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1. ABSTRACT

This review presents an account of the recent developments in the use of atomistic simulations to predict vibrational spectra of biomolecules. I give an overview of the concepts used in the various theoretical vibrational models and discuss their relative merits and weaknesses. The issue of anharmonicity in vibrational dynamics is examined in particular detail, owing to its crucial influence on simulations of vibrational spectra for flexible biosystems. The performance of each technique is illustrated by typical applications that show the latest work in this field on systems of biological interest, with a particular focus on the vibrational dynamics of the amide group in peptides.

2. INTRODUCTION

Molecular vibrations open a window on the intricate oscillatory dynamics caused by the subtle relationship between inter- and intra-molecular forces in biological molecules. Indeed, vibrational spectra not only provide information on the nature of the chemical groups present in a biomolecule, but are also sensitive to the strength of the various chemical bonds occurring between atoms and to the molecular conformation.

There have been considerable efforts in the last decade to record high-resolution spectra of biologically relevant molecules both in the gas phase and in loosely solvated environments[1–4]. The measured vibrational...
spectra are a mine of information on the actual dynamics of the biomolecule observed. However, due to the complexity of the measured vibrational spectra arising from the large number of close-lying transitions, theoretical models are often necessary to understand and rationalise the observed data.

Parallel to these developments, advances in the field of theoretical vibrational spectroscopy over a similar period have allowed a more accurate interpretation of vibrational spectra of biomolecules and provided an understanding of their structural and dynamic features. Such insights have only been possible by moving away from assignations based on empirical group frequencies and using accurate atomistic simulation methods such as quantum-chemical calculations and molecular-dynamics simulations.

The application of atomistic simulations to compute the vibrational spectra of biological molecules requires an input from two different fields of computational chemistry. On the one hand, there can be no simulation without an accurate description of the forces at play between each of the atoms that make up the biomolecule. These forces shape the energetic landscape of the biosystem, and the roughness of the energetic landscape, or potential energy surface (PES), dictates the ease with which the molecule can vibrate in a given direction. On the other hand, the actual simulation of the vibrational motion of a biomolecule requires a physical model of vibrations, be it a classical model akin to a set of balls and springs, or a quantum model of nuclear motion.

In this review, I will concentrate on the recent advances in theoretical vibrational spectroscopy with a particular focus on biomolecular applications, since this is an area that is rarely investigated in mainstream computational chemistry of large systems. However, I will begin by presenting a very brief perspective on some of the challenges involved in the calculation of PES for biomolecules.

2.1. Potential energy surfaces for biomolecules

Due to the size of the systems usually studied in atomistic simulations of biological matter, the most common approach used to describe the PES of the biomolecules studied is to describe the atom–atom interaction potential using a classical force field. These force fields neglect the electronic degrees of freedom and use a set of fitted parameters to describe the various stretching, bending and out-of-plane terms of the intra-molecular part of the PES and the non-bonded interaction terms of the inter-molecular part of the PES. There are a number of very successful atomic force fields that are routinely used to perform molecular-dynamics simulations of biological systems, such as AMBER[5] or CHARMM[6]. For very large systems where a full atomistic description is not possible, coarser-grained models can be used, for example Scheraga’s united residue model (UNRES)[7].

In recent years, however, the development of efficient quantum-mechanical methods such as density-fitting density functional theory (df-DFT), local ab initio methods (LMP2, LCCSD(T))[8], divide-and-conquer schemes[9, 10] and linear-scaling semi-empirical methods[11] has led to an increasing number of studies that use a quantum-mechanical description of the atom–atom interactions in biomolecules. This trend towards taking explicit account of the electronic degrees of freedom of the system has led to a higher level of accuracy for the PES and has been nurtured by the constant advances in the field of computer science offering ever increasing computational power. Nonetheless, a high-level quantum-mechanical description is still confined to medium-sized biomolecules (about 500–1000 atoms). In order to bridge the system-size gap between the force-field approach and a quantum-chemical treatment, Warshel and Karplus[12] first introduced in 1972 a mixed quantum-mechanical/molecular-mechanics (QM/MM) method, which has been extended over the years to allow a reliable investigation of biosystems. In the QM/MM approach, one part of the biomolecule (the catalytic active site or any other region of interest) is described using quantum-mechanical electronic-structure theory while the rest of the biosystem is efficiently described using a classical force field. For example, Cui and Karplus[13] have implemented an efficient method to compute vibrational frequencies of the active site of myoglobin within the QM/MM framework.

Simulation of the vibrational spectrum of a biomolecule offers a particularly attractive way of assessing the quality of the computed PES. Indeed, vibrational frequencies are very sensitive to the shape of the PES around the minimum region and in particular to its curvature, which is related to the strength of the chemical bond. This fact is used experimentally to provide, for example, an empirical measure of hydrogen-bond strength by observing the relative shift of the OH-stretch frequency in the complex compared to that of the free molecule[14]. Assuming an exact vibrational calculation for a given PES, the availability of experimental vibrational spectra of biomolecules enables a one-to-one comparison with the predicted values and any deviation can thus be traced back to imperfections of the PES used. For this reason, vibrational calculations are often used to benchmark or help in adjusting the parameters of potential energy surfaces. For example, Bowman and Xanthenas[15] have used accurate vibrational calculations to adjust a model potential for the CI H2O complex and showed that the PES obtained is of equivalent quality to a PES derived from high-level ab initio MP4 calculations.

Therefore, the purpose of computing accurate vibrational spectra of biomolecules using atomistic simulations is twofold: Firstly, the predictions help disentangle the observed spectra and, secondly, the comparison between simulated and measured spectra provides a powerful tool to evaluate the quality of the computed PES for the biosystem studied.
3. HARMONIC APPROXIMATION FOR LARGE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS

The approximation of harmonic motion is conceptually simple and offers a convenient framework to describe the small-amplitude vibrations of molecules. Due to this simplicity, the harmonic model is often the first step of any vibrational treatment of molecular vibrations and, in the case of large biomolecules, the most used method for routine rationalisation of the observed IR and Raman spectra.

3.1. Harmonic model

The basic assumption of this model is that the potential energy surface, \( V(q) \), can be accurately described by a second-order expansion around the energy minimum (equilibrium structure, denoted by 0), that is

\[
V(q) = V(0) + (\nabla V)_0 \cdot q + \frac{1}{2} \left[ q \cdot (\nabla^2 V)_0 \cdot q \right] \tag{1}
\]

where \( q \) is a displacement vector from the point 0. For most applications, the structure is at a stationary point and therefore \( (\nabla V)_0 = 0 \); note however that this is not a requirement of the model (see, for example, the instantaneous normal-mode analysis[16, 17] or derived formalisms[18], which are often performed at \( (\nabla V)_0 \neq 0 \)). The remaining second-order derivative of the potential energy surface is known as the force-constant matrix or Hessian matrix. The vibrational frequencies for the resulting quadratic PES can then be obtained from the classical equation of motion, or by solving the nuclear Schrödinger equation analytically. The solutions of the classical equations of motion are usually obtained by diagonalisation of the mass-weighted Hessian matrix, leading to a series of eigenvalues (the fundamental vibrational frequencies) and corresponding eigenvectors, which are also called normal modes of vibration[19, 20]. Alternatively, the analytical solutions of the nuclear Schrödinger equation for the same potential lead to the harmonic vibrational-energy levels and corresponding harmonic vibrational wave functions.

The main difficulty involved in these calculations revolves around the evaluation of the Hessian matrix and its diagonalisation. For a system containing \( N \) atoms, the Hessian matrix requires \( 3N \times 3N \) second derivatives, which can either be evaluated by numerical differences[21] or differentiation of energy gradients[22, 23], or computed analytically[24]. However, since the computational scaling of a Hessian matrix calculation is at least \( N^2 \), it can quickly become very time consuming to compute the full Hessian matrix for large biomolecules.

In this case, the cost of computing a large number of numerical steps \( (3N \) at least) has to be weighed against the computational effort needed to perform an analytical calculation of the Hessian matrix. For classical force fields the analytical route to the Hessian matrix is the most straightforward since all potential energy terms have an analytical expression. However, for a given \( ab \ initio \) or semi-empirical approach, the computation of analytical second derivatives is not always simple. Recent advances in the coupled–perturbed Hartree–Fock (CPHF) methodology[25] enable more efficient ways of computing analytical Hessians for Hartree–Fock-based methods (all traditional semi-empirical methods, single HF and some DFT implementations). Some \( ab \ initio \) packages also offer efficient implementations of second derivatives for MP2 (for example in CADPAC[26] or Gaussian03[27]), but the vast majority of \( ab \ initio \) programs rely on numerical-difference techniques to obtain the Hessian matrix due to their general applicability and straightforward implementation[22, 23]. Moreover, the recent advent of computational clustering and the efficient realisation of persistent computational grids[28] have led to a series of successful parallel approaches to the numerical computation of the Hessian matrix for large systems[29], exploiting the fact that each energy point needed for the numerical derivative calculation is independent of the other and thus very well suited to a distributed approach.

The diagonalisation of large Hessian matrices, which is necessary in order to obtain the harmonic fundamental frequencies and normal modes of a biosystem, can be a considerable computational burden. Indeed, in spite of being symmetrical, the size of such matrices for systems of tens of thousands of atoms often requires specially designed algorithms, such as iterative diagonalisation[30, 31] or a divide-and-conquer approach[32, 33], to cope with the size of the problem. Consequently, a number of schemes have been derived to either bypass the computation of the full Hessian matrix using subspace iterations[34] and/or normal-mode selection[34, 35], or compute only part of the Hessian matrix[36, 37]. In practice, the computation of Hessian matrices for moderately sized molecules is now commonplace for most quantum-chemistry programs.

3.2. Scaling procedures

From an empirical point of view, the harmonic model often leads to a large discrepancy between the predicted and observed fundamental vibrational frequencies (sometimes over 200 cm\(^{-1}\) for a single frequency). Moreover, the degree of agreement varies greatly with the nature of the underlying PES used, whether computed using high-level \( ab \ initio \) methods or a classical force field.

One marked difference between the harmonic model and the real vibrational transition is the asymmetry of the underlying potential energy surface. For example, a simple diatomic molecule (as shown in Figure 1) is reasonably described by a symmetric harmonic potential around its energy minimum, but the approximation worsens as the molecule deviates from its minimum geometry. An analysis of the potential energy curve for such a diatomic molecule, which is well described by a Morse oscillator[38], leads to the following conclusions. The vibrational-energy levels predicted by a harmonic approximation to the PES are equally spaced by \( hv \), while in a real system (or for a Morse oscillator) the energy-level separation decreases as the vibrational quantum number increases, until the dissociation limit is reached. Moreover, the harmonic model always leads to symmetric wave
Figure 1. Harmonic and realistic potential energy curve around the energy minimum \( r_e \) of a diatomic molecule. Note that in this particular case the harmonic vibrational-energy levels (horizontal red lines, numbered in italics from 0 to 4) overestimate the realistic vibrational-energy levels (dashed black lines, numbered from 0 to 5).

functions, which do not always correspond to the real wave functions. The separation between the first two energy levels of a Morse oscillator is given by:

\[
\nu_{1-0}^{\text{Morse}} = \omega_0 \left( 1 - \frac{2 \delta r}{\omega_0} \right)
\]

where \( \delta_r \) is the anharmonicity constant, and is in most cases a positive number. We see from expression (2) that the fundamental vibrational frequencies predicted by the more realistic Morse model are in general lower than the harmonic prediction \( \omega_0 \). This observation has led to the introduction of scaling factors in order to improve the agreement between predicted harmonic frequencies and the observed fundamental value. These scaling factors vary according to the level of theory used to compute the PES and range typically from 0.8 to 1.0 (see Reference[39] for a comprehensive list). Note that this analysis does not constitute a theoretical justification for the use of scaling factors but merely tries to give an understanding of the difference between harmonic and anharmonic treatments of vibrations. This approach, also called the global scaling factor method, has the advantage of being very straightforward, albeit requiring a pre-existing calibration for the level of theory used, and is currently applied in the G2 and G3 thermochemistry models to account for anharmonicity in the vibrational partition function[40, 41].

However, we need to be aware that this scaling analysis is based on the potential energy curve of a generic diatomic molecule, which has mostly positive \( \delta_r \). Negative anharmonicity constants can occur in polyatomic molecules, particularly for vibrational modes that describe non-dissociative motion, such as bending vibrations. In this case, the “real” vibrational frequency (or 1→0 level separation) is likely to be greater than the harmonic results and thus invalidates the global scaling hypothesis. In general, a careful investigation of the nature of the vibrational motion is therefore needed and the scaling factor needs to be adapted accordingly.

One of the main approximations of the global scaling factor technique is the use of a common degree of anharmonicity for all oscillators in a polyatomic molecule. This approximation becomes more severe as the size of the molecule increases. Indeed, a single global scaling factor applied to the computed harmonic frequencies does not always lead to the best agreement for all types of frequency, and several authors have suggested the use of multiple scaling factors to remedy this problem[42]. The most successful method to date is the scaled quantum-mechanical (SQM) force-field technique suggested by Pulay et al.[43, 44]. In this technique, the elements of the force-constant matrix \( F \) (or mass-weighted Hessian matrix), rather than the final frequencies, are scaled using:

\[
F_{ij}^{\text{scaled}} = \lambda_i \lambda_j F_{ij}
\]

where \( \lambda_i \) and \( \lambda_j \) are the scaling factors for each coordinate. However, instead of having a single scaling factor for all coordinates or a separate factor for each coordinate, the coordinates that correspond to similar types of vibration are scaled jointly. Rauhut and Pulay report that about 10 different factors give enough flexibility to correct Hartree–Fock harmonic spectra for most organic molecules[44].

Lin et al.[45] have taken a step in the opposite direction and used experimental harmonic frequencies to adjust computed DFT harmonic frequencies. They have developed an empirical exchange and correlation functional, named EDF2, that attempts to reproduce the correct curvature of the potential energy surface around the minimum. They perform a multi-functional fitting of the computed harmonic frequencies calculated using both local and gradient-corrected (GGA) exchange and correlation functionals. The procedure yields a re-parametrised functional that leads to computed harmonic frequencies close to those obtained using high-level \( ab\) initio methods, such as coupled cluster (CCSD(T)), but at a much lower computational cost.

4. BEYOND THE HARMONIC APPROXIMATION

While there is no doubt that the harmonic approximation is a very valuable tool to understand the vibrational spectra of biomolecules, deviations from the harmonic model occur frequently due to the size and intrinsic complexity of biosystems. Several authors[46–48] have highlighted the importance of anharmonicity in biomolecules using techniques that go beyond the harmonic approximation.

4.1. Molecular-dynamics simulations

A direct way of going beyond the harmonic approximation is to extract the vibrational spectrum from molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations. During such simulations, the motion of each atom at a given temperature is integrated using Newton’s laws, leading to a simulation of the time evolution of the system, and thus particle–particle correlation functions can be easily recorded. The fluctuation–dissipation theorem (or Green–Kubo relations) connects auto-correlation functions to macroscopic observables such as diffusion coefficients or vibrational...
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spectra. Two correlation functions are of interest for the simulation of vibrational spectra, namely the velocity autocorrelation function (VACF) and the electric dipole autocorrelation function (DACF). It is easy to understand that a Fourier transform of the DACF is related to the vibrational spectrum since the selection rules of infrared absorption are linked to electric dipole-moment fluctuations. The VACF is also connected to vibrational motion, and a Taylor expansion shows that this correlation function can be expressed as:

\[
\langle \mathbf{v}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 t^2 + \ldots \right)
\]

where \ldots is an ensemble average over the MD simulation, \( \mathbf{v}(i) \) is the velocity of particle \( i \) at time \( t \), and \( \omega_i \) is the vibrational frequency associated with particle \( i \) oscillating in the mean force field of the other particles. The main advantage of this approach is that the MD protocol can be applied to very large number of systems, using either classical force fields or ab initio potentials, and offers a direct approach to simulate solvent effects for vibrational properties. Moreover, since no assumption is made about the harmonic nature of the PES, the vibrational spectra computed using MD correlation functions are intrinsically anharmonic and can also be computed at different system temperatures. Since the time-correlation method relies on signal-processing techniques, there are some constraints on the nature of the MD trajectory. The length of the simulation has a direct influence on the spectral resolution of the obtained spectrum and a 30 ps-long trajectory is usually necessary to obtain a 1 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution\[49\]. Additionally, due to the nature of the procedure generally used in MD to integrate Newton’s equations of motion, the size of the integration time step can cause an overestimation of the vibrational frequencies obtained with the Fourier transform methods. For example, Schmitz and Tavan estimated that a time step of 0.5 fs can lead to a shift close to 12 cm\(^{-1}\) for C–H stretches\[50\].

There are also drawbacks to the time-correlation approach, mainly stemming from the statistical nature of this vibrational treatment. The vibrational wave function is not available, and vibrational overtones and combination bands cannot be simulated using this approach; moreover normal modes of vibration are absent. To remedy this issue, another method associated with MD simulations is the instantaneous normal mode (INM) approach of Seeley and Keyes\[16\]. With this technique, a harmonic analysis is performed at regular intervals in the course of a molecular-dynamics simulation of the time evolution of the biosystem. This leads to a set of harmonic frequencies and normal modes for each selected time step that are then used to produce a time-averaged spectrum of the system. With this approach, a normal-mode description of the vibrations of the biosystem is preserved, but at the expense of the anharmonicity of the vibrational description.

4.2. Perturbative approaches to anharmonicity

Second-order perturbation theory of the vibrational Hamiltonian (VPT2) provides a convenient analytical formalism that can be used to obtain approximate anharmonic solutions to the vibrational problem. With this approach, higher-order terms are added to the second-order expansion of the PES given in equation (1) to obtain:

\[
V_{\text{corr}} = V(0) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{F}(0) \cdot \mathbf{F}(0) \rangle + \ldots
\]

The PES expansion is often limited to fourth-order derivatives to reduce the computational effort of the procedure, although there is no theoretical limit to this expansion scheme. In most cases, the minimum of the PES is shifted so that \( V(0) = 0 \), and the analysis is performed for \( V_0 = 0 \). The vibrational Hamiltonian is then decomposed into a standard harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonian, \( H_0 \), and a series of anharmonic contributions to the potential energy term, \( V_1, V_2, \ldots \):

\[
H = H_0 + \sum_n V_n
\]

Taking into account the fact that \( H_0 \) can be solved analytically and assuming that the anharmonic contributions are small, it is possible to use standard Rayleigh–Schrödinger perturbation theory to calculate the effects of \( V_n \) on the harmonic result (see also References [51, 52]). The vibrational-energy levels corrected to second order for a PES expanded in terms of fourth-order derivatives are given by the approximate formula\[51\]:

\[
E_n = \sum_i \omega_i \left( \chi_i (\mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{2}) \right) + \sum_{ij} \chi_{ij} \left( \mathbf{n}_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \mathbf{n}_j + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

where \( \chi_i \) is a function of the cubic and quartic force constants and is approximately equal to\[52\]:

\[
\chi_i = \frac{1}{6 \omega_i} \left( \frac{V_1}{\omega_i} + \frac{V_2}{\omega_i^2} \right)
\]

It has been shown experimentally\[53\] that such second-order perturbation expansion leads to an excellent agreement with the observed anharmonic transitions.

Along with an expression for the vibrational-energy levels, perturbation theory leads to anharmonic corrections for the harmonic vibrational wave function. A knowledge of the corrected wave function enables the calculation of vibrationally-averaged properties such as dipole moment, rotational constants\[54, 55\], and NMR shifts\[54\]. The relatively simple expression of the second-order perturbation results has led to the implementation of this method in the Gaussian03 suite of ab initio programs. However, the high-order derivatives of the PES are often an obstacle to the use of this approach to anharmonicity corrections. Given that second derivatives of the PES (i.e. the Hessian matrix) can already present certain challenges for large molecules, the computation of third and fourth derivatives is a very time-consuming operation. At present
there are no packages that implement analytical high-order derivatives for \textit{ab initio} electronic-structure theory, and most of the time the derivatives required by the perturbation-theory treatment are computed using numerical differences. Several schemes have been developed to minimise the impact of such calculations (see Reference [52] for a short survey). Moreover, the accuracy of the computed derivatives is sensitive to the size of the numerical step used in the numerical differentiation procedure, and several authors have suggested different recommendations[52].

One of the main drawbacks of the second-order perturbational approach is the occurrence of degenerate or near-degenerate vibrational states in the spectrum of the system studied, which can cause the perturbation expansion to diverge or become singular. This is due to the fact that the terms needed for the second-order correction depend on one over the energy difference between the vibrational states. Unfortunately, as the size of the system increases, the likelihood of accidental degeneracies (leading to Fermi-type resonances) increases and particular care has to be taken to circumvent problems in the perturbation corrections, using, for example, degenerate perturbation theory[51]. Nevertheless, the applications of vibrational perturbation theory in this formalism remain limited to the smaller biological molecules, such as uracil[56] and alanine[57], with the exception of small peptides[58].

A very recent re-formulation of the perturbative approach to anharmonicity by Lin, Gilbert and Gill[52] offers a possible improvement compared to the large computational effort incurred for standard VPT2 calculations. Instead of calculating the energy corrections to the harmonic vibrational-energy levels using perturbation theory, they shift the origin of the harmonic oscillator wave function to account for anharmonicity. The justification of this approach is that the main difference between harmonic and anharmonic vibrational wave functions is that the anharmonic one is usually shifted. With their approach, the value of the wave-function shift is adjusted so that the energy difference between the ground and first excited vibrational states (the fundamental transition frequency) approximately matches the second-order perturbation result of equations (7) and (8). Lin et al. observe that, since they do not use second-order perturbation theory, their method does not suffer from the drawbacks of VPT2 with regard to accidental degeneracy. This transformation of the harmonic wave function along with a more modest computational requirement for high-order derivatives of the PES allows an efficient estimation of the VPT2 results and has been implemented in the Q-Chem package[59]. The preliminary tests performed by Lin, Gilbert and Gill on small organic molecules show that this method constitutes an attractive alternative to the canonical second-order perturbation-theory treatment of anharmonicity. Moreover, due to the efficiency of their scheme, this method could be applied successfully to moderately sized biological systems.

4.3. CC-VSCF towards solving the full-dimensional Schrödinger equation

One way of overcoming the shortcomings of the perturbative approach to anharmonicity is to use a variational method to solve the vibrational Hamiltonian. However, the fully coupled Hamiltonian for systems larger than a few atoms is too complex to be solved directly and some approximations have to be used. The vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF) method is a variational alternative to the perturbation-theory approach and is currently the leading method for the approximate computation of anharmonic frequencies of large systems. The idea of using a self-consistent-field approach to solve vibrational problems was first formulated in 1978 by Carney et al.[60] and Bowman[61]. The main concept behind the VSCF approach has its origins in the mean-field theory developed for electronic-structure calculations; namely replacing the explicit correlation present in a many-particle system with a series of single-particle problems coupled through an effective potential that is dependent on all the other degrees of freedom.

With this method[61–65], the wave function of the system, \( \Psi_k \), for each vibrational state \( k \) is assumed to be separable and is described by a product of single-mode wave functions, \( \phi^k_i \), one for each normal coordinate \( Q_i \):

\[
\Psi_k(Q_1, \ldots, Q_n) = \prod_i \phi^k_i(Q_i) \tag{9}
\]

Thus, the vibrational Hamiltonian can be rewritten as a collection of single-mode equations of the form:

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q^2} + V_{kk'}(Q_k) \right) \phi^k_i(Q_k) = E^k_i \phi^k_i(Q_k) \tag{10}
\]

In order to achieve the separation of the vibrational Hamiltonian into single-mode equations, we have introduced an effective potential, \( V_{kk'}^{ij}(Q_k) \), for each state \( k \):

\[
V^{ij}(Q_k) = \left( \prod_{j \neq k} \phi^j \left| \langle Q_k | \phi^j \rangle \right| \phi^k \right) \tag{11}
\]

The solutions of each of these one-dimensional equations (one for each mode \( j \)) can then be used as basis functions (\( \phi^j \)) for the vibrational wave function of the system \( \Psi_k \) for each state, as described in (9). Since the effective potential depends implicitly on the wave function, the set of equations needs to be solved iteratively until self-consistency is achieved. The total energy of the system is then given by the sum of the single-mode energies corrected for the double counting caused by the use of an effective potential:

\[
E = \sum_k E^k = \sum_k \left( \prod_{j \neq k} \langle \phi^k | \phi^j \rangle \right) | E_k | \left( \prod_{j \neq k} \phi^j \right) \tag{12}
\]
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The main computational difficulty in solving equation (10) comes from the evaluation of the multi-dimensional integral, defined in equation (11), which is needed in order to evaluate the mean-field potential. To overcome this difficulty, Jung and Gerber[64] suggested using an n-body representation of the potential energy surface:

$V_{ij}^{(2)}(Q_i, Q_j)$

Chaban et al. have shown that including in (13) only terms up to $V_{ij}^{(2)}(Q_i, Q_j)$, that is, using a pairwise approximation of the PES, is sufficient to give reasonable vibrational frequencies for large molecular systems[66]. This approximation simplifies calculations for large molecular systems, since the VSCF equations now involve at most two-dimensional integrals. These integrals can be computed efficiently by using a grid representation, which requires the values of the one-mode and two-mode representations of the PES and the value of the normal-mode wave function at the grid points.

For large biomolecules, the calculations needed to construct a global potential energy surface ab initio often become prohibitive. Therefore, classical force fields, such as AMBER, have been used by Roitberg et al. to successfully compute the many-dimensional anharmonic wave function of the bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI) using VSCF[47]. However, further studies on glycinine have shown that the classical force fields commonly used in biosimulations are not sufficiently accurate to provide a reliable potential energy surface for anharmonic corrections and that ab initio-derived PES should be used instead[67].

Unfortunately, the fitting of multi-dimensional functions to a set of ab initio points to obtain an analytical PES cannot always be guaranteed to converge for large systems. An alternative to the use of an analytical PES is the direct method that was developed by Chaban et al.[66] and implemented, for example, in the Gamess-us[68] electronic package. With this approach, the PES needed for the VSCF calculation is constructed progressively by performing a series of ab initio energy calculations for a set of regularly spaced grid points along the normal-mode coordinates. This approach has shown to be accurate[66], and can thus be used to benchmark the accuracy of a potential energy surface, obtained at a given level of ab initio theory, through the computation of the vibrational spectrum for a given molecule. However, the direct calculation of a PES for large molecular systems requires ab initio calculations at a very large number of grid points and can be computationally demanding for molecular systems with a large number of normal modes, such as biomolecules. In order to accelerate the computation of the PES, Benoit[65, 69] suggested reducing systematically the number of mode–mode couplings included in the PES, thus leading to faster VSCF calculations (Fast-VSCF method). The direct method does not require the computation of a global n-dimensional PES or any fitting to an analytical function, which makes it very suitable for large molecular systems.

A simple VSCF scheme does not explicitly take into account mode–mode coupling, since each mode is treated independently in the effective field of the other modes. A simple way of correcting this lack of explicit correlation between modes in the VSCF approach is to apply a perturbation correction to the SCF solutions. This method, called CC-VSCF (for correlation-corrected VSCF), has been extensively described by Norris et al.[70] and, assuming that the effect of the non-separability of modes is small, uses the following perturbation potential:

$V^{corr}(Q_1, \ldots, Q_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} V^{(i)}(Q_i)$

In this expression, the perturbation potential is formulated as the difference between the true potential energy of the system and the effective VSCF potential. The energy correction is then given by an expectation value of the perturbing potential, in a similar fashion to the usual Moller–Plesset perturbation theory:

$E^{corr} = \sum_{s,t} \langle \tilde{E}^{(s)} - \tilde{E}^{(t)} \rangle$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\tilde{E}^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{E}^{(i)}$ are the sum of the single-mode energies ($\epsilon_j$) for the states $s$ and $t$ of the unperturbed VSCF solutions. Matsunaga et al.[71] developed a perturbation theory that can handle degenerate cases in a satisfactory manner, named DPT2-VSCF (for VSCF including second-order energy corrections obtained through degenerate perturbation theory). The main advantage of the perturbative correction scheme is its low computational cost, which makes it suitable for large molecular systems. However, this scheme, too, can lead to non-physical corrections, as reported by Chaban[66] and Christiansen[72].

5. APPLICATIONS

The various techniques presented earlier have several applications in the domain of biologically relevant molecules. In the following, I will focus on some particular applications that illustrate the capabilities of these methods.

In the field of biosystems containing transition metals, Kozlowski et al.[73] have used the SQM technique to investigate the vibrational spectra of various porphyrins. Using a PES computed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory, they show that this approach is able to reproduce the observed experimental fundamental frequencies accurately, with a mean absolute deviation smaller than 5 cm$^{-1}$. Moreover, their study was able to dispel doubts concerning the attribution of some observed frequencies of the free-base porphine and thus demonstrated that it had D$_{2h}$ symmetry. They also extended their study to the spectroscopy of metalloporphyrins, investigating the Zn-, Mg-[74], and Ni-porphyrins[75]. For the first two, they
report an excellent agreement with the experimental data and an average deviation in the range of 4–7 cm$^{-1}$. Their SQM analysis provides further evidence of the planarity of these two porphyrins. Their investigation of the Ni-porphyrin allowed the reassignment of several vibrational bands and shows that an out-of-plane distortion of the porphyrin cycle, while lowering the symmetry of the complex, has only a limited effect on the vibrational spectrum.

Large-amplitude motions of protein systems are of great interest since they are related to the overall protein flexibility, conformational preferences of the peptide chain and protein–protein and protein–ligand interactions. In order to investigate the flexibility and conformational state of bacteriorhodopsin, Whitmire et al. used the normal modes obtained from a harmonic frequency calculation and compared the results to experimental far-infrared spectra[76]. They used the CHARMM classical force field and an iterative diagonalisation of the computed Hessian matrix to obtain the low-frequency part of the vibrational spectra of the wild-type and D96N mutant bacteriorhodopsin. They observed a good agreement between the harmonic predictions and the measured spectra but remarked that the different absorption patterns obtained for wild-type and D96N mutant rhodopsin are not reproduced by the simple harmonic analysis. They suggest that anharmonicity might account for the different FIR spectra of the two types of protein and show that the low-frequency absorption profile indicated a greater flexibility of the wild-type compared to the mutant rhodopsin.

Protein flexibility also plays a role in the mechanism of binding-site recognition by ligands. Sanejouand[77] performed a harmonic analysis of the low-frequency modes of human CD4, a receptor for the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). He showed the existence of a hinge-bending mechanism for the two N-terminal domains of CD4, which influences the extent to which it binds to Gp120, an envelope protein of HIV. Using the CHARMM force field to describe the potential energy surface of the protein, he observed that the low-frequency modes of CD4 describe a concerted motion of two sizeable domains about a hinge axis. The link between this bending mechanism and the binding activity is also consistent with the experimental evidence of Gp120 affinity obtained from mutant CD4 and monoclonal-antibody studies.

The amide region (amide bands) of the vibrational spectra of proteins provides a wealth of information on their secondary structure. Accurate modelling of this group of vibrational frequencies remains relatively complex due to the usual size of biosystems, the number of vibrational resonances between the vibrating groups, and the presence of hydrogen-bonding interactions creating the secondary structure. The amide-I band originates mainly from vibrations of the carbonyl groups on the protein backbone, and thus a partial Hessian approach, such as the one used recently by Besley and Metcalfe[37], can be used to reduce the computational effort. Their study on a set of model peptides shows that this technique leads to only a small deviation (10 – 20 cm$^{-1}$) from the full Hessian calculation as long as the amide carbon, oxygen and nitrogen atoms are included. For agitoxin, a protein containing 38 residues, they observe a good qualitative agreement between their harmonic calculations[37, 78] and the amide signal observed experimentally.

The anharmonicity of the various amide bands has been investigated in detail by Wang and Hochstrasser[58], using second-order vibrational perturbation theory (VPT2) and a B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) PES. In their study of small peptides, they observe that the amide-A band (originating from NH-stretch vibrations) possesses a significant single-mode anharmonicity, about 10 times that of the amide-I band, and that a realistic description of the experimental frequencies has to include anharmonic corrections. They also show that the anharmonic frequencies predicted by VPT2 are in very reasonable agreement with the experimental gas-phase observations and note that subtle vibrational shifts due to hydrogen bonding are also well reproduced by this method. They demonstrate, by systematically computing the anharmonic coupling between the different types of amide modes in each peptide, that the position of the amide-A band is fairly insensitive to mode–mode coupling, while that of the amide-I band is strongly affected by the presence of low-frequency amide vibrations and peptide backbone motion. Kaledin and Bowman[79] have performed a full-dimensional anharmonic calculation of the vibration of N-methyl acetamide, a model compound for the amide-band vibration, using a correlated VSCF approach. They show that it is possible to obtain a reliable theoretical description of the amide-I, -II and -III bands by including mode–mode coupling for a PES computed at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ level of ab initio theory. Gregurick et al.[80] have also used a correlated VSCF approach, with a PES computed using the AMBER force field, to investigate the vibrational spectra of micro-solvated dipeptides. Their study shows that the predicted anharmonic frequencies are usually higher (blue-shifted) than those obtained by a harmonic analysis. The anharmonic terms in the PES tend to rigidify the system, and the frequency shifts are shown to be as high as 300 cm$^{-1}$ for some modes. Moreover, Gregurick et al. managed to relate the vibrational frequencies to conformational changes in the peptide–water complex, highlighting the effects of hydrogen bonding on the amide-band vibrations. In the second part of their study, they use a non-solvated tri-alanine peptide in an anti-parallel beta-sheet conformation to test the accuracy of the force field used. They note that the anharmonic frequencies are in good agreement with the observed experimental spectra for most amide bands, often improving over the harmonic frequencies obtained from a purpose-built empirical force field. However, they observe discrepancies for some low-intensity amide modes (I, III and V) and suggest that the AMBER force field should be partially revised to account for these defects.

Roitberg et al. have performed the largest anharmonic calculations on a biological system to date using the VSCF method. In two landmark papers[47, 81] they compute the anharmonic vibrational wave function for a number of vibrational modes of BPTI, using various
accurate simulation of these contributions to the structural equilibrium are crucial to an accounting for both anharmonic effects and entropic−sizeable systems of biological interest with an accuracy possible nowadays to simulate vibrational spectra of techniques presented in this review demonstrate that it is many important areas of biochemistry and biophysics. The understanding of the structural and dynamical interplay in accurate theoretical modelling leads to a deeper understand the vibrational dynamics of biological systems by the anharmonicity corrections.

One of the first analyses of anharmonicity in peptides was performed by Levy et al.[46] using classical molecular dynamics. They compute the mean square fluctuations of decaglycine in its alpha-helix conformation for several temperatures using the CHARMM force field. They observe that the fluctuations predicted at room temperature by a harmonic model are smaller than the data obtained from X-ray analyses by at least a factor of two. The results obtained from full molecular-dynamics simulations lead to a much better agreement with experiment, thus indicating that anharmonicity plays an important role in the dynamics of proteins. Recently, Grégoire et al.[83] have used Car–Parrinello molecular-dynamics simulations with a BLYP/PW PES to compute the vibrational spectra of protonated peptides in the gas phase. They highlight that this type of approach enables the simultaneous exploration of the various peptide conformations accessible by the system at room temperature. The method can therefore account for the presence of several different contributions to the observed vibrational spectrum that arise from a number of low-lying minima. In the case of protonated peptides, where proton migration can occur rapidly and has a strong influence on the overall molecular structure, they show that a molecular-dynamics approach leads to a good agreement between predicted and observed vibrational bands, but that the transition intensities can be overestimated. They note that accounting for both anharmonic effects and entropic contributions to the structural equilibrium are crucial to an accurate simulation of these flexible biological systems.

6. PERSPECTIVES

The use of atomistic simulations to predict and understand the vibrational dynamics of biological systems is still an area in expansion. The insight gained from accurate theoretical modelling leads to a deeper understanding of the structural and dynamical interplay in many important areas of biochemistry and biophysics. The techniques presented in this review demonstrate that it is possible nowadays to simulate vibrational spectra of sizeable systems of biological interest with an accuracy close to 10 cm−1 for fundamental bands. To obtain such agreement, the simple harmonic model has to be complemented with a more accurate description of vibrational dynamics accounting for single-mode anharmonicity and mode–mode coupling. However, such refinement of the vibrational treatment comes at a price: the complexity of the anharmonic models renders them very demanding on computational resources. Nevertheless, with the advances in computer technology and the constant efforts to develop more efficient methodologies, the use of atomistic simulations to obtain accurate vibrational spectra is set to become a valuable routine tool in biosciences. Moreover, for the theoretical community, the direct link between potential energy surfaces and experimental data through vibrational spectra offers a unique possibility of assessing the quality of the theoretical interaction models used for systems of biological interest.
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