Cell cycle control as a basis for cancer chemoprevention through dietary agents
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1. ABSTRACT

The development of cancer is associated with disorders in the regulation of the cell cycle. The purpose of this review is to briefly summarize the known sequence of events that regulate cell cycle progression with an emphasis on the checkpoints and the mechanisms cell employ to insure DNA stability in the face of genotoxic stress. Key transitions in the cell cycle are regulated by the activities of various protein kinase complexes composed of cyclin and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) molecules. The cyclins are CDK binding partners which are required for kinase activity and their protein levels are intimately linked to the cell cycle stage. CDK activity can be regulated by other mechanisms, such as phosphorylation events, that may contribute to deregulation of cell cycle and the development of cancer. While fruits and vegetables are recommended for prevention of cancer, their active ingredients and mechanisms of action are less well understood. Here, we briefly present evidence that dietary agents identified from fruits and vegetables can act to modulate the effects of deregulated cell cycle checkpoints, and that this may contribute to the prevention of cancer. The agents include apigenin (celery, parsley), curcumin (turmeric), (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (green tea), resveratrol (red grape, peanuts and berries), genistein (soybean), and silymarin (milk thistle). The teachings of Hippocrates are still true “let food be thy medicine and medicine be thy food”.

2. INTRODUCTION

Disruption of the normal regulation of cell-cycle progression and division are important events in the development of cancer. Complex networks of regulatory factors respond to the tumor microenvironment and stress signals, such as those resulting from damaged DNA, dictate whether cells proliferate or die. Life on earth copes with constant exposure to DNA-damaging agents, including solar radiation, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and cigarette smoke, etc. The DNA contained in every mammalian cell is under constant attack by agents that can either directly damage one of its three billion bases or break the phosphodiester backbone on which the bases reside. The living cell has evolved such that it deals with both metabolic and external sources of DNA-damaging agents through elegant mechanisms that repair damage to the DNA. Cellular responses to DNA damage constitute one of the most important fields in cancer biology. Damage to cellular DNA can result in the development of cancer. This is evident from: (i) epidemiological studies (1), from animal models and from the observation that many human-cancer-susceptibility syndromes arise from mutations in genes involved in DNA-damage responses, (ii) DNA damage is used to cure cancer (2). Most therapeutic modalities that are currently in use for the treatment of malignancies target the DNA, including radiation therapy and many chemo-therapeutic agents, and (iii) DNA damage is responsible for most of the side effects of therapy.
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Cells have developed several defensive mechanisms to cope with this constant attack on their DNA; however, the DNA-repair processes are not perfect. As there are various types of DNA lesion that can occur, a variety of different repair mechanisms exists. In addition to directly repairing DNA breaks or adducts, cells respond to DNA damage by halting cell-cycle progression or by undergoing programmed cell death, *i.e.*, apoptosis. The term “cell-cycle checkpoints” refers to mechanisms by which the cell actively halts progression through the cell cycle until it can ensure that an earlier process, such as DNA replication or mitosis, is complete (3). Normal cell cycle progression relies on the cell’s ability to translate extracellular signals, such as mitogenic stimuli and intact extracellular matrices, in order to efficiently replicate DNA and divide. Here, first we summarize briefly the components of cell cycle regulation in eukaryotic cells, and then present the current knowledge concerning the cell cycle regulatory effects of some dietary agents on the regulation of cell cycle in cancer cells from the perspective of the potential utilization of these agents for the prevention of cancer.

3. OVERVIEW OF CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION

The cell cycle is the recurring sequence of events that includes the duplication of cell contents and subsequent cell division. For eukaryotic cells, the cell cycle has been defined as the interval between the completion of mitosis in a cell and the completion of mitosis by one or both of its daughter cells (4). Traditionally the cell cycle in eukaryotic cells has been divided into four phases: Gap phase 1 (G1); DNA synthesis (S); Gap phase 2 (G2), during which the cell prepares itself for division; and mitosis (M) during which the chromosomes separate and the cell divides (5). The rapid process in cellular mitosis includes chromosomal alignment in metaphase, segregation of sister chromosome in anaphase and subsequent division of cellular material leading to the next interphase (6). The interphase consists of resting phase followed by cell growth and normal metabolic role to duplicate the genetic material in S-phase and further proof reading in the replication and prepare for mitosis that occurs in G2 phase. Strict regulation of this cell division cycle is crucial for duplication of genetic information with extremely high fidelity as well as to monitor correct segregation of this information during mitosis.

The progression of cell cycle from one phase to the next is regulated by sequential activation and inactivation of many “check points” that monitor the status of the cell as well as environmental cues as summarized in Figure 1 (7). Checkpoints are defined operationally as a change of the cycle is tightly regulated by cyclin-dependent kinase complexes (CDKs), which are activated when they previously dependent upon completion of another cellular process (6). In order to ensure proper cell cycle progression, the cells go through countless internal checkpoints to verify proper completion of one step before proceeding onto the next step (8,9). Among these, the phase change of the cycle is tightly regulated by cyclin-dependent kinase complexes (CDKs), which are activated when they become bound to regulatory proteins (cyclins) (10-12). CDKs are protein kinases that require binding to cyclin subunits to become catalytically competent. Different members of the CDK family, in association with different cyclins, represent key switches at various points in the cell cycle. Cyclin-CDK complexes are regulated by phosphorylation and protein interaction events that tightly control the timing and extent of CDK activation. For example, in G1 phase, growth factors or other stimuli induce the production of cyclin D1, which upon association with CDK4 or CDK6 forms an active kinase. These kinases drive entry into the cell cycle by phosphorylating the retinoblastoma protein (pRb), and pRb causes the release of bound E2F transcription factors and expression of E2F (13), thereby allowing progression from G1 to S phase. The
specific phase transition activated by E2F, including the transcription of specific genes of cyclin and kinase needed as well as the other enzymes, required DNA synthesis in S-phase, such as thymidine kinase and dihydrofolate reductase. This process is negatively regulated by cyclin kinase inhibitors (CDKI) and is also under surveillance at number of checkpoints.

The checkpoints occur predominantly at four stages of the cell cycle: in G1, at the G1/S transition, at the G2/M transition and at the metaphase/anaphase transition. The DNA damage checkpoint arrests cells in either the G1, S or G2 phase depending upon the cell cycle status of the cell at the time damage was incurred (14). In addition to triggering arrest of cell cycle progression, checkpoints also can trigger the induction of necessary repair genes. Since CDK molecules regulate cell cycle progression, any of the genes involved in the regulation of kinase activity could be involved in the signal transduction machinery that leads to cell cycle arrest. The cell cycle arrest allows the repair of the genetic material thereby preventing secondary lesions and ensuring the appropriate progression into the next phase of the cycle.

3.1. Cyclins

Cyclins control various phases of the cell cycle through their ability to form a complex with a CDK partner. Their expression pattern dictates the point in the cell cycle at which they act. Some proteins are included in the ‘cyclin family’ due to their overall structural homology and conserved cyclin domains; however these ‘cyclins’ do not undergo cell cycle fluctuations and are involved in cellular processes other than cell cycle control. Thus, there are two types of cyclins: The cell-cycle related cyclins (Cyclins A, B, D and E) and the non-cell cycle related cyclins (e.g., cyclins H and C). Of the cell-cycle related cyclins, cyclins D and E play an important role in the transition from the G1 to S phase (15). Cyclin D1 is part of a cell cycle control mode that is consistently deregulated in most human cancers. Studies of cyclin D1-null mice indicate, however, that it is dispensable in normal mouse development and is not required for cell growth in culture. It has been reported that ras-mediated tumorigenesis depends on signaling pathways that act preferentially through cyclin D1. Cyclin D1 expression and the activity of its associated kinase are up-regulated in keratinocytes in response to oncogenic ras. Furthermore, cyclin D1 deficiency results in a dramatic (up to 80%) reduction in the development of squamous tumors generated through either grafting or retroviral ras-transduced keratinocytes, phorbol ester treatment of ras transgenic mice, or a two-stage carcinogenesis (16).

Cyclin E plays a pivotal role in the regulation of G1-S transition and relates to malignant transformation of the cells. With its catalytic subunit CDK2, cyclin E is a key factor in the G1 checkpoint and promotes transition into S phase (17). Cyclin E/CDK2 also plays a role in the initiation of DNA replication (18). An oncogenic role for cyclin E has been suggested by studies of cyclin E-deficient cells which are resistant to transformation by myc alone or myc in combination with ras, a dominant negative p53, or E1A, suggesting that cyclin E is a key component in oncogenic signaling (19). Induction of the p53 tumor suppressor gene after DNA damage inhibits the G1 cyclins/cyclin-dependent kinase activity via the p53 downstream mediator Cip1/p21 (20,21). This inhibition causes cell cycle arrest to facilitate DNA repair (22,23). Under normal conditions cyclin E is present at low level. Cyclin E overexpressions were observed frequently in deeply invasive tumors, and can also be overexpressed in tumor tissue as biologically hyperactive low molecular weight isoforms which lack the normal N-terminus (24). Constitutive over expression of cyclin E protein at all phases of the cell cycle is one of the features observed in breast cancer cell cycle and thought to result in premature DNA replication, genomic instability (25,26), and carcinogenesis (27). In ovarian cancer patients, higher expression of cyclin E has been associated with low overall survival rates (28). Although cyclin E overexpression has been linked as an independent poor prognostic to adverse outcomes in patients with gastric (29) and bladder carcinomas (30) but the prognosis is significant in non-small-cell lung carcinomas (31).

Cyclin A is associated with both CDK1 and CDK2, and has functions in both S phase and mitosis. Of these cyclin-cdk complexes, cyclin D-CDK4/6 activity drives cells through the early G1 phase of the cell cycle, whereas cyclin E-CDK2 and subsequently cyclin A-CDK2 activities are required for transition through the later G1 phase of the cell cycle past the restriction point up to which growth factor stimulation is mandatory. Cyclin A starts to accumulate during S phase and is abruptly disappear before metaphase. In cultured cells, cyclin A is synthesized and disappear after cyclin E but slightly earlier than cyclin B during G2 phase (32,33). Consistent with its role in the control of DNA replication, cyclin A is synthesized at the onset of S phase and localizes to the sites of DNA replication (34,35). Mostly two-types of cyclin A are known: an embryonic-specific cyclin A1 and a somatic cyclin A2. Conceptually, deregulation of cell cycle regulators such as cyclin A2 is likely to contribute to tumorigenesis. Cyclin A-CDK complex also contributes to tumorigenesis by phosphorylating other oncoproteins and tumor suppressors. The over expression of cyclin A alters the apoptotic function of p53 in breast cancer cells, which induces tumorigenic response (36).

3.2. Cyclin dependent kinases (CDK)

CDKs are protein kinases that require binding to a cyclin subunit to become catalytically competent (37,38). Different members of the CDK family, in association with different cyclins, switches throughout the cell cycle; other family members regulate transcription, differentiation, and nutrient uptake, as well as other cellular functions. CDKs are typically 300 amino acids in length and contain certain recognizable motifs. Even though CDK protein levels are constant throughout the cell cycle, the CDKs are only functional during distinct intervals within the cell cycle. Notably, to enter the S phase all cells must fulfill the same essential requirement: they must activate cyclin-dependent kinases. Four separate CDKs (CDK1, CDK2, CDK4, and CDK6) are responsible for controlling the various stages of
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the cell cycle (39). At the G1/S transition phase, CDK4/6 and CDK2 govern the entry into S-phase. CDK2 continues to be active through S-phase with its decline in activity signaling exit from S-phase. CDK1 becomes active in G2 and its activity persists through mitosis (15). The prototypic CDK, CDK1, associates with cyclins A and B, and acts at the G2/M interface. The progressive accumulation of A and B cyclins during the cell cycle and their abrupt degradation at the onset of anaphase, mediates entry and exit from mitosis, respectively.

CDKs in the G1 phase trigger DNA replication. In higher eukaryotes, the G1 CDKs including CDK2, combines with E-type cyclins (E1, E2) and cyclin A (37,38). E-type cyclins are required for appropriate development of the mouse. Cyclin E levels are constantly high in the cells of early embryos, allowing CDK2 to initiate S phase as soon as M phase is over (38). In most other cells, however, various mechanisms enforce the existence of the G1 phase by keeping CDK2 inactive until mitogenic signals intervene. Cyclin E expression is dependent on E2F transcription factors (40,41). In mitotically resting cells, and in cells that have just emerged from M phase, E2F factors are bound to Rb or its family members, p107, and p130. Rb binding turns E2Fs into repressors or inactive transactivators (41).

3.3. CDK inhibitors (CDKI)

Primarily there are two families of CDK inhibitors (CDKI), each with multiple members. The first family is identified as the Cip1/p21 family of universal cyclin/CDK inhibitors which includes Cip1/p21, Kip1/p27 and Kip2/p57 (42,43). This family of inhibitors binds both cyclin molecules, through conserved LFG residues in the cyclin box motif, and the CDK molecule simultaneously. External stimuli (i.e., environmental or nutrients) and internal signals (i.e., DNA damage) regulate the formation of cyclin-CDK complexes via CDKI, which include the members of Cip/Waf family (p21, p27, p57). The members of this family interacts with multiple cyclin-CDK complexes and members of the INK4 family (p15, p16, p18, p19), which specifically inhibit cyclin-D-CDK complexes. The members of the Cip1/p21 family are able to bind all cyclin/CDK complexes in vitro, but they have greater affinity for G1 cyclin/CDK complexes in vivo (44). The members of the second family of inhibitors, the INK4 family, show specificity for CDK4 and CDK6 due to their specificity in binding with CDK4 or CDK6. The members of this family are: p16\textsuperscript{INK4A}, p15\textsuperscript{INK4B}, and p19\textsuperscript{INK4D} (45). The relative concentrations of each of the two families of inhibitors determine their distribution among the various cyclin/CDK complexes and ultimately affect G1 progression. The Cip1/p21 has the ability to inhibit CDK kinase activity and subsequently inhibit DNA replication, and also partly responsible for the G1 growth arrest phenotype, through cyclin/CDK kinase inhibition, that is observed after p53 upregulation (46,47). The G1 phase arrest allows sufficient time for damaged cells to repair any damaged DNA before passage through R and entrance into S-phase. This inhibitory effect of Cip1/p21 acts as a surveillance mechanism for the cell to maintain genomic integrity. The Kip1/p27 also plays a role in the regulation of the restriction point. The function of Kip1/p27 is regulated by the presence or absence of mitogens and the fluctuations in the Kip1/p27 protein levels occur mainly as a consequence of translational and posttranslational modifications of the protein rather than changes in the mRNA levels (48,49). CDK kinase activity is considered to play a major role in cancer progression. The functions of the members of the CDK family are regulated primarily by CDKI proteins and are commonly upregulated in response to antiproliferative signals (50). The members of the INK4 family specifically block G1 progression by inhibiting the association of CDK4/6 with cyclin D (51). P16\textsuperscript{INK4A}, via its mechanism of inhibition through either CDK4 or CDK6 binding, is linked to cyclin D and Rb. A cyclin-CDK complex hyperphosphorylates Rb, leading to its release from E2F (52-54). The free transcription factor E2F then activates the genes responsible for cellular proliferation by progression through G1 phase. Impairment of a growth-stimulatory signaling pathway (e.g., erbB1, raf, MAPK) has been shown to stimulate the expression of CDKIs. An activated CDKI binds to and subsequently inhibits cyclin-CDK activity, which interferes with hyperphosphorylation of Rb by keeping it in the hypophosphorylated form and bound to E2F, thereby blocking the proliferation of cells and inducing cell growth arrest (53-56).

4. CELL-CYCLE AND CANCER: CHECKPOINTS

Cells are constantly subject to mutation of their DNA, which is detrimental to the cells but only rarely results in the production of cells that can escape the normal constraints and flourish as pathologic tumors. Cells respond to DNA damage by halting cell cycle progression and/or by undergoing programmed cell death or apoptosis. Replication errors and DNA damage incurred by radiation or chemical agents constantly challenge the genetic integrity of a cell. The complex regulatory system, discussed in part above, detects these aberrations and stalls the cells in the gap phases (G1 or G2) until the damage is repaired, or triggers the pathways that lead to programmed cell death. Failure of the quality control checkpoints or a loss of balance of the regulatory molecules plays a major role in the development of cancer. One of the key players in these pathways is the transcription factor p53, which is the most frequently mutated tumor suppressor gene and loss of p53 expression or function is associated with an increased risk of cancer in humans (46). Some of the mechanisms and/or checkpoints at which cells halt their cycle in order to verify normal metabolic processes are listed below, and summarized in Figure 1.

4.1. The G1 and G1/S checkpoint

In the presence of DNA damage, the G1/S checkpoint prevents replication of damaged DNA through several distinct signal transduction pathways. Of the many regulatory checkpoints of the cell cycle, the acquisition of abnormalities at the G1/S checkpoint appears to be the most crucial step in the genesis and progression of cancer (12,13). Upon DNA damage, the activated Chk1 phosphorylates Cdc25A, triggering its ubiquitination and degradation by the proteasome pathway, which is required for G1/S transition (14,57). Ubiquitous degradation of
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Cdc25A results in the failure of Cdk2 activation and prevents Cdc45 from loading onto chromatin. Since Cdc45 is essential for recruitment of DNA polymerase α, this prevents the development of a new origin of replication. This unloading of Cdc45 plays a role in the initial cell cycle arrest at the G1/S boundary. Inactivation of the pRb and p53 pathways at the G1/S transition is a fundamental requirement for the genesis of most human cancers. The cell cycle protein p53, which has been termed ‘the guardian of the genome’ is one of the most important cell cycle proteins modulated by regulation of checkpoints at G1. Transcriptional responses by p53 are then required for maintaining the G1/S arrest. The expression and activity of p53 is regulated by post-transcriptional modification, such as phosphorylation, sumoylation, neddylation and acetylation. Phosphorylation of p53 on Ser15 by ATM or ATR and on Ser20 by Chk1 accumulates p53 protein in the nucleus by inhibiting its nuclear export and degradation. Under normal circumstances, p53 is dormant until activated by DNA damage or other genomic aberrations. The key transcriptional target of p53 is the Cip1/p21, an inhibitor of cyclin dependent kinases, which silences the G1/S promoting cyclin E/CDK2 kinases and thereby inhibits the G1/S transition (13,58). This allows DNA repair or the induction of various pro-apoptotic factors (Puma, Bax, Noxa), oxidative stress response genes, and the feedback regulator, Mdm2. p53 is a short-lived protein that is stabilized and transcriptionally activated by ATM-mediated phosphorylation. Another G1-checkpoint function served by p53 through the activation of Cip1/p21, which binds to the cyclin D-CDK4 complex and prevents it from phosphorylating Rb, thereby suppressing the Rb/E2F pathway. Thus, the G1 checkpoint signals target two independent and critical tumor suppressor pathways, governed by p53 and pRb, which are most commonly deregulated in human cancers (59,60).

4.2. The S-phase checkpoint

The intra-S-phase checkpoint network functions to avoid the duplication of damaged or broken DNA, which would be further propagated in mitosis eventually lead to genomic instability. This checkpoint is regulated by two distinct pathways, namely ATM/ATR-Chk1–Cdc25A and ATM–Nbs1–SMC1 (61). Depending on the type of DNA damage, ATM or ATR phosphorylates Chk1, which in turn phosphorylates Cdc25A on its several serine residues, and then maintain an appropriate abundance of Cdc25A. In response to genotoxic stress, the activity of Chk1 and Chk2 is enhanced leading to downregulation of Cdc25A, which subsequently causes inactivation of cyclin E–CDK2 (2). The other type of intra-S-checkpoint reflects the impact of ATM-mediated phosphorylations of Nbs1 on several sites, in particular Ser343, which is required for activation of the Nbs1–Mre11–Rad50 complex (62,63). Depending on the phosphorylation state of Nbs1, the cohesin protein SMC1 is phosphorylated on Ser957 and Ser966 by ATM, which is required for the intra-S checkpoint. Other mediator proteins, such as 53BP1, BRCA1, FANC D2 and MDC1, are also involved in the intra-S checkpoint. The above mentioned two kinds of intra-S-checkpoints have been documented in response to both ionizing radiation and UV radiation (61, 64).

4.3. The G2/M checkpoint

The G2/M checkpoint prevents cells from entering into mitosis when they experience DNA damage during G2 or when they carry unrepaired DNA from G1 or S to progress into G2 (65,66). The critical target of the G2/M checkpoint is the mitosis promoting activity, which is regulated through the inhibition of cyclin B/Cdc2 kinase by Chk1- or p38-mediated subcellular sequestration, degradation and inhibition of the Cdc25 family of phosphatases. In addition, other upstream regulator of Cdc25c and cyclin B/CDK1 are also targeted in DNA damage induced G2 arrest. The maintenance phase of G2/M partially relies on the transcriptional programs regulated by BRCA1 and p53. P53-dependent mechanisms are also important for the maintenance of G2 arrest. The critical targets of p53 at G2/M are the Cdk inhibitor p21, GADD45, which causes the dissociation of the Cdc2 and cyclin complex, and 14-3-3 sigma, which sequesters the cyclin B/Cdc2 complex in the cytoplasm (66,67). In addition, p53 appears to repress the transcription of Cdc2 and cyclin B. Two isoforms of MAP kinase, p38 alpha and gamma, also have been implicated in the G2/M checkpoint (2).

Previously, Cdc25B and Cdc25C were thought to be the major effectors of the G2/M checkpoint response. However, recent reports have revealed that both Cdc25B- and Cdc25C-deficient cells have a normal G2/M checkpoint, suggesting the crucial role of Cdc25A in targeting the G2/M checkpoint. P53-independent mechanism also is sufficient to sustain the G2 arrest in p53 mutant tumor cells. This has inspired efforts to develop strategies to interfere with G2 checkpoints as a potential approach to the sensitization of cancer cells to radiation or drug-induced DNA damage and, thus, cell death (68).

5. MOLECULAR TARGETS OF DIETARY AGENTS ON CELL CYCLE PROGRESSION OF CANCER CELLS

Cancer is a complex disease, in which there is genetic variability among not only different types of cancer but also among different patients with the same type of cancer, and even among different cells within the same tumor. Tumors also represent the culmination of multiple genetic abnormalities. As a consequence, the targeting of a single molecular target for therapeutic purposes might not be sufficient to elicit the desired outcome. Different nutrients, specifically dietary botanicals, can play a role in the regulation of both normal and pathologic processes. An improved understanding of the regulatory role of these nutrients on cell cycle regulatory checkpoints may help in the prevention and treatment of various cancers. For more than a decade, there has been considerable interest in the use of naturally occurring botanicals for the prevention of disease including prevention of various cancers. Although several dietary agents or nutrients have been shown to affect the cell cycle regulation on treatment with cancer cells, we briefly summarize the role of some common dietary agents as an example and present evidence that dietary agents can interfere with the abnormal progression of cell cycle regulation of cancer cells. The agents which
we discuss include grape seed proanthocyanidins (GSPs), green tea polyphenol, (−)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), resveratrol (red grapes, peanuts and berries), silymarin/silibinin (milk thistle), genistein (soybean), curcumin (turmeric) and apigenin (celery, parsley). A brief discussion includes their effects on cancer cells in vitro and in vivo studies for their multiple roles in the regulation of cell cycle proteins/checkpoints. Their sources and structures are summarized briefly in Table 1. The cell cycle checkpoints that are known to be targeted by dietary agents are summarized in Figure 2.

5.1. Grape seed proanthocyanidins

Grape (Vitis vinifera) seeds are potent source of proanthocyanidins (GSPs), which are composed mainly of dimers, trimers and highly polymerized oligomers of monomeric catechins (69). GSPs have been shown to have potent anti-carcinogenic properties in several in vitro and in vivo tumor models. They have been shown to control abnormal regulation of cell cycle progression in cancer cells and promote apoptotic cell death. Treatment of human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells with GSPs results in inhibition of cell proliferation and the promotion of cytotoxic effects in a dose-dependent manner, which was associated with the arrest of cells in the G1 phase. It was observed that treatment of A431 cells with GSPs resulted in a marked reduction in the expression levels of CDK2, CDK4 and CDK6. Similarly, a marked reduction in the expression levels of cyclins D1, D2 and E was observed after GSPs treatment (70). The Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27 regulate the progression of cells in the Go/G1 phase of the cell cycle and induction of these proteins causes a blockade of the G1 to S transition, thereby resulting in a Go/G1 phase arrest of the cell cycle (71). The loss of CDK1 in human cancers leads to uncontrolled cell proliferation (72). In this context, the in vitro experimental data revealed that treatment of human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells with GSPs resulted in a dose-dependent increase in the protein levels of Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27. These in vitro observations indicate that the GSP-induced enhancement of the levels of CDK1 may have an important role in the GSP-induced G1-phase arrest of cell cycle progression in A431 cells, possibly through their inhibition of CDK kinase activity (70). This event may lead to the apoptotic cell death of cancer cells. Apoptosis plays a crucial role in eliminating the mutated neoplastic and hyperproliferating neoplastic cells from the system and therefore is considered as a protective mechanism against the development of cancer (73).

Although in vitro cell culture models are useful in obtaining mechanistic insights, the observations made using the in vitro systems need to be verified in vivo animal models to establish the relevance of the cellular findings. In an in vivo study, we found that administration of GSPs by oral gavage inhibits the growth of A431 tumor-xenografts in athymic nude mice. The mechanism of inhibition of the growth of tumors by GSPs was further confirmed by the analysis of mRNA levels of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and cyclin D1, as markers of tumor cell proliferation. A reduction in the mRNA expression of cyclin D1 and PCNA was observed in the tumor-xenograft samples of GSP-treated mice as compared to the tumor-xenograft samples of control mice that were not given GSPs by gavage. Further, the inhibition of the growth of tumor xenograft in athymic nude mice by GSPs was associated with the induction of apoptotic cell death of tumor cells (74). Similar observation were noted when the prostate cancer cells, DU145 and LNCaP, were treated with grape seed extract. These studies indicate that treatment of prostate cancer cells with GSPs results in inhibition of proliferation, induction of apoptosis, G1 phase arrest, increases in Cip1/p21 and decreases in CDK4, CDK2 and cyclin E (75).

5.2. EGCG/green tea polyphenol

EGCG has been identified as a major and most effective constituent of green tea. Therefore most of the in vitro and in vivo studies of the effects of green tea have been conducted using EGCG. EGCG has been shown to induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in many cancer cells without affecting normal cells (76). Treatment of various cancer cells (prostate, lung and skin) with EGCG altered the pattern of cell cycle proteins; specifically the inhibition of CDKs. EGCG also enhances the expression of CDK1 proteins, such as Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27 while reducing the expression of cyclin D1 and the phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein. EGCG causes cell cycle arrest and promotes apoptosis via a dose- and time-dependent upregulation of Cip1/p21, Kip1/p27, and p16/INK4A and down-regulation of proteins such as cyclin D1, cyclin E, CDK2, and CDK4 (77). EGCG caused growth arrest at G1 stage of cell cycle through regulation of cyclin D1, CDK4, CDK6, Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27, and induced apoptosis through generation of reactive oxygen species and activation of caspase-3 and caspase-9 (78). A comprehensive effect of EGCG has been described on various cell signaling targets in vitro and in vivo systems, which shows the multiple targets of EGCG against malignancies (79, 80).

5.3. Resveratrol

Several reports indicate that resveratrol, a polyphenol found at high concentrations in grapes and red wine, inhibits proliferation of cancer cells by inhibiting cell-cycle progression at different stages of the cell cycle (81-84). Kuwajerwala et al. (85) have reported that treatment of prostate LNCaP cells with resveratrol induced the cells to enter into S phase, but subsequent progression through S phase was limited by the inhibitory effect of resveratrol on DNA synthesis. This unique ability of resveratrol may be responsible for its apoptotic and antiproliferative effects. Benitez et al. observed that treatment of LNCaP and PC-3 cells with resveratrol induced apoptosis and that this was associated with the reduced levels of expression of cyclins D1 and E and CDK4, as well as a reduction in cyclin D1/CDK4 kinase activity (86). Resveratrol also reduced proliferation and induced apoptosis in human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Resveratrol-induced apoptosis in A431 cells was associated with a reduced level of expression of cyclins D1, D2 and E2; a reduction in the levels of CDK2, CDK4 and CDK6; and enhanced levels of Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27 proteins (82).
Table 1. Dietary agents, their source and molecular structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary agents</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Picture of the sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>Green tea</td>
<td><em>Camellia sinensis</em></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure of EGCG" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Picture of green tea" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silymarin/silibinin</td>
<td>Milk thistle</td>
<td><em>Silybum marianum</em> L.</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure of Silymarin" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Picture of milk thistle" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resveratrol</td>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td><em>Vitis vinifera</em></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure of Resveratrol" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Picture of grapes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genistein</td>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td><em>Glycine max</em></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure of Genistein" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Picture of soybean" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>Celery, Parsley &amp; vegetables</td>
<td><em>Apium graveolens, Petroselinum crispum</em></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure of Apigenin" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Picture of celery and parsley" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin</td>
<td>Turmeric</td>
<td><em>Curcuma longa</em></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure of Curcumin" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Picture of turmeric" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wolter et al. has shown the down-regulation of the cyclin D1/CDK4 complex by resveratrol in colon cancer cell lines (87).

5.4. Genistein/Apigenin

Genistein has been found to induce apoptosis and G2 arrest and inhibited proliferation in a variety of cancer cell lines, regardless of p53 status (88). The dietary flavonoid apigenin, which is abundantly present in fruits and vegetables, induces G2/M phase arrest in two p53-mutant cancer cell lines, HT-29 and MG63, and simultaneously enhances the levels of Cip1/p21, a CDK inhibitory protein (89). Oral administration of apigenin by gavage has been found to inhibit the growth of prostate tumor xenograft in athymic nude mice through the down-modulation of cyclins D1, D2 and E; CDK2, CDK4, and CDK6, and enhancement of the levels of Cip1/p21 and
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Figure 2. A simplified schematic representation of the various cell cycle phases, and the different cyclins and their kinases that control progression through the cycle. At the core of this control is the cyclin dependent kinase (Cdk) family of serine/threonine kinases, which regulate cell cycle progression through phosphorylation of proteins that function at specific phase of the cell cycle. Different Cdns act at different phases of the cell cycle and their activity is dependent on association with a member of the cyclin family of regulatory sub-units. Different dietary agents, such as EGCG, GSTs, silymarin, apigenin, resveratrol, gemistain and curcumin act at different checkpoints as illustrated in the Figure. Some of them act on multiple checkpoints or targets. The arrows indicate activation and blocked signs indicate inhibitory effects.

Kip1/p27 proteins (90). Treatment of PC-3 and LNCaP cells with apigenin caused a marked reduction in the levels of cyclin D1 protein and decreases in CDK2, CDK4 and CDK6, which leads to G0/G1 phase arrest of the cell cycle and induction of apoptosis (91). Apigenin induced G2/M phase cell cycle arrest and reduced the levels of cyclin A, cyclin B, phosphorylated forms of cdc2 and cdc25 in pancreatic cancer cell lines (92). It was shown that this apoptosis induced by apigenin in Hep G2 cells was possibly mediated through the p53-dependent pathway and the induction of Cip1/p21 expression, which was probably associated with the cell cycle arrest in G2/M phase (93). Treatment with apigenin resulted in growth-inhibition and G2/M phase arrest in two p53-mutant cancer cell lines, HT-29 and MG63. These effects were associated with a marked increase in the protein expression of Cip1/p21. These results suggest that there is a p53-independent pathway for apigenin in p53-mutant cell lines, which induces Cip1/p21 expression and growth-inhibition, and that apigenin may be a useful chemopreventive agent not only in wild-type p53 status, but also in cancer with mutant p53 (94).

5.5. Silymarin/silibinin

Treatment of prostate cancer cells with silibinin, an active constituent of silymarin, a plant flavonoid from milk thistle, induced apoptosis, which was associated with G1 phase arrest, inhibition of cyclin dependent kinases (CDK2, CDK4 and CDK6), and a reduction in the levels of cyclin D1 (Reviewed in 95). In the same set of experiments, silibinin was found to increase the levels of CDK1 (Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27) proteins, suggesting their mechanistic involvement. Dietary agents also can synergize with chemotherapeutic drugs, thereby reducing the toxicity of these drugs. Silibinin has been found to synergize the growth-inhibitory effect of doxorubicin on prostate carcinoma DU145 cells, and this was associated with a significant G2/M arrest. The underlying mechanism of G2/M arrest indicated an inhibitory effect on Cdc25c, Cdc2 and cyclin B1 protein expression and Cdc2/p34 kinase activity (95). Treatment of human malignant melanoma cells, A375-S2, with silymarin results in increased G2/M phase arrest, possibly providing a prolonged time for DNA repair. Consequently, silymarin protected A375-S2 cells against UV-induced apoptosis was partially through SIRT1 pathway and modulation of the cell cycle distribution (96). Extensive research within the last decade has shown that silymarin can suppress the proliferation of a variety of tumor cells (e.g., prostate, breast, ovary, colon, lung, bladder), and this is accomplished through cell cycle arrest at the G1/S-phase, induction of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (such as p15, Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27), down-regulation of anti-apoptotic gene products (e.g., Bcl-2 and Bcl-x-L), inhibition of cell-survival kinases (AKT, PKC and MAPK) and inhibition of inflammatory transcription factors (e.g., NF-kappaB). Silymarin can also down-regulate gene products involved in the proliferation of tumor cells (cyclin D1, EGFR, COX-2, TGF-beta, IGF-IR), invasion (MMP-9), angiogenesis and metastasis (97).

5.6. Curcumin

Curcumin (Curcuma longa) is a common spice that is used commonly in the preparation of food in most Indian house-holds and in several Asian countries. Curcumin inhibits cell cycle progression of immortalized human umbilical vein endothelial cells by up-regulating the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, Cip1/p21, Kip1/p27 and p53 (98). In neuroblastoma cells, both curcumin and resveratrol upregulate p53 expression and induce nuclear translocation of p53, followed by induction of Cip1/p21 and Bax expression (99). Treatment of Lovo cells and HCT-116 cells with curcumin resulted in an accumulation of the cells in the G2/M phase and prevented cells from entering the next cell cycle (100-102). Curcumin inhibited the growth of glioma U251 cells in a dose-dependent manner, with the low dose of curcumin inducing G2/M cell cycle arrest. The high dose of curcumin not only enhanced G2/M cell cycle arrest, but also induced S phase arrest. Curcumin induces the expression of p53 and up-regulates the levels of Cip1/p21 and ING4 in glioma U251 cells (103). Aggarwal et al. (104) have demonstrated a dose- and time-dependent down-regulation of expression of cyclin E by curcumin that correlates with a reduction in the proliferation of human prostate and breast cancer cells. The suppression of cyclin E expression was not cell-type dependent as down-regulation occurred in estrogen-positive and -negative breast cancer cells, androgen-dependent and -independent prostate cancer cells, leukemia and lymphoma cells, head and neck carcinoma cells, and lung cancer cells. This study indicated that curcumin enhanced the expression of tumor cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors Cip1/p21 and Kip1/p27 as well as tumor suppressor protein p53 but suppressed the expression of Rb protein. Curcumin also promoted the accumulation of the cells in G1 phase of the cell cycle.
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![Cell cycle regulation diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** Molecular targets of cell cycle regulation in cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* by dietary agents. Upward arrows indicate enhancement, and downward arrows indicate a reduction in the levels, or inhibition of the activity of the target molecules.

Collectively, it is apparent that dietary agents are important regulators of cellular proliferation and specific modulators of cell cycle-associated proteins. The present studies provide evidence that dietary agents have the ability to control the regulation of cell cycle progression in cancer cells through employing various molecular targets, as summarized in Figure 3; and may have the capability to inhibit the progression of cancers of many organs, if used appropriately and in a systematic manner. These findings reaffirm what Hippocrates said twenty-five centuries ago, “let food be thy medicine and medicine be thy food”.
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