The role of chemokines in leucocyte-stromal interactions in rheumatoid arthritis
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1. ABSTRACT

New dimensions in our understanding of immune cell trafficking in health and disease have been opened by the discovery of chemokines and their receptors. This family of chemo-attractant cytokines performs essential roles in the recruitment and subsequent positioning of leucocyte subsets within tissue microenvironments. Investigation of chemokine networks offers a novel approach to understand the mechanisms by which inflammatory cells persist in diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), where evidence is mounting that the inappropriate temporal and spatial expression of chemokines and/or their receptors may impair the resolution of leucocyte infiltrates. The recognition that stromal cells such as fibroblasts, as active components of tissue specific microenvironments, are able to determine the type and persistence of inflammatory infiltrates has opened new vistas in research. Stromal cells are active contributors to cytokine and inflammatory chemokine networks which result in immune cell recruitment and activation. However an intriguing role of stromal cells has been demonstrated in the inappropriate expression of constitutive, housekeeping chemokines, which contribute to the persistence of inflammation by actively blocking its resolution.

2. INTRODUCTION

Chemokines are small, chemoattractant cytokines which enable the body to control the movement of cells of the immune system, and play key roles in the accumulation and activation of leukocytes at sites of inflammation. Chemokines and their receptors are therefore currently considered to be attractive therapeutic targets in several chronic inflammatory disorders, of which RA may be considered a prototype. In RA, hypertrophy of the synovial membrane, accompanied by persistent leukocyte infiltrates, is a characteristic histological feature. In health, the synovial membrane is composed of a thin layer of vascular connective tissue bounded at the synovial fluid compartment by a single cell layer composed of type A (macrophage-like) and type B (fibroblast-like) synoviocytes. In rheumatoid disease this structure undergoes marked hyperplasia, populated by numerous macrophages and proliferative synovial fibroblasts, the latter forming aggressive hyperplastic pannus lesions, which erode into adjacent cartilage and bone (70). The tissue becomes highly vascular, with areas of endothelium resembling high endothelial venules (20). The synovium also becomes infiltrated by numerous leukocytes, including B and T lymphocytes, which may be scattered diffusely, or form more organized focal aggregates (82). Recent research
has implicated the expanded stromal cell populations of the inflamed rheumatoid synovium in maintaining these persistent inflammatory infiltrates via aberrant expression of chemokines and their receptors, resulting in recruitment and retention of inflammatory leukocytes, and the persistence of cytokine networks. In this review we will examine the evidence that stromal cells help maintain the persistence of the inflammatory infiltrate in the RA synovium, through their ectopic production of chemokines. We will also review the evidence from studies aimed at blocking the effects of chemokines which provide support for their role in inflammation and validate chemokines as potential therapeutic targets

### 3. CHEMOKINES

The chemokine family consists of some fifty chemoattractant cytokines (Table 1) which bind to members of the classical G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family (72). Downstream signaling activates phospholipase C to mobilise intracellular calcium and utilises the Rho GTPase and phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase (PI3K) pathways (16). Such diverse signaling results in a variety of outcomes, including activation of leukocyte integrins and shape changes leading to chemotaxis (6;50). Chemokines share a common three dimensional structure despite remarkably low sequence homology of less than 20% (72). Structural similarity is maintained in part by the presence of a disulphide bond between two characteristic cysteine residues. Chemokines are classified according to the position these cysteine residues, which may be adjacent, as in CCL2 (MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1), or separated by a single amino acid, as in CXCL12 (stromal cell-derived factor (SDF)-1). One important structural exception to this rule is CX3CL1 (fractalkine) in which the first two cysteines are separated by 3 amino acids; This chemokine is unique in that it may be secreted or expressed bound to cells by a mucin-rich, transmembrane stalk (3;62). It was Alisa Koch’s group who initially discovered that IL-8, in addition to having chemoattractant effects, also demonstrated angiogenic properties (48). Subsequently it became clear that among chemokines of the CXC family, the presence of an ELR motif defined angiogenic
Chemokines in leukocyte-stromal interactions

properties. Chemokines lacking the motif are largely angiostatic (72), a notable exception being CXCL12, which lacks an ELR motif but displays angiogenic properties (80). Fractalkine has also been shown to be angiogenic both in membrane bound and free forms (88). A universal property of chemokines is the presence of basic residues, particularly within the C terminal alpha helix region. Most chemokines are secreted, and in order to exert chemotactic effects or achieve activation of leukocytes at the endothelial surface, they must be immobilized on the surface of cells or within the extracellular matrix. This is achieved by binding to negatively charged glycosaminoglycans molecules (GAGs), for instance allowing presentation of chemokines to rolling leukocytes at the endothelial surface. This mechanism allows posting of secreted chemokines on the surface of local endothelium, where they can be sampled by rolling leukocytes (reviewed in (57)). Binding of chemokines such as CXCL12, CCL21 (SLC, secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine) and CCL19 (MIP3beta, macrophage inflammatory protein 3beta) activates integrins on the rolling cell surface, triggering firm lymphocyte adhesion, while CCL2 and CXCL8 trigger firm adhesion of monocytes and neutrophils respectively (13). Firm adhesion out of flowing blood is a prelude to migration across the endothelium, and through the extracellular matrix along immobilized haptotactic chemokine gradients, which may be fine-tuned by the oligomerisation of chemokine molecules on extracellular matrix GAGs (reviewed in (57)).

Functionally, chemokines and their receptors fall into two broad functional groups: constitutive (homeostatic) and inflammatory (inducible) chemokines (Table 1). Constitutive chemokines are continuously expressed and govern physiologically essential processes such as hematopoiesis and lymphocyte recirculation. They generally bind exclusively and monogamously as a single chemokine-receptor pair. For instance, CXCL12 is expressed by stromal cells of the bone marrow, where it is responsible for the recruitment and retention of CXCR4 expressing haematopoietic stem cells (18). Inflammatory chemokines such as CXCL8 are usually expressed only under conditions of inflammation and frequently bind promiscuously, with receptors being activated by more than one ligand and most chemokines activating more than one receptor. This highlights a fundamental difference in the regulation of chemokines and receptors which fall into either of the two groups. Tissues involved in homeostatic cell trafficking express constitutive chemokines persistently. Different cell types must therefore vary either the expression or sensitivity of chemokine receptors in order for selectivity in response to occur. For instance, pro- and pre-B cells are highly responsive to CXCL12, whilst dependent upon marrow stromal cells for mitogenic support, but at later stages of development lose this responsiveness (18). By contrast, receptors for inflammatory chemokines (such as CXCR1 and CXCR2, the receptors for CXCL8) must be persistently expressed by inflammatory cells such as neutrophils in order for them to be activated and chemo-attracted by infrequently expressed inflammatory chemokines. Regulation may therefore be achieved either at the level of ligand expression, receptor expression, or both.

4. THE STROMAL MICROENVIRONMENT AND PERSISTENCE OF DISEASE IN RA

Chemokines are intimately involved in site specific trafficking of leukocyte subsets, and this has provided the rational for antagonizing their function. However site specific recruitment of cells at the level of the endothelium is only one possible explanation for why certain subsets of leukocytes accumulate at sites of inflammation. Site specific retention of leukocytes by stromal cells may also play an important role. There is ample precedent for this. Stromal cells such as fibroblasts play well-documented roles in the selective retention of lymphocytes in organs such as the bone marrow and thymus. In the last ten years a paradigm shift has occurred in inflammation research. Haemopoietic cells such as leukocytes are no longer seen and analyzed in isolation, but need to be considered in the context of organ specific stromal microenvironments, made up of tissue specific cells such as fibroblasts, endothelial cells and resident macrophages, along with their highly specialised extracellular matrix (ECM) components.

4.1. The stromal microenvironment and resolution of inflammation

Physiological inflammation is not a stable state. In the absence of extrinsic stimuli such inflammation resolves and the tissue reverts to normal. In contrast, chronic persistent inflammation such as that present in the established rheumatoid synovium is highly stable. As an inflammatory process reaches its conclusion, the resolution of inflammatory leukocyte infiltrates within a microenvironment is governed by a number of dynamic factors: firstly the balance between cell recruitment and emigration, and secondly the balance between cell death and proliferation. Recent evidence suggests that tissue stromal cells are able to determine the type and duration of leukocyte infiltration in an inflammatory response (10). At the resolution of such responses, stromal cells contribute to the withdrawal of survival signals and normalization of chemokine gradients, allowing infiltrating cells to undergo apoptosis or leave via draining lymphatics. Subversion of these pathways results in a switch to persistent inflammation which remains remarkably stable over time (63).

Ignoring the contribution of dynamic leucocyte-stromal interactions may account for the failure of conventional therapies to effect a permanent cure in RA since such therapies potentially miss many points where leukocyte-stromal interactions occur. Many therapeutic interventions that lead to elimination of inflammatory leukocytes from the synovium have been used with transient success, from intra-articular steroid injections to thoracic-duct drainage (66). However, these therapies do not affect the expanded fibroblast network, and within six to twelve weeks the inflammatory leukocytes return and with them, recurrence of disease symptoms. T lymphocytes have been shown to play an important role in TNF-alpha production by synovial monocytes, but crucially the T cells responsible appear to
have the phenotype of cytokine-activated, rather than antigen-specific T cells (7). The role of a specific subtype of T helper lymphocyte, the Th17 cell, has recently become prominent. Studies suggest that the T lymphocyte phenotype observed in many chronic inflammatory diseases may be accounted for by this sub-population, the differentiation of which is dependent upon cytokines found plentifully in the RA synovial microenvironment (79). Recent evidence suggests that Th17 lymphocytes could also account for some specific pathological features of RA (76). Many studies throughout the 1990's sought evidence for antigen-specific T cell clones in the synovium of patients with established RA. The overall outcome suggested that the synovial T cell population merely reflects recent immune events in the periphery rather than a synovial antigen-specific reaction (74). The perspective that the hyperplastic tissue microenvironment, rather than an antigen-specific immune process, maintains persistence of the inflammatory infiltrate has now received widespread support (64).

The most abundant cells of the stroma are fibroblasts which are responsible for the synthesis and remodeling of extracellular matrix components. In addition, their ability to produce and respond to growth factors allows reciprocal interactions with other stromal cell types and with adjacent epithelial and endothelial structures. As a consequence fibroblasts play a critical role during tissue development and homeostasis and are often described as having a “sentinel” or “landscaping” function. Moreover these functions contribute to the pathology of many diseases either directly, for example by overproduction of matrix components during fibrosis, and/or indirectly by influencing the behavior of neighboring cell types.

4.2. Rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts

Rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts provide a convincing example of how stromal cells contribute to the persistence of inflammation. RA synovial fibroblasts have been shown to regulate tissue injury and remodeling, and display an imprinted phenotype which is stable under in vitro culture conditions, and which extends to functionally important outcomes such as cartilage invasion, as demonstrated in SCID mouse models (58). Synovial fibroblast mediated erosion of cartilage and bone determine disease outcome for the majority of rheumatoid arthritis patients (24). Type I interferons are produced by the expanded stromal population of synovial fibroblasts and macrophages, resulting in a lack of proliferation, but also a block of the apoptotic signals which normally result in a coordinated wave of T lymphocyte death at the conclusion of an inflammatory response (67;75). The unique, imprinted phenotype of RA synovial fibroblasts bears remarkable phenotypic similarities to stromal cells of the bone marrow which are involved in the accumulation and support of haemopoietic cells (22). Recent studies have suggested that the phenotype of RA synovial fibroblasts is accounted for by the accumulation of blood borne stromal progenitor cells (Mesenchymal progenitor Cells) (22). Other possible sources of stromal cells in inflammatory diseases include epithelial to mesenchymal transition; a phenomenon observed in inflammatory diseases of the kidney at sites of epithelial injury (35). Targeting of such trans-differentiation processes may prove useful in retarding fibrotic diseases such as systemic sclerosis (69). Compelling evidence, discussed below, suggests that through their secretion of cytokines and chemokines, synovial fibroblasts play a role in the persistence of inflammation in the synovium (71).

5. CHEMOKINE AND CHEMOKINE RECEPTOR EXPRESSION IN THE INFLAMED SYNOVIVUM

A considerable body of evidence has accumulated demonstrating sources of inflammatory chemokines which act to recruit inflammatory cells to the RA joint. Abundant monocytes and macrophages, and stromal elements such as synovial fibroblasts, are subject to a proinflammatory cytokine network and direct contact interactions with other infiltrating cells such as T lymphocytes (19;56), leading to high levels of expression of many inflammatory CK in the rheumatoid synovium (Figure 1). Neutrophil attracting chemokines are expressed at high levels by monocytes and stimulated fibroblasts and include CXCL8 (IL-8), CXCL5 (ENA-78, epithelial-cell-derived neutrophil attractant 78) and CXCL1 (GROalpha, growth related oncogene alpha) (44;46;47). Monocytes and T cells may be recruited by a range of CXC and CC chemokines found at high levels in the synovium; CXCL10 (IP-10) and CXCL9 (Mig) are highly expressed in synovial tissue and fluid (65). CXCL16 is also highly expressed in the RA synovium and acts as a potent chemoattractant for T cells. CCL2 (MCP-1) is found in synovial fluid and known to be produced by synovial fibroblasts; it is considered to be a pivotal chemokine for the recruitment of monocytes (45;87). CCL3 (Mip-1alpha), CCL4 (Mip-1beta) and CCL5 (RANTES) are chemotactic for monocytes and lymphocytes, expressed at high levels in inflamed rheumatoid synovium and known products of synovial fibroblasts (34;65). CCL20 (Mip-3alpha) is also over-expressed in the synovium, and has a similar chemoattractant profile via its specific receptor, CCR6 (14;54). CX3CL1 (Fractalkine) is also widely expressed in the rheumatoid synovium (73). A number of chemokine receptors have been shown to differ between peripheral blood and synovial leucocytes, suggesting that they are enriched in the synovium either though their selective recruitment by endothelial expressed chemokines, or following up-regulation by the microenvironment after recruitment. In RA patients, circulating monocytes express mainly CCR1, CCR2 and CCR4, whereas monocytes isolated from synovial fluid express higher levels of CXC and CC chemokine receptors (54;55). CXCR5 (RANTES) is also over-expressed in the synovium, and has a similar chemoattractant profile via its specific receptor, CCR6 which appears to express higher levels of CCR5, CXCR2, CXCR3 and CXCR6 than circulating cells while expressing low levels of CCR3, suggesting a Th1 selective recruitment bias (27). Clearly such exuberant expression of chemokines of an inflammatory type may be responsible for considerable recruitment of activated lymphocytes, monocytes and neutrophils, though once again, such expression does not constitute a disease-specific profile.

Angiogenesis is a vital aspect of the proliferative RA synovium, and is characterised by the presence both of
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6. CONSTITUTIVE CHEMOKINES IN LEUKOCYTE-STROMAL CELL INTERACTIONS IN RA

The presence of high levels of inflammatory chemokines, particularly produced by stromal cells, is therefore a characteristic of RA. However recent data suggest that paradoxically there is also a role for constitutive chemokines, which previously had not been thought to be associated with inflammatory processes, but rather with the recruitment of lymphocytes to secondary lymphoid tissues. The constitutive chemokine CXCL12 (SDF-1) and its receptor CXCR4 have emerged as unexpected but crucial players in the accumulation of T lymphocytes within the rheumatoid synovial microenvironment (Figure 2). CXCR4 is expressed constitutively on naive T cells, but not on highly differentiated CD45RO+ T cells in peripheral blood (9). Unexpectedly, CD45RO+ T lymphocytes were found to express CXCR4 receptors at high levels in the rheumatoid synovium. Its ligand CXCL12 was highly expressed on endothelial cells at the sites of T cell accumulation (9,59,61). In addition, stromal-cell derived TGF-beta is responsible for up-regulation of CXCR4 receptors on T cells in the synovium (9). Cross-talk between chemokine and cytokine networks may operate to reinforce the retention of T cells by CXCL12. For example, locally raised IL-1 or TNF-alpha levels cause synovial fibroblasts and macrophages to secrete IL-15. This cytokine then up-regulates CXCR4 on T cells, and may thus also contribute to the retention of T lymphocytes (59). Evidence also suggests that the stability of lymphocyte infiltrates is reinforced by a positive feedback loop, whereby tissue CXCL12 promotes CD40 ligand expression on T cells, which in turn stimulates further CXCL12 production by synovial fibroblasts (59). Interestingly, levels of CXCL12 secreted by synovial fibroblasts have recently been shown to be controlled in part by T cell derived IL-17 (40).

There is therefore clear evidence in support of the hypothesis that aberrant ectopic expression of constitutive CK by synovial fibroblasts contributes to the retention of T cells within the RA synovium. Other cell constituents of the rheumatoid inflammatory infiltrate may be affected by the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis. Blades and colleagues showed an increase expression of CXCL12/CXCR4 by monocyte/macrophage cells in RA compared with OA. In addition, using implanted human synovial tissue in SCID mice, they demonstrated that monocytes are recruited into transplanted synovial tissue by CXCL12 (5). Contact-mediated B cell survival induced by synovial fibroblasts has also been shown to depend upon CXCL12 and CD106 (VCAM-1)-dependent mechanisms which are independent of TNF-alpha (11). Over-expression of CXCL12 was also identified as a distinct feature of rheumatoid, as opposed to osteoarthritis synovia using cDNA arrays (85). Data validating these findings in vivo come from a CIA model in DBA/1 (interferon-γ receptor deficient) mice, where administration of the specific CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 significantly ameliorated disease severity (55). In another murine CIA model the small molecule CXCR4 antagonist 4F-benzoyl-TN14003 ameliorated clinical severity and suppressed DTH (delayed type hypersensitivity) responses (83). The CXCL12/CXCR4 constitutive CK pair therefore seems to play an important role in cellular retention in RA.

The role of CXCL12 in angiogenesis in RA is also of interest; Pablos et al reported that rheumatoid
The constitutive chemokine CXCL12 and its receptor CXCR4 are crucial players in the accumulation of T lymphocytes within the rheumatoid synovial microenvironment. Activated T lymphocytes do not usually express high levels of CXCR4, the CXCL12 receptor, however high levels are expressed in activated T lymphocytes of the rheumatoid synovium. CXCL12 is highly expressed on endothelial cells and by synovial fibroblasts at sites of T cell accumulation. The mechanisms whereby both CXCR4 and CXCL12 are inappropriately upregulated are becoming clearer. Stromal-cell derived TGF-beta has been shown to be a major signal up-regulating CXCR4 receptors on T cells in the synovium. Furthermore, locally raised IL-1 or TNF-alpha levels cause synovial fibroblasts and macrophages to secrete IL-15. This cytokine also up-regulates CXCR4 expression by T cells. Additional evidence suggests that the stability of lymphocyte infiltrates is reinforced by a positive feedback loop, whereby tissue CXCL12 promotes CD40 ligand expression on T cells. Cell-contact mediated ligation of the fibroblast CD40 receptor and release of T cell derived IL-17 stimulates further CXCL12 production by synovial fibroblasts.

CXCL12 was seen on vascular than lymphoid vessels, consistent with the attraction and retention of lymphocytes. In RA synovium by contrast levels of chemokine expression were equally high in vascular and lymphoid vessels, suggesting that increased tissue expression of constitutive CK plays a role in lymphocyte retention by subverting the normal CK gradient which causes egress via lymphatics towards draining lymph nodes (12).

7. CHEMOKINES AND LYMPHOID NEOGENESIS IN RA

The lymphoid infiltrates in the rheumatoid synovium can be divided into at least 3 distinct histological groupings, varying from diffuse lymphocyte infiltrates to clear germinal center reactions (82). Moreover, there is evidence that such distinct histological types correlate with other serum indicators of disease activity (41;42). This long-described feature, termed lymphoid neogenesis, relies upon inappropriate, but highly organized temporal and spatial expression by stromal cells of the same constitutive CK, particularly CXCL13 and CCL21, which are associated with true lymphoid organogenesis (Figure 1). The elegant choreography of lymphocyte:stromal interactions within lymph nodes is organized by expression of adhesive and chemotactic cues in overlapping and combinatorial fashions. Once they have encountered new antigen, dendritic cells specialised in the presentation of antigen to lymphocytes undergo a process of maturation under the local influence of inflammatory cytokines and bacterial and viral products. As a result inflammatory CK receptors are down-regulated, and up-regulation of the constitutive receptors CCR4, CCR7 and CXCR4 occurs, causing DCs to migrate into local draining
lymphatics (which express the CCR7 ligand CCL21 (secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine, SLC)) and thereby into peripheral lymph nodes (51). Trafficking of B and T cells is regulated by CXCL13 (BCA-1, B cell-attracting chemokine 1), its receptor CXCR5, and CCL21 and CCL19 (EBL-1-ligand chemokine, ELC), which are both CCR7 agonists. Within the lymph node CXCR5 bearing B cells are attracted to follicular areas, while T cells and DCs are maintained within parafollicular zones by local expression of CCL21 and CCL19 (17;21). Some T cells which have been successfully presented with their cognate antigen by DCs then up-regulate CXCR5, allowing them to migrate towards and interact with B cells.

Genesis of lymphoid follicular structures in diseases such as diabetes and RA appears to rely upon expression of such constitutive chemokines, in association with the lymphotoxins alpha and beta (LT-alpha and LT-beta) and TNF-alpha (33;82). In this context it is important to note that transgenic animals over-expressing the TNF-alpha gene display increased formation of focal lymphoid aggregates and develop a chronic arthritis similar to RA (39). Clearly one of the many mechanisms of action of anti-TNF therapy may involve the dissolution of such aggregates. In transgenic mouse models, expression of CXCL13 in the pancreatic islets was sufficient for the development of T and B cell clusters, but as they lacked follicular dendritic cells, was not sufficient for true germinal centre formation (52). CCL21 does appear to be sufficient in some cases for lymph node formation; murine pancreatic islet models have demonstrated formation of lymph node like structures in the presence of CCL21 (15;23), and lymphoid infiltrates in response to CCL19 expression, a possible common pathway being the induction of lymphotoxins on infiltrating lymphocytes (51). Lack of CCL21 signaling impairs T cell traffic into lymph node structures via high endothelial venules, and results in disorganization of T cell zones (25;53). Weyand and colleagues used the histological heterogeneity seen in RA to identify those factors critical to formation of lymphoid microstructures, showing that transcription levels of CXCL13 and CCL21 were increased 10- to 20-times in tissues with germinal centres compared to tissues with other histological patterns. Multivariate analysis showed that LT-beta and CXCL13 were necessary, but not sufficient for lymphoid neogenesis (82). It has also been shown that CXCR5 is overexpressed in the rheumatoid synovium, consistent with a role in recruitment and positioning of B and T lymphocytes within lymphoid aggregates of the RA synovium (78). It therefore seems likely that expression of lymphoid constitutive CK contributes significantly to the entry, local organization and exit of lymphocytes in the RA synovium. It also seems that the ectopic expression of chemokines is a general characteristic of a number of chronic rheumatic conditions, since another B cell attracting chemokine CXCL13 (BCA-1) is inappropriately expressed by stromal cells in the salivary glands of patients with Sjogren’s syndrome (1).

8. VALIDATION OF CHEMOKINES AS TARGETS IN RA

There is good evidence that blocking chemokine-receptor interactions in models of rheumatoid arthritis can have beneficial effects, thereby providing validation of chemokines as important mediators in inflammatory disease. Indirect evidence supporting the modulation of chemokine expression comes from the use of anti-TNF biological therapy, in which synovial expression of CXCL8 and CCL2 is reduced, accompanied by decreased cellularity of the synovium (84).

8.1. Evidence from animal models

An important study illustrating the principle that timing of therapeutic interventions is critical was that by Halloran et al, who showed that CXCL5 (ENA-78) expression correlated with disease progression at the synovial level, and demonstrated that administration of a polyclonal antibody against ENA-78 could attenuate adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats if given after the adjuvant but prior to the onset of disease. Antibody given after disease had become established was not effective (29). CCL3 (Mip-1alpha) is also expressed early in rodent disease models; treatment with an antibody to CCL3 delayed onset and reduced severity of collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) in mice (37). These studies have implications for the usefulness of chemokine antagonists in clinical disease, and for our need for information about when and how different chemokines become important players in disease pathogenesis. A number of other studies have shown the benefit of inhibiting CC chemokines in rodent models. A 67 amino acid sequence of CCL2, acting as an antagonist, has been shown to modify the severity of joint disease in MRL-lpr mice (28). However in when used in CIA in mice, anti-CCL2 antibodies ameliorated disease during its initiation, but aggravated disease once established (8). Inhibition of CCL5 (RANTES) binding appears to have beneficial effects: Administration of the partial agonist metRANTES, blocking the effects of CCL5 and CCL3, reduced the severity of CIA in mice (68), while an anti-CCL5 antibody had equivalent effects on CIA in rats (2). Yang et al used a small molecule antagonist of CCR5 and CXCR3 (TAK-779) to treat collagen-induced arthritis in DBA/1 mice (26;89). They found that antagonism of CCR5 decreased both the incidence and the severity of joint disease by inhibiting migration, with no effect on the development of T cell responses to collagen. Similarly positive results were seen when a CCR5 antagonist was used in CIA in rhesus monkeys (86). These results suggest that preventing the migration of activated T cells to the joint may be a viable approach for disease control (89). The CXCL16/CXCR6 chemokine receptor pair also shows promise as a means of decreasing T cell recruitment; anti-CXCL16 antibodies inhibited disease signs severity and bone destruction in murine CIA (60). Blockade of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis has shown promise in animal models, as discussed above.

8.2. Chemokine blockade in RA patients

The most convincing validation of chemokine receptor antagonism as a therapeutic target in humans thus
far comes from a phase I trial by Haringman et al., in which a selective antagonist of CCR1, the common receptor for CCL3 and CCL5, was administered to patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. Patients given the antagonist showed both clinical and immunohistochemical improvement after 14 days. Cells which might be expected to express CCR1 such as macrophages and T cells were reduced in number, whereas those not expressing CCR1 were unaffected; no significant adverse events were noted (31). This study forms the vanguard of a number of impending clinical trials using antagonists of receptors such as CCR1, CCR2, CCR5 and CXCR3. Despite excellent data demonstrating its production by stromal cells of the RA synovium, existing data for CCL2 (MCP1) blockade is however equivocal. A monoclonal antibody against CCL2 used in existing data for CCL2 (MCP1) blockade is however equivocal. A monoclonal antibody against CCL2 used in RA patients failed to show benefit, which may have resulted from a rise in serum CCL2 levels observed amongst treated patients (30). CXCL8 blockade has also been unsuccessful thus far in clinical trials, with a similar compensatory mechanism observed (81).

The next and more challenging family of targets is that of constitutive chemokines inappropriately expressed by stromal elements within the synovial tissue which directly contribute to maintaining the persistence of inflammatory leukocyte infiltrates.

9. PERSPECTIVE

It is now established that stromal cells are active participants in the development and resolution of physiological inflammatory responses within tissue microenvironments. It is also increasingly evident that the persistence of pathological inflammatory responses as seen in RA owes more to fundamental changes within the stromal microenvironment than it does to changes in leukocytes themselves. Understanding the roles played by stromal cells in maintaining leukocyte infiltrates and blocking their resolution offers the key to new therapeutic advances, and possibly the tantalizing prospect of curative therapies. Chemokines and their receptors appear to be central players in these pathological mechanisms and are thus tempting targets for intervention. It is evident that the rheumatoid synovium belongs to a select group of persistent inflammatory diseases characterised by subversion of the normal constitutive pathways which regulate the formation of lymphoid tissues, and trafficking of cells through them. The tissue phenotype resulting from these events is the formation of ectopic lymphoid deposits. Identifying further the mechanisms driving the aberrant expression of constitutive chemokines may offer new therapeutic opportunities to target inflammation in RA.
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