporary osmolytes, then over time readjust the intracellular solute distribution to what is optimal for cell growth under the new conditions. Coupled with the movement and accumulation of solutes is the induction of stress proteins (e.g., chaperonins) and, in some cases, transcriptional regulation of key enzymes. The response to NaCl stress of Methanococcus thermolithotrophicus is presented as an example of how one particular archaeon responds and adapts to altered osmotic pressure. The detailed response of many other archaea to osmotic stress will be needed in order to identify features (aside from some of the organic osmolytes) unique to the organisms in this kingdom.

2. INTRODUCTION

Cells have evolved in niches covering a wide range of salinities from low ionic strength to nearly saturated NaCl. The osmotic pressure of the growth medium, which is related to the water activity of the solution (and hence the concentration of solutes), is a critical parameter for cell growth. Most plant and bacterial cells maintain an osmotic pressure in the cytoplasm that is higher than that of the surrounding environment (P_{cyto}-P_{ext} >0). This outward-directed pressure, turgor, is necessary for cell division and growth and must be maintained (1). Thus, the ability of an organism to adapt to changes in the external osmotic pressure (osmoadaptation) and the development of mechanisms to achieve this (osmoregulation) are fundamental to its survival of (2-4).

Changes in the environmental osmolarity, most often created by alterations in the external Na⁺ concentration, will initially trigger the flux of water across the cytoplasmic membrane leading to changes in cell
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Figure 1. Schematic of different components of osmotic stress mechanisms (based on what is known about bacteria and eukaryotes) that may be operational in archaea.

volume. This alters the concentrations of intracellular metabolites and can lead to the inhibition of a variety of cellular processes (2, 5); in the case of reduced external Na+, the influx of water can lead to cell lysis. To avoid lysis under low-osmolarity or dehydration under high-osmolarity growth conditions (net flux of water from the cell to the cytoplasm), cells must possess active mechanisms that permit rapid and efficient adaptation to changes in environmental osmolarity. The response to altered external osmotic pressure has several components (figure 1): (i) recognition of osmotic imbalance by an osmosensor, (ii) water flux via aquaporin, (iii) accumulation (or release) of solutes (known as osmolytes) in response to the imposed pressure difference, and (iv) stabilization of macromolecules under the new intracellular conditions (often this can involve chaperonins, heat shock proteins or other mechanisms for ensuring correct folding of proteins).

Cells must possess ‘osmosensors’ located in their membranes that undergo conformational transitions in response to changes in extracellular water activity (for review see (6)). Direct osmosensing could be achieved by a membrane component responding to changes in its interactions with particular solutes, hydration changes, or macromolecular crowding. Alternatively, the membrane-sensor may detect and respond to changes in membrane structure. These conformational changes must then be coupled to a longer time-scale adaptation. In most cells, the solution to increased external osmotic pressure is the accumulation of low molecular weight solutes or ‘osmolytes.’ The solutes, which may be present at very high concentrations, must not inhibit cellular processes, hence are termed “compatible solutes” (7). Solute accumulation upon hyperosmotic shock is a ubiquitous response observed throughout all three kingdoms. The usual response to decreased external osmotic pressure (hypooosmotic shock) is to reduce, either by efflux or catabolism, osmolyte concentrations. Since changes in water activity can also have a profound effect on protein stability and folding, cells also may respond to changes in osmotic pressure with mechanisms to promote correct protein folding. This may involve increasing the levels of chaperonins and other macromolecular complexes that aid in protein refolding.

Archaea, often found in high salt as well as high temperature environments, display many of the same characteristics of bacteria and eukaryotes in their response to osmotic stress. They also synthesize and accumulate several unique solutes for use as osmolytes. The response
to high-osmolarity environments has been studied in detail in bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. However, much less is known about the archaeal stress response. This review will summarize what is known about osmoadaptation and osmoregulation in archaea in the context of how bacteria and eukaryotes respond to salt stress. Biosynthetic pathways of several of the unique osmolytes (notably DIP and alpha-mannosylglycerate) are presented along with structural insights into one of the enzymes in DIP biosynthesis. Detailed studies of *Methanococcus thermolithotrophicus* will be presented to emphasize different aspects of the stress response in archaea. One might ask why should detailed studies of osmoadaptation and osmoregulation be undertaken in archaea? Given the novel nature of some of the organic solutes used by these organisms, their moderately small genomes, and their potential usefulness in generating interesting reagents (either small molecules or heat stable enzymes) or in bioremediation, they represent intriguing targets for investigating stress responses. It has also been proposed that organisms that live and adapt to saline environments can provide insights into extraterrestrial life. Halophilic and halotolerant anaerobic archaea, in particular, may be important models for life on early Mars or for life that might exist today in sub-glacial oceans that are believed to exist on the Jovian moon, Europa (8).

3. WATER EFFLUX AND OSMOSENSORS

An immediate response of cells to osmotic imbalance is for water to rush from the higher activity compartment to the lower activity one (e.g., from low solute concentrations to high solute concentrations) until the solvent activity is the same on both sides of the membrane. Water can cross the cell membrane by diffusing through the lipid bilayer. However, water fluxes have also been shown in mammalian and plant cells to occur through defined channels in cells. A hyperosmotic external environment would dehydrate cells while a hypoosmotic environment would increase the cytoplasmic volume. Large changes in cell volume are not tolerable so that there must be sensors in the cell membrane that detect such pressure differentials either directly or indirectly and cause the accumulation (in the case of hyperosmotic shock) or release (hypoosmotic shock) of solutes to redress the imbalance.

3.1. Water Movement

Aquaporins are a large family of membrane channels involved in osmoregulation. They were first identified in mammalian cells and have now been shown to exist in archaea and bacteria. Aquaporins (AQPs) mediate large water fluxes (while excluding protons) in response to sudden changes in extracellular osmolarity (9). Along with previous lower resolution cryo-EM structures, the x-ray structure of the human AQP1 has been solved ((10); see (11) for comparison of EM and crystal structures), along with the *E. coli* GlpF glycerol channel (12) a specific transporter for glycerol. The human AQP1 structure is shown in figure 2. These structures coupled with molecular dynamics simulations have explored probable paths for solute conductance to explain specificity, particularly for how water but not protons can be translocated through these pores (13). These channels are homotetramers with each monomer contributing a channel. There are six transmembrane helices, conserved loops B and E, with the Asn-Pro-Ala motif that is in contact with the center of the channel. A loop at the extracellular surface is thought to undergo a reversible force-induced conformational change.

The *aqpM* gene in *Methanothermohacter marburgensis* has recently been characterized as an archaeal aquaporin (14). The protein from this gene mediates hypertonic shrinkage; addition of HgCl₂ blocks shrinkage via this protein. The sequence is similar to other known aquaporins with two tandem repeats each containing three membrane-spanning domains and a pore-forming loop with the signature motif Asn-Pro-Ala. Interestingly, amino acids thought to distinguish water-selective channels from glycerol transporters were not conserved in the archaeal protein. Functional studies with a fusion protein, 10-His-AqpM, expressed and purified from *E. coli* and reconstituted into proteoliposomes exhibited elevated osmotic water permeability that was inhibited by HgCl₂. Furthermore, the protein was stable after incubation at 80°C. The stability of this aquaporin
might make it an intriguing subject for structural studies in the future.

3.2. Primary Sensors of Osmotic Stress

In bacteria, initial responses to increased NaCl usually involve activation of transporters to rapidly accumulate osmolytes (for review see (15)). Coupled with this are sensor kinases that enhance transport. The latter may also be involved in increasing biosynthesis of solutes. Little is known of the primary sensors of osmotic stress in archaea, however homologues to channels involved in solute uptake or release in other organisms have been identified and in some cases studied in more depth.

3.2.1. K⁺-pumps and K⁺ channels

In most organisms, changes in intracellular K⁺ are among the first responses to altered external NaCl. Most cells also maintain [K⁺(in)] >> [K⁺(out)]. If the external NaCl is increased, increasing the intracellular K⁺ will require energy. Thus, it is assumed that the plasma membrane Na,K-ATPase or a similar active pump / transporter is responsible for maintaining the K⁺ gradient. Interestingly, little has been mentioned about this enzyme and changes in activity in response to stress. K⁺ efflux upon hypoosmotic stress could involve a variety of K⁺-specific and not so specific channels. Real breakthroughs have occurred in our understanding of gated potassium channels based on the structural work of MacKinnon and coworkers (16, 17). One of the channels whose structure this group has elucidated was that of a K⁺ channel (MthK) from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* in the Ca²⁺-bound, or opened state. These channels are homotetramers with eight RCK domains (regulators of K⁺ conductance) forming a gating ring at the intracellular membrane surface. The gating ring uses the free energy of Ca²⁺ binding in a simple manner to perform mechanical work to open the pore. A comparison of the archaeal open channel with the structure of a bacterial closed channel allowed MacKinnon to propose a novel mechanism for gating. The ‘inner’ helices that line the ion pore contain a ‘gating hinge’ that bends by 30°. When straight, the four inner helices form a bundle that closes the pore near its intracellular surface. When bent, the inner helices splay open creating a 12Å entryway. Amino-acid sequence conservation suggests a common structural basis for gating in a wide range of K⁺ channels, both ligand- and voltage-gated. Archaeal voltage-dependent K⁺ channels have also been studied (18). Until these recent studies by MacKinnon and coworkers, the voltage-dependent K⁺ channels were thought to be unique to eukaryotic cells. However, recent studies of a voltage-dependent K⁺ channel from *Aeropyrum pernix* (KvAP) from an oceanic thermal vent show remarkable functional characteristics of neuronal channels (19, 20).

Detailed structural studies of the voltage sensor domain led to a novel view for how the channels are gated (figure 3). The paddles (red helices in c and d), which are hydrophobic but with cationic side chains positioned on the outer perimeter of the paddle but inside the membrane, respond to membrane voltage changes by moving the positively charged groups across the membrane (20). Since archaea contain high intracellular K⁺, these channels likely play key roles in response to environmental perturbations. Although there is no report of these becoming activating under NaCl stress conditions, they are likely to be key players when archaea are hypotonically stressed, since in some methanogens it has been shown that K⁺ efflux is one of the earliest detectable responses of the cells (see sections 4.1 and 6).

3.2.2. Msc’s and VAC’s

There are also other families of gated transmembrane channels that open for solute release or uptake when there is a pressure differential. Two types of these channels exist in bacteria and eukaryotes. Msc are a family of mechanosensitive ion channels that are gated by membrane tension. They are thought to be primary biosensors for osmoregulation in bacteria (21). Such channels are thought to play a major role in rapid release of solutes upon hypoosmotic shock (15). Msc have been identified in archaea and share sequence homology with bacterial and eukaryotic Msc (22). Another class of transmembrane proteins, the volume-activated channels (VAC’s), shares characteristics of anion channels and has also been suggested as players in the hypoosmotic response. When cells swell because of a hypoosmotic shock, the release of cytoplasmic solutes can induce an efflux of water and a return to the original cell volume. VAC’s, the class of channels that respond to these volume / pressure differentials (23), appear to serve as the conduit for expulsion of a wide variety of osmolytes (e.g., amino acids, polyols). Whether these relatively nonspecific VAC’s are also important components of solute uptake in response to hyperosmotic stress is less clear. In bacteria, solute uptake in response to hyperosmotic shock appears to occur by more specific transporters (a few examples of these will be discussed in 3.3). Archaea may well possess Msc’s and VAC’s although there are no putative candidates in any of the sequenced genomes and no careful evidence that solute expulsion occurs immediately in cells subjected to hypoosmotic stress. However, this represents an area ripe for investigation.

3.3. Exogenous Osmolyte Transport

Many cells have transport mechanisms that can selectively internalize solutes from the medium when placed under hyperosmotic stress. Analyses of archaeal genomes has identified only a few of the better studied solute transport systems (24). It appears that archaea lack the phosphotransferase system to transport sugars. There appear to be fewer transporters in these organisms (at least as analyzed by sequence homology), perhaps not surprising if they evolved in environments with very limited organic substrates. Glycine betaine, an osmolyte found in all three kingdoms, is rarely synthesized de novo by cells. Rather, it is actively transported into cells and often replaces the pool of synthesized osmolytes. The mechanism of the betaine transporter has been studied in a variety of bacteria. In *E. coli*, the transporter ProP (a member of the major facilitator superfamily) mediates osmoprotective proline or glycine betaine accumulation by bacteria exposed to high osmolarity environments (25). The transporter has a relatively broad specificity and can internalize ectoine,
pipecolate, proline betaine, N,N-dimethylglycine, carnitine and 1-carboxymethyl-pyridinium solutes (choline, a cation and a structural analogue of glycine betaine, is a low affinity inhibitor but not a substrate for internalization). ProP mediates cotransport of H⁺ and proline; the pH gradient provides the energy for accumulating betaine. It has also been shown that exogenous proline or glycine betaine elicits K⁺ release from osmoadapting E. coli cells. Presumably in this way, the cells sense the availability of betaine, a zwitterion, and use it to replace a K⁺-salt osmolyte pair, although ProP is unlikely to mediate K⁺ efflux directly. Betaine uptake systems have been studied in other bacteria as well. The betaine uptake system (BetP) of Corynebacterium glutamicum has been expressed in E. coli (26). BetP is regulated by the external osmolality and is the only element needed to sense and accumulate betaine. Membrane properties, both protein/membrane interactions and the physical state of the membrane, as well as external ions regulate betaine uptake. Therefore, osmosensor action is likely to be mediated through changes in membrane properties that alter BetP activity. Some bacteria such as Listeria monocytogenes contain two transport systems for this osmolyte (27): (i) a Na⁺-glycine betaine symport, and (ii) a second transporter that is osmotically activated but does not require a high concentration of Na⁺ for activity.

A number of methanogens, notably Methanogenium cariaci (28), Methanosarcina thermophila (29), and Methanohalophilus portucalensis (30), have been shown to actively accumulate exogenous betaine in response to osmotic stress. Betaine uptake suppresses the de novo synthesis of osmolytes in these cells. In M. thermophila and M. portucalensis, the betaine transporter is very specific for glycine betaine (glycine, choline, sarcosine and N,N-dimethylglycine do not compete effectively with betaine for transport into the cell). This is in contrast to the broader specificity of E. coli ProP. Betaine transport in the two methanogens could be
Osmoregulation in Archaea

abolished by protonophores and ionophores, implying that the archaeal transporter is energized by ion gradients.

Recently a salt-induced primary transporter for betaine was identified in Methanosarcina mazei G01 (31). Analysis of the genome of this organism led to the identification of a gene cluster similar to known primary glycine betaine transporters. The Ota (osmoprotectant transporter A) cluster sequence and gene organization was similar to OpuA of Bacillus subtilis. However, most importantly, it was shown that gene transcription was salt dependent.

There are few reports of other archaeal transporters active in accumulating osmolytes. Natronococcus sp. have been shown to accumulate sucrose from the medium (32). As little as 0.1 mM external sucrose will dramatically suppress synthesis of endogenous osmolytes (primarily sulfotrehalose) and use sucrose almost exclusively. The transporter prefers sucrose compared to other disaccharides (cellobiose was not internalized and only a small amount of maltose, neither effectively suppressing endogenous osmolyte synthesis). The energy source for sucrose transport is likely to be an ion gradient, although there is no definite evidence for this. This may be an interesting system for further investigations of NaCl-induced solute transport in an archaeon.

3.4. Relay of Osmosensing through Signal Transduction

How is the osmotic stress detected by a membrane-localized sensor communicated to intracellular components if solute transport is not available to relieve the stress? Loomis et al. (33) have shown that eukaryotic microorganisms use signal transduction pathways that employ phosphorelay from histidine kinases through an intermediate transfer protein (H2) to response regulators. Several of these pathways are linked to MAP kinase cascades. These networks control different physiological responses including osmoregulation. In E. coli, there is a sensor kinase, KdpD, that responds to ProP and MscL (mechanosensitive large conductance channel). This sensor kinase is involved in regulating cellular energetics, cytoplasmic ionic strength, and ion composition as well as on cytoplasmic osmolarity.

Are similar osmotic stress sensors and signal transduction pathways operational in archaea? For most archaea, appropriate compatible solutes are not available in the medium for transport into the cell so that osmolytes must be synthesized de novo. Little is known about how synthesis and accumulation of solutes are regulated in these cells. In fact, for many of the compatible solutes examined, the biosynthetic pathway is unknown. Thus in order to identify osmosensors one will first need to identify biosynthetic pathways and key enzymes.

4. COMPATIBLE SOLUTES

Accumulation of solutes in response to osmotic stress is a response conserved through all three kingdoms. Interestingly, there are only two organic solutes common to organisms from the three kingdoms: L-alpha-glutamate and glycine betaine. The solutes can be broken down into inorganic ions (in most cases with appropriate organic counterions) and organic solutes – both charged (usually anionic) and neutral (either zwitterions or nonionic) species. For reviews of organic osmolytes in archaea see da Costa et al. (34) and Martin et al. (35).

4.1. Inorganic ions: Intracellular K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺

In contrast to bacteria, most of the archaea examined have high intracellular concentrations of inorganic cations, primarily K⁺, under optimal growth conditions (see Table 1 in (35)). Even in nonhalophilic archaea, intracellular K⁺ is relatively high (>0.5 M), suggesting that under normal conditions these cells exist with high turgor pressure if the intracellular K⁺ is free and not tightly complexed to macromolecules (36). The intracellular K⁺ is presumably built up and maintained by a potassium pump (likely to be a Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase). Several K⁺ channels from archaea have been characterized and these would lead to release of intracellular K⁺ under the right stimulus (voltage gating in one case). Recent work with M. jannaschii has shown that of the three putative K⁺ channels, two could complement an E. coli mutant with a K⁺-dependent phenotype (37), while a third affected cell viability unless the cells were complemented with K⁺ channel blocker. However, no light has been shed thus far in the role of these three K⁺ channels in M. jannaschii. In general, accumulation of these cations is not the optimal response since, at high concentrations, monovalent ions can inhibit various enzymes. However, halophiles with extremely high intracellular K⁺ (38) have evolved more acidic enzymes that require K⁺ for optimal activity (the ions are thought to be critical for compact folding of the macromolecule). The increased acidity of proteins has been documented in various nonhalophilic methanogens (39). High ion concentrations can also affect functional group pKa’s (for macromolecules, altered pKa’s could affect self-assembly and ligand binding (40)). Thus for most organisms, utilization of inorganic ions as primary osmolytes appears to be a suboptimal adaptation. In bacteria, the intracellular K⁺ pool is often sensitive to external Na⁺, however K⁺ is usually not the main osmolyte. In archaea, K⁺ is likely to be a much larger portion of the intracellular solutes. Of the archaea examined to date, organisms fall into two classes: (i) those that accumulate K⁺ in amounts that vary with external NaCl (e.g., M. thermolithotrophicus, M. portucalensis), and (ii) those with relatively invariant internal K⁺ (e.g., M. thermoautotrophicum).

Only a few organisms appear to use inorganic ions almost exclusively to balance external osmotic pressure. Growing marine bacterioplankton have an internal environment where Mg²⁺ is the dominant cation and, along with chloride, acts as the major component of cell turgor (41). The internal concentrations of Na⁺ in the bacterioplankton are 50 to 180 mM, and the [K⁺]/[Na⁺] ratio is in the range of 0.1 to 0.5. Extreme halophilic archaea such as Halobacterium and Haloferax are the archaeal examples that appear to use inorganic ions exclusively as osmolytes (38), although it should be mentioned that it was observation of very high (>4M) intracellular K⁺ by ³¹K NMR spectroscopy of intact cells rather than the inability to detect organic solutes that led to this conclusion.
Table 1. Structure and occurrence of organic osmolytes in diverse organisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Osmolyte</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Eukarya</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Archaea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonionic Solutes:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyols:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td></td>
<td>algae (Dunaliella sp.)</td>
<td>Cyanobacteria</td>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td></td>
<td>yeast</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
<td></td>
<td>yeast</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myo-inositol</td>
<td></td>
<td>plants mammalian brain</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbohydrates:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td></td>
<td>plants and animals</td>
<td>cyanobacteria: Anabaena sp.</td>
<td>+ (by transport)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trehalose</td>
<td></td>
<td>plants and animals</td>
<td>cyanobacteria: Anabaena sp.</td>
<td>Natronobacterium magadii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salinibacter autumnale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chroococcidiopsis sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. marinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Natronobacterium magadii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfolobus solfataricus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-glucosylglycerol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine &amp; freshwater cyanobacteria</td>
<td>Thermoplasm acidophilum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Synechocystis sp. Microcystis</td>
<td>Pyrobaculum aerophilum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>firma phototrophic bacteria:</td>
<td>Thermoproteus tenax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhodobacter sulfidophilus</td>
<td>S. solfataricus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas mendocina</td>
<td>Acidulans ambivalens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mannosucrose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermoplasm acidophilum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrobaculum aerophilum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-mannosylglyceramide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermoproteus tenax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S. solfataricus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acidulans ambivalens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Other:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Cartilaginous fish</th>
<th>Transported by</th>
<th>Methanohalophilus</th>
<th>Methanosarcina thermophila</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-acetylglutamineglutamine amide</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sinorhizobium meliloti</td>
<td>Rhizobium leguminosarum</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Zwitterionic Solutes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Transported by</th>
<th>Methanohalophilus portulacensis</th>
<th>Methanosarcina thermophila</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycine betaine</td>
<td>E. coli,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corynebacterium glutamicum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listeria monocytogenes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylsulfinopropionate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectoine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ectothiorhodospira sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brevibacterium linens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bacillus Proteobacteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vibrio costicola Micrococcus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(aerobic, halophiles from Mono Lake)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-glutamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methanohalophilus portulacensis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Methanosarcina thermophila</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methanogenium cariaci</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methanohalophilus sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methanococcus thermolithotrophicus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylamine oxide (TMAO)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elasmobranchs by deep-living invertebrates teleost fishes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anionic Solutes:**

**Phosphates:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Archaeoglobus fulgidus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-diglycerol phosphate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-myo-1, 1’-inositol phosphate (DIP)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmoregulation in Archaea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle-2, 3-diphosphoglycerate (cDPG)</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>Pyrodictium occultum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carboxylates:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 3, 4, 6-hexanetetracarboxylic acid (HTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-glucosylglycerate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-mannosylglycerate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Alpha-glutamate | + NH₃ | mammals plants yeast | E. coli Halomonas elongata Methanococcus sp. Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum Natronococcus occultus |
| Beta-glutamate | - | + | M. thermodilithotrophicus M. igneus M. jannaschii (other methanogens) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfate:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfo-trehalose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cationic Solute:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-galactopyranosyl-5-hydroxylysine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4.2. Organic Solutes

The distribution of organic osmolytes found in archaea falls into the same major classes as for bacteria and eukaryotes: (i) zwitterionics (amino acids and derivatives including betaine), (ii) neutral solutes (sugars and polyhydric alcohols), and (iii) anionic solutes where the negative charge is supplied by a carboxylate, phosphate or sulfate. Archaea also accumulate some very unusual solutes that have no obvious bacterial or eukaryote counterpart, e.g., cyclic-2,3-diphosphoglycerate or cDPG (42), the most prominent solute in the hyperthermophilic Methanopyrus kandleri (43), and 1, 3, 4, 6-hexanetetracarboxylic acid (44), all polyamions.

The amino acid class contains the two osmolytes common to all kingdoms: anionic L-alpha-glutamate and zwitterionic glycine betaine. Several common zwitterions found in bacteria and eukaryotes, notably taurine (used as an osmolyte in mammalian tissues (45) and ectoine (1, 4, 5, 6-tetrahydro-2-methyl-4-pyrimidine carboxylic acid (46)), have not been detected in archaea. Alpha-glutamate is found as a counterion to the high intracellular K⁺ in a wide range of archaea. However, it is rarely the major compatible solute. Archaea also contain D-amino acids (D-enantiomers of serine, alanine, proline, glutamate (glutamine) and aspartate (asparagine) have been found in Pyrobaculum islandicum, Methanosarcina barkeri and Halobacterium salinarum), but at low concentrations that would not contribute to osmotic balance (47). Instead, methanogenic archaea have developed a novel strategy to produce amino acid-like molecules that are unlikely to interact with any metabolic or biosynthetic machinery in the cells. These anaerobic organisms synthesize and accumulate several beta-amino acids (the anion beta-glutamate, and the two zwitterions beta-glutamine and N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine) to balance external osmotic stress (29, 30, 48-52). The distribution among anionic and zwitterionic or noncharged solutes is correlated with intracellular K⁺ and external Na⁺ in archaea (29, 30, 51-54). Typically these organisms use beta-glutamate (or alphaglutamate) as an osmolyte at low external salt but accumulate N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine at external NaCl > 0.5 M (52, 54, 55). Another zwitterion unique to methanogens is beta-glutamine (30). This solute has only been detected in the halophile M. portucalensis and represents a significant fraction of the osmolyte pool at the highest external NaCl levels. It is synthesized from beta-glutamate by an unusual glutamine synthetase (56). Other archaeal GS do not efficiently use beta-glutamate as a substrate (57). What regulates the M. portucalensis glutamine synthetase to begin to generate beta-glutamate at high external NaCl is unclear at this time, but it must be regulated indirectly by external NaCl. Betaine is a compatible solute that, due to convergent evolution, is ubiquitous in all kingdoms of life. It is actively transported into several archaea (as it is in many bacteria and eukaryotes) and used in preference to de novo synthesis of osmolytes (28, 52, 56, 58). However, there is at least one archaeon, M. portucalensis (56, 58), and several extreme halophilic bacteria (59) that are capable of synthesizing betaine from glycine by successive methylation reactions. This reductive pathway for betaine synthesis is relatively uncommon. In the archaea, methylation of glycine is carried out with S-adenosylmethionine as the methyl donor (58), and the extent of methylation (whether sarcosine or betaine is produced as the major product) depends on the concentration of K⁺. Below 0.4 M K⁺, sarcosine is the major product; above 0.4 M K⁺, betaine dominates. Clearly, if intracellular K⁺ changes, the intracellular concentration of betaine is likely to change as well.

Nonionic osmolytes are very common in bacteria and eukaryotes. Carbohydrates (sucrose, trehalose, mannansucrose) and polyols (glycerol and inositol) are the most common solutes in this class; they have been shown to protect cells from dehydration. For example, in mammalian cells (L929), sorbitol, sucrose, and trehalose were shown to protect isolated chromatin both from dehydration and from disrupting effects of NaCl (60). Other nonionic solutes include the dipeptide N-acetylglutaminylglutamine amide (found in Sinorhizobium melliloti and Rhizobium leguminosarum (61)) and urea. Drosophila melanogaster and a number of fish accumulate urea (62) but need to accumulate ‘urea-counteracting’ solutes to mitigate the toxic effects of urea. All of these noncharged osmolytes are relatively rare (and in most cases not found) in archaea, although as mentioned above N. occultus internalizes sucrose from the medium and uses this nonionic solute to balance osmotic stress (32).

The major class of osmolytes in archaea are anionic solutes. Most of these solutes represent the addition of a negatively charged group to carbohydrates and polyols that are used as osmolytes by bacteria and eukary. The negative charge is provided by carboxylate, phosphate and sulfate groups; these modified solutes serve as counterions for K⁺. As shown in table 1, these solutes include glucosylglycerate (51), beta-mannosylglycerate (63), alphadiglycerol phosphate (DGP) (53), di-myo-inositol-1, 1’-phosphate (DIP) (64, 65), and sulfotrehalose (32). Anionic carbohydrates are much less common in bacteria, although alpha-mannosylglycerate has been detected in Rhodothermus sp. (66) and Thermus thermophilus (67). Often a given cell will accumulate multiple organic anions. The distribution of anions can depend on external NaCl as well as growth temperature. Recently, the accumulation of solutes by a hyperthermophilic A. fulgidus (VC-16) adapted to grow at high salinity was examined (68). DGP was the major solute accumulated under supra-optimal salinities, whereas at supra-optimal growth temperatures DIP was the predominant solute. Accumulation of DIP under supraoptimal growth conditions has been seen in other archaea as well as bacteria (Thermotoga maritima) (69). DIP is a complex, chiral solute for which two related biosynthetic pathways have been proposed (70, 71). In several of the archaea in which DIP is synthesized, there is no other known use of inositol (e.g., no inositol containing lipids), so that production of L-inositol-1-phosphate from D-glucose-6-phosphate (via inositol-1-phosphate synthase (IPS)) directs carbon resources to DIP only. This suggests that regulation of IPS could directly regulate the accumulation of DIP. Aside from these carbohydrates and polyols, the only other anionic solutes used as osmolytes in archaea are the alpha- and beta-glutamates.
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4.4. Effect of Solutes on Protein Stability

Compatible solutes are accumulated in response to osmotic stress because of the lack of strong, specific interactions with proteins (73) and because less specific (generic) interactions with protein surfaces can replace water and maintain water at the surface where it is most needed. There are currently two explanations for how osmolytes stabilize proteins. The first of these postulates that solutes have a solvophobic effect on the protein (74, 75). Protein unfolding results in an increase of total protein surface area. Osmolytes destabilize the unfolded form of proteins compared to the folded structure to a much greater extent than water. Hence, proteins will want to assume a compact folded structure in the presence of osmolytes. The second recognizes a difference in properties (i.e., density) of bulk water and water adjacent to the macromolecule surface (76). Compatible solutes are thought to maintain equilibrium between these two water phases by accumulating at the interface regions. There is experimental evidence that supports the preferential accumulation of solutes at protein interfaces. For example, the preferential hydration of ribonuclease is 600 mol water per mol protein, but in the presence of sarcosine (a common osmolyte in eukaryotic organisms) the preferential hydration is reduced to 70 mole water / mol protein (77). That osmolytes do not interact with the macromolecule directly but alter water interactions with the protein has been examined by detailed NMR studies (measuring amide hydrogen exchange rates) of the effect of a model osmolyte, glycine, on the stabilization of chymotrypsin inhibitor 2 and horse heart cytochrome c (78). A high concentration of glycine was accompanied by a large reduction of the exchange rate constants of most slowly exchanging amide protons, although the changes in exchange rates were not uniform but varied over 2 orders of magnitude. This effect occurs without significant changes in the three-dimensional structure of the protein and is consistent with changing water density (fewer pathways for exchange since there is less water in the vicinity of the protein) in the presence of the compatible solutes.

If solutes increase the energy of the denatured compared to the folded state, they should shift the denaturation equilibrium toward a higher $T_m$ (79). A number of osmolytes common to bacteria and eukaryotes have been shown to increase the $T_m$ of proteins. For example, betaine and related osmolytes provide an extraordinary degree of protection for hen egg white lysozyme. These interactions stabilize proteins by raising the chemical potential of the denatured protein. This leads to contraction of the random coil to a folded structure (80-82). Bolen and associates have also validated this hypothesis by showing that glycine-based osmolytes (glycine, sarcosine, and betaine) increased the $T_m$ values for RNase and lysozyme (e.g., 8.2 M sarcosine increased the $T_m$ for RNase by 22° (83)). Detailed studies with another zwitterion, trimethylamine oxide (TMAO), showed that it increased the population of native state of RNase relative to denatured structures by nearly five orders of magnitude (80). The same osmolyte was also shown to protect muscle-type lactate dehydrogenase homologues from trypsinolysis and pressure denaturation (84). Glycine, in contrast, showed no ability to reduce pressure denaturation and little or no ability to reduce the rate of proteolysis of these enzymes. Osmolytes unique to thermophilic organisms (both bacteria and archaea) have also been shown to stabilize RNase (85). Mannosylglycerate is a negatively charged osmolyte found in many thermophiles. K-mannosylglycerate was shown to increase the RNase $T_m$ 6°; this stabilization requires a net negative charge on the solute, since its thermostabilization effect was much lower below pH 4.5. Stabilization is thought to be achieved by a decrease in the unfolding entropy.

Figure 4. Intensity of $^{15}$N labeling of osmolytes (red circle, alpha-glutamate; green circle, beta-glutamate; blue square, aspartate) in M. thermolithotrophicus grown at 4% NaCl in media with 2 mM $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl then diluted with $^{14}$NH$_4$Cl (to 40 mM total NH$_4^+$).
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The ability of osmolytes, and most critically the ubiquitous K+-salts to stabilize archaeal proteins to thermal denaturation is more anecdotal. For example, the half-life of xyllose isomerase from Thermoaerobacterium thermosulfurigenes was increased seven-fold in the presence of 0.1 M NaCl (86). For many enzymes there appears to be a trade-off in stabilizing the protein and partially inhibiting enzyme activity at high K+ concentrations. There are a number of examples where K+ salts (which can be as simple as KCl or K+-phosphate) can stimulate the activity at low concentrations but inhibit enzymes at high concentrations. For example, in vitro nitrogenase enzyme assays using the M. barkeri enzyme showed that 100 mM K+-alpha-glutamate enhanced activity but higher concentrations inhibited activity (50% inhibition occurred at 400 mM K+-alpha-glutamate (55)). Two enzymes involved in methane formation from carbon dioxide and dihydrogen in Pyrococcus horikoshii, cyclohydrolase and formyltransferase, were shown to be stabilized by 1 M K+-cDPG (43). The protective effect was not, however, specific to cDPG, since K+-phosphate and K-2, 3-bisphosphoglycerate, the biosynthetic precursor of cDPG, could also activate and stabilize these enzymes.

Two extremely thermophilic glutamate dehydrogenases (GDHs) from P. furiosus, the native GDH and a recombinant GDH mutant containing an extra tetrapeptide at the C-terminus, have been shown to be stabilized by added glycerol (87), interesting since these organisms accumulate organic anions.

Inositol monophosphatase from several archaea and T. maritima has been cloned, overexpressed in E. coli, and examined for its stability near 100°C (88-90). The enzyme from Archaeoglobus fulgidus is the least stable of them with a half-life (t1/2) of 2-3 minutes at 98°C. Thermal denaturation is irreversible under these conditions. Of the selection of known osmolytes examined for protection, only the K+-salts (a selection of these are shown in figure 5A) are extremely effective at stabilizing the protein for extended periods of time at 98°C. There was no apparent difference in effects of alpha- versus beta-glutamate. Interestingly, the zwitterions that were effective in stabilizing both RNase and lysozyme (glycine betaine, TMAO, proline) had little effect on the thermal stability of this archaeal protein. Thermal stability of another enzyme from the same organism (figure 5B), inositol-1-phosphate synthase, was also not enhanced by zwitterionic solutes. However, IPS was only marginally stabilized by K+-glutamate. For A. fulgidus IPS, the best thermal stabilization was achieved by the nonionic solutes sucrose or trehalose. Thus far there is no unique pattern associated with osmolyte stabilization of all archaeal proteins. However, the efficiency of K+-salts in enhancing the thermostability of many archaeal enzymes may be a relatively common observation. Where there is solute specificity in protecting proteins, we will need to understand how the different solutes interact with both water phases and the protein surface.

4.5. Biosynthesis of Unusual Osmolytes

While biosynthetic pathways have been proposed for many osmolytes, few of the key enzymes in unique osmolyte synthesis have been identified, cloned, overexpressed and characterized. There are two notable exceptions: enzymes committing cellular resources to synthesize alpha-mannosylglycerate and DIP. Alpha-mannosylglycerate is synthesized in hyperthermophilic archaea, e.g., Pyrococcus horikoshii (as well as in the thermophilic bacterium Thermus thermophilus) in two steps (67, 91): (i) the condensation of GDP-mannose and D-3-phosphoglycerate to from mannosyl-3-phosphoglycerate (MPG) catalyzed by the mannosyl-3-phosphoglycerate synthase followed by (ii) dephosphorylation to generate mannosylglycerate (catalyzed by mannosyl-3-phosphoglycerate phosphatase). Both enzymes have been cloned and characterized. Recombinant MPG synthase, 45.2 kDa, had optimal activity between 90 and 100°C and a pH optimum between 6.4 and 7.4; the recombinant MPG phosphatase, 27.9 kDa, had optimum activity between 95 and 100°C and between pH 5.2 and 6.4. Now that these enzymes have been identified, it is likely we will soon see how changes in external NaCl modulate expression of the mRNA for these two proteins. If NaCl regulation follows what is seen in bacteria, then expression of the committing protein should be enhanced upon hyperosmotic stress, while the phosphatase levels may or may not be affected.
DIP biosynthesis has been proposed to proceed in four steps (70): (i) conversion of D-glucose-6-phosphate to L-inositol-1-phosphate synthase catalyzed by the enzyme inositol-1-phosphate synthase (IPS); (ii) generation of myo-inositol from the I-1-P from an inositol monophosphatase (IMPase); (iii) activation of the I-1-P with CTP to form CDP-inositol (CDP-inositol cytidylyltransferase); and (iv) condensation of CDP-inositol with myo-inositol (DIP synthase) to form DIP. Enzymes for the first two of these enzymes from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* have been characterized biochemically and structurally and led to some interesting surprises. The tetrameric archael IPS, unlike the well-studied yeast enzyme, requires divalent ions (Mn$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$) for catalysis (70) suggesting it is a class II aldolase. It is optimally active at 90°C but there is sufficient activity at 75°C so that I-1-P should be generated. However, in *A. fulgidus*, DIP is only accumulated when the organism is grown above 80°C (and no free I-1-P is detected). Since this is the first and committed step in DIP production, it is likely that protein expression is coupled to growth temperature. A structure of this enzyme with NAD$^+$ and Pi bound is in the last stages of refinement (92) and may shed light on other mechanisms for regulating its activity.

The IMPase activity from *A. fulgidus*, like several other archael enzymes with sequence similarity to mammalian IMPases that have been cloned, overexpressed, and characterized (88, 89), has unusual dual specificity. It has high activity toward I-1-P but also very high activity toward fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate (FBP) (93, 94). It specifically dephosphorylates FBP to produce F-6-P, which is not a substrate for the enzyme. The dual specificity is reflected in the active site of the archael dimer (90, 93, 94). Thus, this class of archael enzymes has both IMPase and FBPase activities. These dual specificity enzymes can potentially link stress response and gluconeogenesis. As shown in figure 6, the *A. fulgidus* IMPase/FBPase showed another interesting feature as well. In the structure are two closely space cysteine residues (Cys150 and Cys186) with a separation of 4 Å (95).

This unusually close spacing does suggest that one could form a disulfide bond that could modulate activity. Similar redox regulation of chloroplast FBPase enzymes has been noted (96, 97). Indeed, when the protein was oxidized at high temperatures, activity was lost (95). Incubation with an *E. coli* reduced thioredoxin regenerated activity. This suggests a mechanism for how the *A. fulgidus* IMPase/FBPase is regulated in that organism.

### 5. INDUCTION OF STRESS PROTEINS AND TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION

There are several early responses involving transcription and translation that might occur when cells are osmotically shocked. (i) Key regulator molecules might be synthesized (as a result of interactions of osmosensors) that in turn affect osmolyte accumulation (affect signaling pathways, etc.). (ii) The proteins needed for osmolyte synthesis and/or accumulation might be synthesized. (iii) Protein machinery analogous to heat shock proteins might be synthesized in order to refold proteins whose conformation was adversely affected by the altered intracellular environment. For each of these, regulation at the level of transcription may be critical.

#### 5.1. Yeast

Studies in yeast have provided the most detailed definition of the molecular nature of solute induction by external osmotic shock. Osmotolerance in yeast is regulated by at least two distinct mechanisms. The acquired or adapted response following long-term exposure to hypertonic medium is the accumulation of glycerol as an osmolyte. This requires the induction of the HOG-MAP (high-osmolarity glycerol mitogen-activated protein) kinase cascade. The more immediate or ‘acute’ response to external high Na$^+$ appears to be dependent on normal vacuole function and relies on the yeast endosomal/prevacuolar Na$^+$/H$^+$ exchanger Nhx1 (98). The transcription of the GDP1 and GDP2 genes, which encode enzymes involved in glycerol biosynthesis, are activated by increased osmolarity (99). Heat shock protein genes are also transcribed upon osmotic stress (e.g., HSP12). The mechanism involves an array of stress protective gene products (Msn1p, Msn2p, Msn4p, and Hot1p). Upon hyperosmotic shift, *S. cerevisiae* cells respond by transiently inducing the expression of stress-protective genes Msn2p and Msn4p. There are two transcription factors that determine the extent of this response (100)). Two structurally related nuclear factors, Msn1p and Hot1p (for high-osmolarity-induced transcription), are also involved in osmotic stress-induced transcription. Hot1 single mutants are defective in the transient induction of GDP1 and GDP2 and exhibit delayed glycerol accumulation upon osmotic stress. Cells lacking the four stress protective gene products are almost devoid of the short-term transcriptional response of the genes GDP1, GDP2, CTT1, and HSP12 to osmotic stress. Such cells also show a distinct reduction in the nuclear residence of the mitogen-activated protein kinase Hog1p upon osmotic stress. Thus, Hot1p and Msn1p may define an additional tier of transcriptional regulators that control responses to high-osmolarity stress.

#### 5.2. Bacteria and Archaea

The level of understanding of how increased external NaCl is translated to solute accumulation is much
less well understood in bacteria and archaea. In *E. coli*, there are several proline transport systems regulated by salt (101). In addition, there is a signaling system that responds to changes in salinity that controls expression of genes in porin formation (102). Expression of heat shock proteins in bacteria such as *E. coli* is often induced with stress, classically thermal stress but also osmotic stress. Presumably, the same behavior is exhibited by archaea. There may also be specific stress proteins that are synthesized in these methanogens. Chaperonins are synthesized in many archaean cells; their role has usually been defined as refolding unfolded proteins when the incubation temperature is increased (103-105). There is an older study of protein synthesis in an archaeon, *Halofexr volcanii* (106, 107), which was osmotically shocked. Specific bands at 98 and 21 kDa were radiolabeled and detected by SDS-PAGE. The same two proteins appeared to be induced by salt and heat shock in that organism, supporting the theory that some HSPs can serve as general stress proteins.

More recently transcriptional analyses of the hyperthermophilic archaean *Pyrococcus furiosus* have identified genes expressed upon heat shock (108). This organism appears to use a cooperative strategy of (i) rescue (induction of thermosome Hsp60, small heat shock protein Hsp20, and two VAT-related chaperones), (ii) proteolysis (proteasome), and (iii) stabilization (compatible solute formation) to deal with heat stress.

5.3. Osmolyte Genes and Regulation by Stress

While the cast of osmolytes used by archaea has been fairly well defined, enzymes involved in synthesis of key osmolytes and how they are regulated have been less studied. However, in the past three years a number of systems have been examined. N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine is only seen in methanogens at high external NaCl. Recently (109), the two genes encoding lysine-2, 3-ammonomutase (ablA) and beta-lysine acetyltransferase (ablB) have been identified in many methanogens including *Methanosarcina mazei* Go1, *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, *Methanosarcina barikeri*, *Methanococcus jannaschii*, and *Methanococcus maripaludis*. In *M. mazei* Go1, the two genes are organized in an operon (abl) whose expression was shown to be salt dependent. Furthermore when the abl operon was deleted in *M. maripaludis*, the mutant no longer accumulated N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine and was incapable of growth at high salt concentrations. Thus, the abl operon is essential for N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine synthesis.

6. CASE HISTORY: METHANOCOCCUS THERMOLITHOTROPHICUS

The adapted response of cells to a specific NaCl concentration (in terms of compatible solutes accumulated) may be different from what happens immediately upon altering the NaCl in the media. It has been shown (110) that intracellular water in *E. coli* is reduced rapidly upon increasing external NaCl (presumably through aquaporin or GlpF). Within a few minutes, intracellular K⁺ rises, soon followed by the synthesis and accumulation of alpha-glutamate (unless an appropriate osmolyte such as betaine is available in the medium and can be transported into the cell). K⁺-alpha-glutamate represents a temporary osmolyte pair (110) that is replaced by trehalose over a longer time course. Thus, osmoregulation requires an immediate response and a longer term adapted one. Very little is known about immediate versus adaptive responses of archaea to osmotic stress.

*Methanococcus thermolithotrophicus* is a halotolerant, thermophilic methanogen that accumulates the K⁺-salts of alpha- and beta-glutamate when adapted to growth below 1 M NaCl. Adapted to growth at ≥1 M NaCl, the organism accumulates the zwitterion N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine (54) and it rapidly becomes the major solute (figure 7A). Given the switch in compatible solutes upon adaptation, it is of interest to examine the immediate response of the cells to hyperosmotic stress. As shown in figure 7B, when external NaCl in the medium of *M. thermolithotrophicus* cells is increased from 4 to 6% (0.67 to 1.0 M), the major organic solute that the cells accumulate is alpha-glutamate with much smaller increases in beta-glutamate, aspartate, and very little N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine. Alpha-glutamate is the major solute during this period; the lower relative glutamate concentration and increased N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine associated with adapted cells take at least one or two generations to be established (111). Also of interest is the time scale of osmolyte accumulation upon osmotic stress. There is a lag time in cell growth immediately after the hyperosmotic shock (figure 7B, top). The duration of the lag time depends on the magnitude of the shock - for the increase shown a 15-20 min lag is observed. Organic solute synthesis and accumulation occurs at the end of this lag period. What happens during the lag period? It is assumed that the first response of the cells is a very rapid efflux of water. Rather than organic solutes, K⁺ is the first solute accumulated after hyperosmotic shock (figure 7C). This occurs rapidly within minutes after placing the cells in the higher NaCl medium. K⁺ overshoots what would be expected (based on it acting as a counterion to compatible solute such as alpha- or beta-glutamate) to balance the external osmotic pressure. Within 10-15 min, it decreases to a new steady-state. It is at this stage that alpha-glutamate is accumulated. Thus, there is a hierarchy in the solutes accumulated in response to external osmotic stress. At 1.0 M NaCl, N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine represents only about 6% of the total organic osmolyte pool. When the cells are grown in 8% (1.37 M) NaCl, N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine represents 40% of the total pool. Nonetheless, when *M. thermolithotrophicus* cells grown in 4% NaCl are shifted to medium containing from 8% NaCl, the response resembles that shown in figure 7 (rapid increase in K⁺ and reduction to a new steady-state, then the accumulation of alpha-glutamate), except that a longer lag is observed. Amounts of N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine comparable to what would occur with steady-state growth in 8% NaCl are not synthesized. Like *E. coli*, this archaean uses K⁺-alpha-glutamate as a temporary osmolyte pair.
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Figure 7. (A) Distribution of osmolytes in *M. thermolithotrophicus* as a function of concentration of NaCl in the medium (data from (110)): red circle, L-alpha-glutamate; green circle, beta-glutamate; triangle, N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine; purple square, K+. (B) Organic osmolyte concentrations as a function of time after hyperosmotic shock (4 to 6% NaCl); the symbols are the same as in (A) with the addition of aspartate (blue square). At the top is shown how growth, as represented by cell protein, is affected after the osmotic shock. (C) Intracellular K+ (filled circle) as a function of time after the 4 to 6% NaCl osmotic shock. The control, cells grow in in 4% NaCl and diluted into the same concentration of NaCl (open circle), is also shown.

How else does *M. thermolithotrophicus* deal with increased external NaCl? Specific proteins may be synthesized to either generate solutes or to enhance refolding of proteins that become misfolded upon osmotic stress (misfolding may occur when intracellular water is lost and intracellular solute concentrations increased). The addition of 35S-methionine with increased NaCl and 1D SDS-PAGE or 2D IEF/SDS PAGE analyses can identify protein subunits whose synthesis is increased upon changing the external NaCl. Experiments with *M. thermolithotrophicus* (figure 8) show rapid 35S-labeling of two bands: one at 58±2 kDa and one at 16±2 kDa (figure 8A). The labeling of both these bands at a given time point after hyperosmotic shock increases with the magnitude of the shock (figure 8B). A recombinant chaperonin from *M. thermolithotrophicus* (112) has been identified and has about the right MW and pI for the 58 kDa band. The lower molecular weight protein is consistent with a recently cloned peptidyl prolyl cis-trans isomerase (113). Thus, it would appear that an important component of the cell’s response to hyperosmotic stress is the production of protein machinery to aid in refolding proteins. What about generation of enzymes involved in glutamate biosynthesis? Since other 35S-labeled proteins are not detected, these may be constitutive (or occur at much lower numbers) and possibly regulated directly by K+.

These analyses of *M. thermolithotrophicus* solutes and protein synthesis outline a temporal ordering in how the cells respond to changes in external NaCl. Immediately after hyperosmotic shock, water is released from the cell and K+ is internalized possibly by a mechanosensitive channel. As K+ reenters the cell, water is again internalized (perhaps by an aquaporin?). On a longer time-scale, intracellular K+ decreases to a new steady-state that is higher than what was observed for cells grown in the lower NaCl-containing medium. This is coupled to synthesis and accumulation of alpha-glutamate (with smaller amounts of other solutes synthesized as well). At the same time, chaperonins and other refolding proteins are synthesized in response to the increased NaCl. As alpha-glutamate is increased, the cells begin to grow and over one or more generation times the intracellular organic solutes are readjusted to ‘adapted’ levels (i.e., with a higher proportion of N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine compared to alpha-glutamate). Similar behavior may be exhibited by other archaea, *M. jannaschii*, which accumulates alpha- and beta-glutamate as its osmolytes, also synthesizes alpha-glutamate immediately upon hyperosmotic stress (114). Since the genome sequence is known for this organism, it may be possible to identify other proteins induced upon NaCl shock.

7. PERSPECTIVES – KEY PROBLEMS IN ARCHAEA

In the three years since this review was written, major advances have been made in identifying key enzymes involved in osmolyte synthesis or transport as well as demonstrating that these are controlled by external NaCl (e.g., the abl operon in *M. mazei* Go1 and N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine accumulation). Biosynthetic pathways of all the unique osmolytes are still not known. For example, beta-glutamate is relatively ubiquitous, yet its biosynthetic pathway has not been elucidated. The only agreed upon finding is that beta-glutamate does not derive from mutase/isomerase activity on alpha-glutamate. Now that the
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Figure 8. (A) SDS-PAGE autoradiogram of aliquots of *M. thermolithotrophicus* cultures taken 30 minutes after addition of 35S-trans label along with dilution into new medium: (a) 4 to 4% NaCl control; (b) 4 to 6% NaCl hyperosmotic shock; (c) 4 to 8% NaCl hyperosmotic shock. (B) The increase in 35S labeling of the 58±2 (blue square) and 16±2 (red circle) kDa bands as a function of time after increasing the external NaCl from 4 to 8%.

Initial enzymes in DIP biosynthesis and mannosylglycerate have been identified, they are targets for examining their expression under salt stress and one looks forward to results in the near future that illustrate induction of these genes by salt stress. Global heat stress analyses show large changes in genes for chaperones, proteasome and compatible solutes. It will be interesting to see how many of the same players are activated in salt stress in the same cells. However, there are questions that still remain. A perplexing one is why cells typically accumulate multiple organic osmolytes (either anionic or zwitterionic) rather than a single one, unless a source of a transportable solute such as betaine is available. *Methanococcus igneus* uses three anions (beta-glutamate, alpha-glutamate, and DIP) as osmolytes. *A. fulgidus* uses DGP, DIP, and glutamate – all anionic as well. There is a bias for DGP and the glutamates to increase with NaCl and DIP to accumulate at supraoptimum growth temperatures, but why the need for three solute pools in these organisms? Similarly, for *M. portucalensis*, the three major zwitterionic osmolytes in these cells are glycine betaine, N-epsilon-acetyl-beta-lysine, and beta-gamma-glutamine. In both cases, at least two of the solutes are relatively inert (slow turnover when grown at a given NaCl concentration). What is there about the mixture of all three that either stabilizes the cellular milieu or macromolecules better than individual osmolytes? Integrating properties of the osmolytes into current ideas on macromolecular stability may shed light on some of these questions. More studies on specific differences of glutamate versus DIP stabilization of proteins might elucidate why the latter osmolyte appears in heat stressed hyperthermophiles.

The temporal response of archaea to hyperosmotic stress is an area ripe for investigation. It is likely that these organisms share features of bacterial stress responses, i.e., osmosensors and a signal transduction system. A major thrust for the future should be identification of sensors and identification of the appropriate signaling pathways. Tied in with these studies should be experiments to define the mechanisms that control osmolyte biosynthesis and accumulation. There is anecdotal evidence that supraoptimal growth temperatures enhance DIP accumulation, but how this is achieved on a molecular level is unknown. Most of the published work with archaea has also stressed hyperosmotic shock. Since many archaea possess rigid cell walls, it is possible that they will be able to withstand hypoosmotic shock to a greater degree than other types of cells. If solutes are released from the cells, are there specific VAC’s or Msc’s that mediate this? There may be archaeal homologues to the osmosensing transmembrane channels in eukaryote and bacterial systems. If solutes are not released, how is the cell growth affected?

Biochemical and structural analyses of proteins involved in osmolyte biosynthesis may also shed light on how the synthesis of diverse osmolytes is controlled. For example, the redox active cysteine pair in *A. fulgidus* IMPase/FBPase suggests this enzyme could also be controlled by oxidation. Does oxidation of that enzyme occur in the cell, and can one identify the thioredoxins responsible for controlling this activity if it occurs *in vivo*?

Once we understand what controls the accumulation of unusual osmolytes in archaea and how these solutes stabilize macromolecules, we may use this information in the bioengineering arena. The ultimate hope is that one can engineer organisms with enhanced osmolyte biosynthesis capability. This is currently being done in plants (115) with the expression of genes encoding critical steps in the synthesis of osmoprotectant compounds. These transgenic plants generally accumulate low levels of osmoprotectants and have increased stress tolerance.
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