Potential applications of miRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic markers in liver cancer
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1. ABSTRACT

Primary liver tumors are mainly represented by hepatocellular hepatocarcinoma (HCC), one of the most aggressive and resistant forms of cancer. Numerous studies have reported the key role of microRNAs (miRNAs) in development, cell proliferation, apoptosis, and tumor biology. The alteration of cancer-related miRNA expression can be associated with tumorigenesis. In HCC, deregulated miRNAs frequently act as oncogenes or altered tumor suppressors. Distinct subtypes of hepatic cancer can also be related to an aberrant expression of particular miRNAs, arguing for the significance of using miRNAs as tumor biomarkers in order to refine the HCC grading assessment. In this article, we review the latest reports regarding miRNA profiling and the potential of small RNAs in HCC diagnosis. The relevance of cancer-related miRNA signatures for the prognosis and better understanding of liver cancer outcome is then considered.

2. LIVER CANCER AND MiRNA

2.1. The hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

Malignant primary tumors in the liver are mainly represented by the hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the cholangiocarcinoma, and the hepatic angiocarcinoma. The HCC accounts for almost 90% of the primitive hepatic tumors and is the third leading cause of death from cancer worldwide (1). The development of an HCC is a complex multistep process involving various genetic aberrations that alter hepatocyte proliferation, differentiation, and survival. The scheme observed in HCC development and progression is typical as it generally affects patients exhibiting a chronic liver disease. Chronic hepatitis is mainly caused by underlying pathologies, such as the hepatitis B virus (HBV) and/or C virus (HCV) infection, alcohol abuse, genetic diseases (e.g. hemochromatosis), genotoxic intoxication (e.g. aflatoxin B1), or liver steatosis, which lead to liver fibrosis. Without early diagnosis and
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2.2. The miRNAs: Small RNAs regulating gene expression

The identification of small non-coding RNAs has led to the development of new research strategies in the field of RNomics. Several classes of non-coding RNAs have been discovered in mammalian cells, including small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), small nucleolar RNAs (snRNAs), and microRNAs (miRNAs). miRNAs constitute a group of evolutionary conserved small non-coding RNAs that accurately regulate gene expression by complementary base pairing with the 3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTRs) of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs). Several reports have described the key role of these post-transcriptional regulators in the control of diverse biological processes such as development, differentiation, cell proliferation, and apoptosis. Computational studies have shown that miRNAs may directly target more than 30% of the protein-coding genes and modulate their expression.

In early 1990s, miRNAs were first evidenced in C. elegans when Ambros and colleagues discovered that lin-4, a gene known to control the timing of nematode larval development, does not code for a protein but instead produces a pair of small RNAs that can specifically bind to lin-14 mRNA and repress its translation. In Human, miRNAs are produced by the RNA polymerase II into transcriptional precursors of hundreds of nucleotides called primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs). These long primary precursor transcripts exhibit several stem-loop structures of approximately 80 nucleotides (Figure 1). In the nucleus,
miRNAs undergo processing by the nuclear endonuclease Drosha and the double-stranded RNA-binding protein Pasha to be cleaved into precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs). Pre-miRNAs are then exported to the cytoplasm by the exportin-5 where they undergo further processing by the RNase III endonuclease Dicer. Dicer cleaves the pre-miRNA loop to produce an imperfect duplex consisting of a mature miRNA and a complementary fragment of a similar size (miRNAs*). A mature miRNA measures 20 to 23 nucleotides in length that can be incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), whereas the complementary miRNA* separates from the duplex and is generally degraded. Finally, the silencing complex binds complementarily the 3'-UTR of target sequences and negatively regulates gene expression either through the endonucleolytic cleavage of the mRNA or the inhibition of its translation (4). One miRNA can recognize numerous mRNAs, and, conversely, one mRNA can be recognized by several miRNAs, attesting to the complexity of this mechanism of gene expression regulation.

2.3. MicroRNA and cancer

In the last decade, the miRNA functions began to be elucidated especially in the understanding of their major physiological implications. Meanwhile, the alterations of miRNA expression have been reported in a wide range of human diseases, including cancer (8), and a strong consensus has emerged that miRNAs can function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors during tumor development or progression (9). Indeed, it has been reported that more than 50% of miRNA genes are located at fragile sites or in cancer-associated genome regions (10). Thus, following mutation, deletion, translocation, or amplification, miRNAs can be subjected to the same alterations as classic oncogenes or tumor suppressors which then lead to tumor formation (11).

The first definitive demonstration that altered miRNA expression could play a causative role in tumorgenesis came shortly after miRNAs were recognized as a broad class of gene regulators and has been shown in B cell lymphocytic leukemia (CCL). CCL is highly associated with the loss of chromosomal 13q14, which contains two clusters of miRNAs, miR-15a and miR-16-1. By targeting the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2, miR-15a and miR-16-1 normally exhibit tumor suppressive properties. In CCL, the expression of these miRNAs is reduced or lost in more than 65% of the cases (12,13). Thereby, the inhibition of miR-15a/16-1 contributes to abnormal Bcl-2 expression and promotes cell survival (14). Generally, miRNA expression is globally repressed in tumor tissues (15). However, the oncogenic properties of a number of miRNAs and their over-expression in several types of tumors have also been reported (16). The only miRNA found to be over-expressed regardless of solid tumor origin is miR-21. In fact, the gene that codes for miR-21 is located in a region at chromosome 17q23.2 frequently amplified in various types of cancer (17). miR-21 is known to exert its oncogenic activity at least by targeting the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) that normally acts as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting the survival and cell growth promoted by the phosphatidylinositol tri-phosphate (PI3K) signaling pathway (18). The knock-down of miR-21 in glioblastoma cell lines can induce a caspase-mediated apoptosis (19). In recent years, several groups have reported over-expression and down-regulation of a number of miRNAs in a large variety of cancers. Using microarray screening analysis in a significant number of human tumors, the establishment of miRNA expression profiles between tumor and non-tumor tissues has also been achieved (15,20). Importantly, a plethora of comprehensive studies have aimed to define specific miRNA expression schemes characterizing the subtype of the tumor (phenotype or genotype) as well as its prognostic feature (15). MicroRNA expression profiles are believed to serve not only as accurate signatures to determine the advancement of a lesion (diagnosis) but also as valuable molecular biomarkers for the classification and prognosis of a large panel of tumors (21) as well as for the development of innovative therapeutic strategies (16).

In this review, we report the latest advances regarding the miRNA profiling data collected and their potential application in HCC diagnosis. In addition, we discuss the relevance of the cancer-related miRNAs for the prognostic consideration of liver cancer.

3. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF MRNAs IN HCC DIAGNOSIS

3.1. Conventional diagnostic methods for HCC

In advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis, regenerative nodules can give rise to dysplastic nodules (DN) (22) as well as tumor-like nodules leading to early HCC (23-25). The outcome of patients who develop this neoplasm is generally poor due to the asymptomatic evolution of chronic hepatitis and early HCC (26,27). Consequently, the HCC is generally diagnosed at an advanced stage of the disease.

Common HCC diagnostic methods include liver imaging techniques such as triphasic computed tomography (CT) scanning, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and abdominal ultrasound (28). These procedures help to assess the size of the tumor, its location, the invasion of the hepatic vasculature, and the existence of metastatic foci outside the liver. Studies aimed at determining the most accurate imaging method between CT and MRI for the diagnosis of HCC concluded that MRI is slightly more sensitive than a CT scan for detecting smaller lesions. Nevertheless, the techniques are comparable in terms of tumor lesion staging (29). Imaging tests are also frequently combined with the measurement of serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP). Normally produced by the fetal liver, AFP decreases soon after birth. AFP is generally considered to be a significant marker for HCC, as its high level in adults can be correlated with the appearance of malignant hepatic disease (30). In general, the diagnostic accuracy is significant in patients exhibiting AFP levels higher than 500ng/dl and a liver mass consistent with an HCC (CT or MRI images). In this case, the non-invasive data are considered adequate for the HCC diagnosis, leading to clinical treatment without histological evaluation (27). However, approximately 30% of HCCs are not related with AFP production, suggesting the limited relevance of this biomarker (31). When uncertainty regarding the diagnosis persists, a percutaneous
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biopsy followed by the histological examination of the nodule is indicated (32). This invasive technique shows appreciable sensitivity (more than 80%), but it can be associated with clinical complications, such as internal bleeding (33).

3.2. Aberrantly expressed miRNAs in chronic liver diseases

3.2.1. HBV and HCV

HBV and HCV infections are the main causes of hepatic diseases worldwide. Chronic hepatitis represents a major risk factor for developing liver fibrosis that can evolve into cirrhosis and HCC (34,35). Although both types of viral infection can potentially promote HCC emergence, a differential miRNA expression pattern has been reported in each case. A study carried out by Ura et al. in liver tissues obtained from patients exhibiting HBV and HCV infection revealed that 19 miRNAs were differentially expressed between the two cohorts. In the same study, the authors showed that down-regulated miRNAs in HBV-infected livers targeted the signaling pathways related to cell death, DNA damage, recombination, and signal transduction, whereas down-regulated miRNAs in the HCV-group were related to immune response, antigen presentation, cell cycle, proteasome, and lipid metabolism (36). In addition, miR-143 has been demonstrated to be dramatically increased in HBV-related HCC, conferring metastatic potential in both p21-HBx transgenic mice and HCC patients by repressing fibronectin type III domain containing 3B (FNDC3B) (37). A study addressing the role of miRNAs in HBV-related tumor formation demonstrated that miR-602 can promote hepatocarcinogenesis which suggests the potential of this miRNA as an early diagnostic marker for HBV-mediated HCC (38). (Table 1).

Liu et al. demonstrated that HBV replication is able to modulate the expression of host cellular miRNAs. They compared the miRNA expression profile of a stable HBV-expressing cell line, HepG2.2.15, with its parent cell line, HepG2, and showed that 11 miRNAs were differentially up-regulated in the HBV-expressing cell line, while 7 miRNAs were down-regulated (39). In HCV-infected human livers, a computational study of miRNA-mRNA regulatory modules identified a set of down-regulated miRNAs (miR-122, miR-320, and miR-191) and up-regulated miRNAs (miR-215, miR-16, miR-26, miR-140, miR-199, and miR-155) that target genes involved in chemokine, PTEN, IL-6, MAPK, B cell receptor, and the JAK/STAT signaling pathway, suggesting a critical role of miRNAs in the replication, propagation, and latency of viruses in host cells (40). In HCV patients, other studies have demonstrated that miR-122 expression is inversely correlated with both functional and histo-pathological HCV-related liver damage (41,42). More recently, miR-141, up-regulated in HCV-infected human hepatocytes, was shown to be capable of enhancing cell proliferation by targeting the tumor suppressor gene DLC-1 (a Rho GTPase-activating protein), which is frequently deleted in HCC (43).

3.2.2. Alcohol and non-alcohol fatty liver diseases (AFLD and NAFLD)

Alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver pathologies represent two other causes of chronic liver disease. The etiology is different in each case: AFLD is due to alcohol abuse, whereas NAFLD occurs as a result of metabolic syndromes, such as obesity or type 2 diabetes (44-46). However, both diseases share common pathophysiological mechanisms characterized by an abnormal metabolism that can be related to steatosis, subsequent inflammation (steatohepatitis), and fibrosis (47). Regarding the expression of miRNAs, Dolganuyic et al. carried out a comparative study between AFLD liver samples from mice fed an ethanol-containing diet (Lieber-DeCarli) and NAFLD livers from mice fed a methionine-choline-deficient (MCD) diet. Compared to the corresponding controls, both the Lieber-DeCarli and the MCD diet were related to the over-expression or the down-regulation of numerous miRNAs. Among these altered miRNAs, miR-705 and miR-1224 were up-regulated in both groups whereas miR-182, miR-183, and miR-199a-3p were down-regulated in the AFLD group and up-regulated in the NAFLD livers (47). Obviously, these data demonstrate the etiologic-specific changes of miRNA profiles occurring in AFLD and NAFLD.

Another study developed by Li and colleagues has related some specific miRNAs with aberrant energy metabolic status and the pathogenesis of NAFLD. Compared to normal C57BL/6 mice, the fatty livers of ob/ob mice, considered to be a natural model of NAFLD (48,49), showed the up-regulation of eight miRNAs (miR-34a, miR-31, miR-103, miR-107, miR-194, miR-335-5p, miR-221, and miR-200a) and the down-regulation of three miRNAs (miR-29c, miR-451, miR-21) (50). In the NAFLD rat model, Alisi et al. recently identified a set of altered miRNAs. Three miRNAs showed significant down-regulation (miR-122, miR-451, and miR-27), whereas three other miRNAs (miR-200a, miR-200b, and miR-429) were more expressed in injured livers than in the control samples (51). Another experimental model, using NAFLD C57BL/6 mice fed a choline-deficient and amino acid (CDAA)-defined diet, highlighted a significant up-regulation of miR-181b and miR-181d 32 weeks after initiation of the treatment and was related to HCC emergence after 84 weeks (52). Furthermore, the expression of the tumor suppressor TIMP3, validated as a miR-181 target, was markedly suppressed in the livers of mice fed a CDAA diet. To finish, miR-155 has been reported to be associated with alcoholic liver disease, as demonstrated by Bala and colleagues in the AFLD mouse model, where miR-155 expression was significantly increased in macrophages (53).

3.2.3. Liver fibrosis and cirrhosis

Chronic liver diseases generally lead to liver fibrosis which is characterized by an excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix proteins. Produced by hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), fibrotic compounds alter the hepatic architecture by forming scars and nodules of regenerating hepatocytes (54,55). Without treatment of the
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Up-regulated miRNAs</th>
<th>Down-regulated miRNAs</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBV-HCC</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>miR-143</td>
<td>miR-198, miR-145</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>miR-602</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV-HCC</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>miR-122, miR-100, miR-10a</td>
<td>miR-193, miR-211, miR-190, miR-151, miR-134, miR-20</td>
<td>Vanhölle (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>miR-193, miR-211, miR-190, miR-151, miR-134, miR-20</td>
<td>miR-23a, miR-27a, miR-34c, miR-124b, miR-142-5p, let-7a</td>
<td>Ura et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>miR-181a, miR-181b, miR-200b, miR-146a</td>
<td>miR-15a</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum of HBV patients</td>
<td>miR-375, miR-92a</td>
<td>miR-27a, miR-199a</td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Li et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>miR-23a, miR-27a, miR-34c, miR-124b, miR-142-5p, let-7a</td>
<td>miR-193, miR-211, miR-190, miR-151, miR-134, miR-20</td>
<td>Ura et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>miR-705, miR-1224, miR-182, miR-183, miR-199a-3p</td>
<td>miR-122, miR-320, miR-191</td>
<td>Peng et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Mouse model (ob/ob mice)</td>
<td>miR-34a, miR-31, miR-103, miR-107, miR-194, miR-221, miR-335-5p, miR-200a</td>
<td>miR-29c, miR-451, miR-21</td>
<td>Li et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Rat model</td>
<td>miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-429</td>
<td>miR-122, miR-451, miR-27</td>
<td>Alisi et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>miR-34a, miR-155, miR-200b, miR-221</td>
<td>miR-29c, miR-122, miR-192, miR-203</td>
<td>Pogribny et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>miR-183b, miR-181d</td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Cell model</td>
<td>miR-10b</td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Marquez et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFLD</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>miR-705, miR-1224</td>
<td>miR-182, miR-183, miR-199a-3p</td>
<td>Dolganiuc et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFLD</td>
<td>Mouse model Plasma</td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>miR-182, miR-183, miR-199a-3p</td>
<td>Dolganiuc et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Rat model</td>
<td>miR-874, miR-29c*, miR-501, miR-349, miR-325-5p, miR-328, miR-138, miR-143, miR-207, miR-872, miR-140, miR-193</td>
<td>miR-341, miR-20b-3p, miR-15b, miR-16, miR-375, miR-122, miR-146a, miR-92b, miR-126</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Culture-activated rat HSCs</td>
<td>miR-27a, miR-27b</td>
<td>miR-341, miR-20b-3p, miR-15b, miR-16, miR-375, miR-122, miR-146a, miR-92b, miR-126</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Marquez et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Human fibrosis</td>
<td>miR-29</td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Rodenburg et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Rat model</td>
<td>miR-34</td>
<td>miR-878</td>
<td>Li et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Rat model</td>
<td>miR-199a, miR-199a*, miR-200a, miR-200b</td>
<td>miR-27a, miR-27b</td>
<td>Mutakami et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

underlying pathology, fibrosis evolves into cirrhosis, which finally produces hepatocellular dysfunction. In the quiescent state, HSCs are lipid-storing cells located in the perisinusoidal endothelium. When stimulated by fibrogenic stimuli, HSCs undergo myofibroblastic transdifferentiation, also known as activation, which represents the key event in liver fibrosis (56). According to a study of Guo et al. using a fibrosis-induced rat model, this activation is associated with a differential expression of several miRNAs that leads to the activation or the repression of numerous major signaling pathways (57).
by the direct targeting of its negative regulator, SMAD7 (41). Roderburg and co-workers recently showed that CCL4-treated mice as well as patients with advanced liver fibrosis exhibited a significant down-regulation of the miR-29 family related with the subsequent up-regulation of extracellular matrix genes after TGF-beta treatment in synergy with lipopolysaccharide and nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kappab). Consequently, miR-29 was proposed to be a novel target for the development of therapeutic strategies as well as a potential biomarker for liver fibrosis diagnosis (59). Finally, Murakami and colleagues revealed a significant increase of 11 miRNAs in CCL4 fibrotic livers relative to that in corresponding controls. Remarkably, the human samples analyzed in this study also showed an important deregulation in the expression of several miRNAs in correlation with the advancement of fibrosis (60). In both models, the expression of 4 miRNAs (miR-199a, 199a*, 200a, and 200b) was positively and significantly correlated to the severity of the disease, suggesting the participation of these 4 miRNAs in the progression of chronic liver pathologies.

3.3. The expression of numerous miRNAs is deregulated in human HCC

Investigations carried out in human liver cancer and HCC-derived cell lines have demonstrated the aberrant expression of numerous miRNAs in hepatic cancer cells. The atypical expression of cancer-related miRNAs in HCC frequently contributes to the deregulation of tumor suppressor and/or oncogene pathways, indicating the direct and crucial role of miRNAs in liver carcinogenesis (61,62). Using microarray technologies, Murakami and colleagues first reported the miRNA expression profiles obtained with 25 pairs of HCC and adjacent non-tumor tissues (NT) as well as 9 chronic hepatitis livers (63). The authors highlighted 7 mature miRNAs and one precursor miRNA that exhibited significantly differential expression patterns between HCC and NT samples. Whereas 3 miRNAs (miR-18, pre miR-18, and miR-224) displayed a higher expression in HCC samples, 5 miRNAs appeared significantly down-regulated (miR-199a*, miR-199a, miR-195, miR-200a, and miR-125a). The classification of the samples (HCC versus NT) using a support vector machine algorithm based on these data provided a prediction accuracy of 97.8%. Moreover, a correlation between 4 miRNAs (miR-92, miR-20, miR-18, and pre-miR-18) and the degree of HCC differentiation was found, suggesting the involvement of specific miRNAs in the progression of the disease. To finish, the altered expression of some miRNAs was associated with distinct risk factors such as HBV infection (miR-96) and alcohol abuse (miR-126*).

Global transcriptomic analysis has tried to propose a molecular classification of the HCC reflecting with more accuracy the clinical and genetic characteristics of the tumor (64). In recent years, a plethora of studies also suggested that miRNAs could be used as specific signatures traducing HCC initiation and progression, which could be exploited as potential cancer biomarkers (65,66). Briefly, miR-21, miR-221, and miR-222 were widely reported to be up-regulated in HCC, whereas miR-122, miR-199, and the let-7 family members were found to be down-regulated in most studies (Table 2). Considering these data, the establishment of specific miRNA profiles could be of prime interest in the development of new diagnostic tools in liver cancer. The major reports dealing with this purpose are discussed in the following section.

3.4. miRNA expression profiling for HCC diagnosis

3.4.1. Over-expressed miRNAs in HCC

Comparative analysis of bibliographic data provides evidence of the persistent augmentation of miR-21 in liver cancer. As previously reported, miR-21 is frequently over-expressed in several types of tumors, where it acts as an oncomiR (67). In the HCC, the major over-expression of miR-21 is associated with the inhibition of the tumor suppressor PTEN and the poor differentiation of the tumor (68). In the HCC Fisher rat model, comparative studies of the miRNA profiles obtained by microarray revealed an altered expression of several miRNAs, such as miR-21, miR-130, miR-190, or let-7a, that are up-regulated in hepatoma (69). In human, the array-based profiling performed by Wong and colleagues on 18 HCC lines derived from HBV, HCV, and non-viral patients highlighted the up-regulation of miR-221, miR-222, miR-182, and miR-31 (miR-222 > miR-221 > miR-31 > miR-182). Obviously, the high deregulation of miR-221 and miR-222 was confirmed in liver samples from patients and permitted to distinguish HCC from adjacent non-tumor tissue regardless of viral association (70). In their study, Varnholt and co-workers demonstrated that miR-10a and miR-100 are significantly over-expressed in HCC patients exhibiting HCV infection and cirrhosis (71). Interestingly, the authors first validated the accessibility and the qualitative adequacy of miRNAs from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) liver tissues by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) regardless of the length of sample storage. These data are essential because they show that miRNA expression analyses can be performed reliably using not only fresh tissue but also routinely processed and FFPE stored liver tissues, which represent the main source of biological samples from HCC patients.

One major interest of miRNA profiling is certainly to contribute to the identification of putative HCC subtypes (etiology, genotype, and phenotype) that could accurately reflect the evolution of the lesion. One example is the miRNA signature associated with the hepatic stem cell-like HCC (HpsC-HCC) subtype. Using microarray-based profiling, Ji et al. established a miRNA model allowing the discrimination between the HpsC-HCC subtype and the mature hepatocyte-related HCC (72). In this study, the miR-181 conserved family was reported to be highly expressed in epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM)-positive cancer stem cells (CSCs) as well as in HpsC-HCC tumors. Remarkably, the members of this family of miRNAs appeared to be relevant markers for the assessment of hepatic CSCs and the diagnosis of the HpsC-HCC subtype (73). Ladeiro and co-workers have also identified specific miRNA expression patterns that can unambiguously differentiate between benign and malignant HCCs as well as between several subtypes of HCC tumors according to specific risk factors, oncogene and tumor suppressor gene mutations, or clinical features (74). This study firstly focused on miR-224 over-expression in HCC tumors.
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regardless of the underlying disease. Interestingly, miR-96 was more expressed in HBV-related tumors than other kinds of HCCs. Conversely, two miRNAs (miR-122a and miR-422b) have been found to be significantly down-regulated in both benign and malignant tumors, whereas miR-200c and miR-203 were under-expressed only in benign tumors. Finally, miR-126* appeared to be specifically down-regulated in alcohol-related HCC samples.

3.4.2. Down-regulated miRNAs in HCC, the case of miR-122

The primary liver cancer displays numerous genomic alterations, including chromosomal instability, CpG hyper-methylation, DNA rearrangements associated with HBV integration, DNA hypo-methylation, and, to a lesser degree, microsatellite instability (3). It has been demonstrated that the down-regulation and/or silencing of several tumor suppressor miRNAs observed in cancer cell lines can be mediated by the hyper-methylation of their promoter regions (75,76). As an example, the silencing of miR-127 can be reversed by using a combination of a DNA demethylation agent (5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine) and a histone deacetylase inhibitor (4-phenylbutyric acid), suggesting the correlation between the DNA methylome and the miRNAome.

A cumulative analysis highlighted the diagnostic value of commonly down-regulated miRNAs in the primary liver cancer (Table 2). In HCC patients exhibiting underlying HCV infection and cirrhosis, Varnolt et al. reported that miR-198 and miR-145 are up to five-fold down-regulated in hepatic tumors relative to the normal liver (71). The array-based profiling performed by Wong and colleagues on HCC cells derived from HBV, HCV, and non-viral patients also emphasized the inhibition of miR-126, miR-223, miR-321, and miR-122 regardless of the viral status (70). The case of miR-122 is of prime interest, first, because it represents by itself more than 70% of the total amount of miRNAs expressed in the liver. By using distinct silencing protocols, the overall importance of miR-122 in the regulation of the metabolism and the hepatic homeostasis has been demonstrated (77). To target miR-122 in vivo, Krutzfeldt and colleagues proposed an innovative anti-sense strategy based on cholesterol-conjugated 2′-OMe oligonucleotide and referred to as “antagomir” (78). Silencing miR-122 resulted in an increased expression of hundreds of genes known to be possible targeted by miR-122 and normally repressed in normal hepatocytes. These results strongly argue for the involvement of miR-122 in maintaining the “adult-liver” phenotype by suppressing the expression of several non-hepatic genes (79). Furthermore, the observation made by Jopling et al. showed that the replication of the HCV could be related to the expression of miR-122 in infected cells. Remarkably, HCV viral RNA can replicate in the Huh-7 cell line, which expresses miR-122, but not in HepG2 cells, which do not express miR-122. By intra-cellular sequestration of miR-122, the authors reported a marked loss of HCV RNA replication (80). To finish, a genetic interaction between miR-122 and the 5′ non-coding region of the HCV genome was also revealed, suggesting that this miRNA may represent an attractive target for the development of antiviral treatment.

Regarding the potential of miR-122 as a diagnostic biomarker in liver cancer, numerous studies have already reported the significant and specific down-regulation of miR-122 expression in both human and rodent HCC models (69,81). Obviously, miR-122 was shown as down-regulated in more than 70% of the samples obtained from HCC patients with underlying cirrhosis as well as in 100% of the HCC-derived cell lines analyzed (82). However, the aberrant expression of miR-122 in HCC could be correlated with the viral etiology of the tumor, as suggested by a study of Varnholt and co-workers, where miR-122 was significantly and unexpectedly described to be over-expressed in HCC patients exhibiting HCV infection (71). In their study, Gramantieri and colleagues also demonstrated the existence of an inverse correlation between the expression of miR-122 and cyclin G1 in primary liver carcinomas (82). miR-122 is known to target the cyclin G1, which is highly implicated in cell cycle progression. In opposition, cyclin G1 over-expression enhances cancer cell growth, whereas its silencing suppresses cell proliferation (83). In the mouse model, the absence of cyclin G1 is associated with a lower susceptibility to develop liver tumors through the increase of p53 tumor suppressor activity (84). Therefore, the converse expression of cyclin G1 consequent to miR-122 down-expression in human HCC may lead to p53 down-regulation and hepatocarcinogenesis promotion. In fact, the down-expression of miR-122 observed in the early stages of the HCC argues for its implication in early hepatocarcinogenesis (74). Due to its frequent deregulation in viral hepatitis, cirrhosis, and cancer as well as its specific and massive expression in the liver, the assessment of serum miR-122 could at last represent a reliable strategy for the non-invasive diagnosis of liver chronic pathologies.

3.5. Circulating miRNAs: Potential biomarkers for the non-invasive diagnosis of HCC

Clinically, a panel of serological biochemical markers, including aminotransferases (ALAT/ASAT) and AFP, have been used for several decades to monitor liver pathologies in a non-invasive manner. However, these methods may present some restrictions such as limited sensitivity and specificity, particularly with regard to the insidious progress of the HCC. Recently, it has been proposed that miRNAs can circulate and be conveyed in blood serum (85,86). Although serum contains ribonucleases, the existence of circulating miRNAs suggests that these molecules can resist RNase digestion. In fact, plasma miRNAs are probably protected from RNase degradation via their inclusion into lipid or lipoprotein complexes. An increasing number of reports have described this original process that is believed to involve several kinds of micro-particles (85): microvesicles (0.1-1 μm), prostasomes (50-500 nm), exosomes (10-100 nm), and apoptotic bodies (0.5-2 μm). Thereby, strong evidence argues for the existence of an inter-cellular communication involving the exosomal transfer of miRNAs (Figure 2). Numerous tumor-derived miRNAs, such as miR-21 in B-cell lymphoma (87), miR-141 in prostate cancer (88), and
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNAs under-expressed in HCC</th>
<th>miRNAs over-expressed in HCC</th>
<th>HCC etiology</th>
<th>Method(s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-18, 125a, 195, 199a, 199b, 200a</td>
<td>miR-224</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mixed HBV/HCV</td>
<td>MA, NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-92-1, 122a, 125a, 125b, 292-3p, 199a</td>
<td>miR-21, 34a, 210, 213, 222, 294, 373, 376a</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>MA, NB, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-122a, 124a, 130a, 141, 142, 143, 146, 181a, 181c, 195, 200b, 2023</td>
<td>miR-221</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Mixed HBV, HCV, ethanol</td>
<td>MX, NB, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-235</td>
<td>let-7a, 7b, 7c, 7d, 7e, 7f, 7g, 7i, miR-21, 22, 98, 126-3p, 195, 352</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mixed non HBV, HCV</td>
<td>MA, NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-139, 145, 214</td>
<td>miR-9, 9*, 21, 25, 96, 137, 151, 155, 182, 183*, 183, 186, 216, 221, 222, 224, 301, 324-5p, 374</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-101, 139, 150, 199a, 199b*, 199b, 200b, 214, 223</td>
<td>miR-18, 21, 33, 130b, 135a, 231, 301</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Mixed HBV, and/or HCV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-9*, 29c, 95, 104, 106a, 134, 137, 145, 159a, 185, 198, 199b, 204, 218, 302b, 330, 368</td>
<td>let-7g, miR-9a, 10a, 15a, 16, 21, 100, 122, 125b, 299, 320, 370</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-107, 122a, 126*, 200c, 203, 375, 422b</td>
<td>miR-10b, 21, 96, 222, 224</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Mixed HBV, HCV, ethanol</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7a, miR-22, 99a, 122a, 126, 130a</td>
<td>miR-15a, 17, 18a, 19b, 20a, 21, 27a, 92, 93, 106b, 148a, 324</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>NB, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7g, miR-1, 9, 15a, 19a, 30a, 30c, 30t, 34a, 122a, 124a, 125b, 126, 126a, 148b, 194</td>
<td>miR-185, 207, 219, 338</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>Mainly HBV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-29c, 101, 148a, 424</td>
<td>miR-25, 34a, 181a, 221, 222</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181 family</td>
<td>miR-17, 20a, 25, 92, 93, 106b</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-17-3p, 30a-3p, 30e, 92, 99a, 122a, 125b, 130a, 139, 187, 199a, 199a*, 200a, 208a, 208b, 223, 326</td>
<td>miR-16, 17-5p, 24, 25, 107, 128b, 130a, 205, 207, 221, 222, 224</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>HBV, or HCV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-338, let-7a, miR-15a, 27a, 122a, 124a, 125a, 125b, 126, 129, 143, 145, 152, 185, 194, 195, 199a, 200a</td>
<td>miR-18a, 18b, 25, 93, 127-3p, 210, 216a, 222, 224, 362-5p, 382, 491-5p, 519b-5p, 527</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mainly HBV</td>
<td>MA, NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-17, 20a, 25, 92, 93, 106b</td>
<td>miR-183, 183b, 212, 221, 222, 224, 362-5p</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mixed HBV, non HBV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-26</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>Mainly HBV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Ji et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124, 203</td>
<td>miR-22, 195, 199a, 199a*, 130a, 422b, 497</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mixed HBV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-150b (recurrence)</td>
<td>Let-7a, 7d, miR-25, 30d, 93, 103, 105, 107, 210, 320, 339, 345, 423</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mixed HBV, HCV, non HBV/HCV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7c</td>
<td>miR-221, miR-221, 34a, 93, 96, 106b, 210, 222, 425, 559a</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Mixed HBV/HCV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-27a, 100, 125a, 125b, 141, 150, 139, 145, 199, 199b, 199e, 199b, 208b, 214, 302d, 342</td>
<td>miR-9*, 21, 25, 34e, 96, 105, 182, 182*, 183, 184, 185, 190, 191, 193, 216, 219, 222, 224, 301, 320, 330, 331, 374</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mainly HBV</td>
<td>MA, RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199-3p, 199-5p</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-152</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Zeng et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-183</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-193b</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-22</td>
<td>miR-21, 221, 222</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Main HBV</td>
<td>RT-qPCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MA, Microarray; NB, Northern blot; RT-qPCR, Real time quantitative PCR.
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Figure 2. The origin of exosomes. Exosomes are accumulated within the multivesicular bodies (MVBs) as a result of endosome compartmentalization. Then, the vesicles present in the MVBs may undergo degradation (lysosome) or exocytosis. The exocytic MVBs fuse with the membrane after cell stimulation and release exosomes. The mechanisms involved in exosome cargo-sorting processes remain largely unknown.

miR-92 in colorectal cancer (89) as well as miR-25 or miR-223 in various kinds of tumors (90), were efficiently detected in the serum of patients and characterized as potential biomarkers for these diseases.

Concerning the liver, it was first reported in the mouse model that plasma miRNA expression can be associated with hepatocellular injuries induced by drug overdose (91). The effect of acetaminophen was tested and related with at least a 2-fold change of 44 serum miRNAs after treatment. Among them, 57% of the miRNAs showed higher levels in treated plasma samples. Interestingly, the most significant augmentation was observed with miR-122. By using chemical-induced carcinogenesis in a rat model, Sukata and colleagues also highlighted aberrant fluctuations of miRNAs in the serum of the animals, not only in neoplastic lesions, such as HCC, but also in preneoplastic lesions (92). These data suggested that liver cancer-specific miRNAs can circulate through the peripheral blood and potentially represent biomarkers for the early diagnosis of liver cancer. In humans, Zhang et al. have characterized the expression of miR-122 in blood serum and demonstrated the relevance of this miRNA for the non-invasive assessment of the HBV (93). Another study also showed that serum miRNA profiles can serve as biomarkers for HBV infection and HBV-positive HCC diagnosis, independently of the cirrhosis etiology. In comparison to normal livers, a specific set of miRNAs was found to be significantly up-regulated in HBV-positive HCC samples (94). Among them, miR-122 was highly up-regulated in the serum of HBV patients but not in those of HCV patients. By employing a combination of these characterized miRNAs as biomarkers, the authors could finally discriminate HCC cases from the controls or the infected non-HCC patients. Other profiling studies have been carried out in order to identify specific HCC-related miRNA profiles in the blood serum of HCC patients that could serve in clinical diagnosis (95,96). In a relevant manner, the levels of 3 miRNAs (miR-21, miR-122, and miR-223) were found to be significantly elevated in the
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Figure 3. Circulating miRNAs as biomarkers for HCC diagnosis. In a number of studies, the differential expression of circulating miRNAs in chronic hepatitis and HCC in comparison to that in healthy patients has been reported. This figure summarizes the data obtained until now that argues for the clinical potential of serum miRNAs as non-invasive biomarkers in the diagnosis of primary liver cancer. (-) inhibition and (+) promotion traduce serum miRNA modifications as compared to the healthy patients.

serum of patients exhibiting HBV infection or HBV-related HCC (97). Receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curve analyses suggested that these miRNAs may represent useful markers for routinely discriminating patients with HCC or chronic hepatitis from healthy controls. In addition, miR-21 and miR-122 were significantly higher in the patients with chronic B hepatitis than HBV-related HCC patients. A recent study also emphasized the prognostic significance of serum miR-221, which is frequently over-expressed in HCC. In this report, the high expression of miR-221 was correlated with the size of the tumor and the advancement of the disease (98). Furthermore, the overall survival rate of patients exhibiting a high level of serum miR-221 was significantly lower than that of patients showing low levels of miR-221.

To our knowledge, the major articles focusing on the existence of circulating miRNAs and their suitability for the diagnosis of HCC in humans are referenced in the present review (Figure 3). Our group previously demonstrated that miR-500, which is abundantly expressed in several cell lines and hepatic tumors, can be detected in an increased amount in the sera of HCC patients (99). Remarkably, the elevated rate of serum miR-500 was found to be significantly reduced after surgical treatment. Conversely, Shigoka et al. emphasized the low levels of serum miR-92a and their drastic augmentation after tumor resection (100). Taken together, these data suggest that circulating miRNAs are promising biomarkers, potentially useful for the early diagnosis and the monitoring of human
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HCC in a non-invasive way. Interestingly, miR-122 and miR-223 appeared redundantly over-expressed in HBV-related HCC as well as HBV-infected patients. However, to validate the clinical relevance of serum miRNAs, further studies with a larger sample size are needed. Especially, standardization methods and the choice of appropriate miRNAs as internal references are crucial. In addition, further studies should also include patients with HCV-related HCC and alcohol-related HCC as well as chemically injured livers in order to refine the characterization.

4. PROGNOSTIC RELEVANCE OF MiRNAs IN LIVER CANCER

In addition to the frequent deregulation observed in the hepatic tumors, an association has also been found between miRNA expression and the clinicopathological outcome of HCC (101,102,74). An important breakthrough in the clinical management of liver cancer came from the accurate correlation made between the alterations of cancer-related genes and the tumor phenotype. Although HCC lesions can be broadly distinguished by histological or immunological assessment, their prognosis and clinical evolution greatly vary from one individual to another. The use of a miRNA-based classification correlated with the etiology, the pathogenic, and the malignant tendency of the tumor could significantly enhance the molecular diagnosis of HCC and its classification by specifying the tumor-associated phenotypes. In this regard, several teams have reported particular miRNA expression profiles that could be considered as valuable HCC prognostic indicators (103). The achievement of such a field of investigation may provide useful markers in order to predict the HCC behavior of each patient (tumor growth, response to the treatment, and metastatic potential of the tumor). In the following section, a parallel between the cell functions affected in liver cancer and the HCC-related miRNAs aberrantly expressed is presented. In addition, the relevance of miRNA profile evaluation for the prognostic outcome of human HCC is discussed.

4.1. miRNAs and tumor growth

The alteration of oncogenes and tumor suppressors involved in cell cycle regulation is an essential step in the development and the progression of cancer. Several studies have reported the role of specific miRNAs in the regulation of the proliferation signaling pathways by a direct interaction with critical cell cycle regulators. Among those miRNAs are tumor suppressor miRNAs targeting cyclin-cyclin-dependent-kinase (Cyclin-CDK) complexes, a class of positive modulators of the cell cycle. As previously exposed, miR-122 has been reported to suppress HCC cell growth by inhibiting cyclin G1 (82). Moreover, miR-122 controls other factors involved in cell cycle progression, such as the serum response factor (SRF), the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (Igf1R) (104,105), the tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 1 (PTPN1), and the members of the septin family SEPT2 and SEPT9 (106). Recently, miR-122 has also been reported to regulate the balance between proliferation and differentiation in hepatocytes by indirectly activating the expression of hepatic functional genes, such as the cholesterol-7α hydroxylase gene (CYP7A1), through the repression of CUTL1 (107). The major miRNAs identified as regulators of cyclin-CDK complexes are miR-26a, miR-195, miR-124, and miR-185. miR-26a has been shown to induce cell cycle arrest by directly targeting cyclin D2 and E2 in liver cancer cells (108). miR-195 inhibits the G1/S transition by repressing Rb-E2F signaling through the targeting of multiple molecules, including cyclin D1, E2F3, and CDK6 (109). Moreover, CDK6 expression is also down-regulated by miR-124, whereas the cyclin D1 and the oncogene ETS1 were revealed to be directly targeted by miR-193b, inducing cell cycle arrest (110). Recently, two transcriptional targets of Six1, c-myc and cyclin A1, have been reported to be regulated by miR-185 through the translational repression of Six1 frequently up-regulated in HCC (111).

Another class of miRNAs has been identified as major negative regulators of cell cycle progression, such as miR-1, miR-22, miR-375, miR-223, let-7, miR-34a, miR-199a-3p, and miR-29a (Table 3). miR-1, a silenced miRNA in HCC through CpG-island methylation, targets the forkhead box transcription factor (FoxP1), the hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET, c-MET, and HGFRI), and the histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4) (75). In addition, HDAC4 can also be inhibited by miR-22 (112). miR-375 is an important regulator of the oncogenic yes-associated protein (YAP) (113). miR-223 down-regulates the Stat1min 1 (STMIN1), a key microtubule regulatory protein (70). let-7g may act as a tumor suppressor gene that inhibits HCC cell proliferation by down-regulating the oncogene c-Myc and up-regulating the tumor suppressor gene p16 (INK4A) (114). miR-34a was also reported to assure G1 phase regulation in the HCC cell line HepG2 by initiating multiple regulatory processes (115). The PI3K/AKT pathway is another major signaling cascade controlling cell proliferation. PI3K activation can increase the activity of AKT kinase, which promotes cell growth by phosphorylating the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR). mTOR has been reported to be inhibited by miR-199a-3p, leading to the enhancement of the G1/S transition (116). More recently, miR-29a was shown to have a positive therapeutic effect in liver cancer cells by inhibiting cell growth and inducing cell apoptosis (117).

Recent evidence suggests that oncogenic miRNAs contribute to tumor cell proliferation in part by regulating checkpoints and cell cycle progression. For instance, miR-106b promotes the G1/S transition by directly targeting p21 (118). On the other hand, miR-125b over-expression has been reported to suppress HCC cell line growth by increasing p21 expression (119). More recently, the G1/S was also reported to be regulated by miR-373 through the inhibition of the protein phosphatase 6 catalytic subunit (PP66C), a negative cell cycle regulator (120). In line with these findings, the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 has been evidenced to be targeted by miR-222 and miR-221 (121-123). These two miRNAs, as well as miR-21, also suppress the expression of the tumor suppressor PTEN (124,68). Furthermore, miR-221 was
identified as a negative regulator of the DNA damage-inducible transcript 4 (DDIT4), a modulator of the mTOR pathway (123).

Another class of over-expressed miRNAs has been demonstrated to play pivotal roles in hepatocarcinogenesis via potential growth-enhancer properties. Interestingly, miR-18a prevents the translation of the estrogen receptor-alpha (ER-alpha) by directly targeting ESR1, thereby blocking the protective effects of estrogen and promoting HCC development (125). A recent article has demonstrated that cell growth and the self-renewal of CSCs in the HCC are regulated by miR-130b through inhibition of the tumor protein 53-induced nuclear protein 1 (TP53INP1) (126). Moreover, miR-191 has been proposed as a good candidate target for HCC therapy. Indeed, this miRNA promotes HCC cell proliferation by negatively regulating the expression of the transmembrane channel-like 7 (TMC7), IL1A, a member of the interleukin-1 cytokine family, and SOX4, a DNA damage sensor up-regulated in many cancers that promote cell cycle arrest and apoptosis as well as inhibit tumorigenesis in a p53-dependant manner (127). The tumor suppressor gene DLC-1, a Rho GTPase-activating protein, frequently deleted in HCC and other solid human tumors, was also identified as a direct target of miR-141 (43). Finally, a recent miRNA profiling of HCC samples highlighted miR-517a, which belongs to a family of poorly characterized miRNAs from chromosome 19q13.42, as an oncogenic miRNA that promotes proliferation and tumor progression (128).

4.2. miRNAs and HCC metastasis

Metastasis and recurrence are major concerns for the long-term survival of HCC patients after curative resection. The identification of biomarkers correlated with the underlined mechanisms of these processes may represent a significant advance for the clinical prognosis of HCC patients in order to predict the evolution of the tumor. Over the last years, several miRNAs have been identified as upstream regulators of specific genes involved in the metastasis and the invasion processes, acting as key factors in liver cancer outcome. Among those miRNAs, two subclasses can be distinguished: the pro-metastatic miRNAs that contribute to the migration/invasion of HCC cells and the anti-metastatic miRNAs acting as suppressors of metastasis.

4.2.1. Pro-metastatic miRNAs and their targets in HCC

First, the member of the oncogenic miR-106b family, miR-17-5p, has been reported as over-expressed in HCC, leading to the enhancement of HCC cell migration and proliferation through a mechanism that involves the activation of the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase MAPK pathway and an increased phosphorylation of heat shock protein 27 (HSP27) (118,129), miR-151, a frequently amplified miRNA on 8q24.3, co-expressed with the host gene FAK, significantly increases tumor invasion and metastasis of HCC by directly targeting RhoGDIA, a putative metastasis suppressor in HCC, leading to the activation of Rac1, Cdc42, and Rho GTPases. In addition, miR-151 can function synergistically with FAK to enhance
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HCC cell motility and spreading (130). Moreover, Galphai 2 (GNAI2) was identified as the direct and functional target of miR-30d, an up-regulated miRNA in HCC that is also amplified on chromosome 8q24 (131). The expression levels of both mir-151 and miR-30d correlate with the intrahepatic metastasis of HCC (130,131). A study performed using metastatic HBV-related HCC demonstrated that nuclear factor NF-kappaB mediated the increased expression of miR-143, a miRNA that favors the invasive and metastatic behavior of liver tumor cells by repressing FNDC3B (37). More recently, miR-517a has been identified as an over-expressed miRNA in HCC that promotes tumorigenesis and metastatic dissemination in vivo (128).

Other miRNAs belong to the pro-metastatic subclass and have been shown to be highly expressed in HCC, such as miR-21, miR-221, miR-222, and miR-181b (Table 4). miR-21 contributes to HCC growth and spread by repressing the tumor suppressor RHOB (132) as well as by modulating PTEN expression and PTEN-dependent pathways involved in mediating the phenotypic characteristics of cancer cells, such as migration and invasion (68). Moreover, PTEN is targeted by miR-221 and miR-222, which also regulate the expression of the protein phosphatase 2A subunit B (PPP2R2A) and TIMP3, an inhibitor of metalloproteases. Thus, miR-221 and miR-222 over-expression enhance cellular migration through the activation of the AKT pathway and metalloproteases (124,133). Furthermore, the aberrant expression of miR-221 has been proposed for predicting local recurrence and distant metastasis after curative surgery (134). Finally, TIMP3 has also been reported as a target of miR-181b. Indeed, Wang et al. demonstrated the induction of miR-181b induced by TGF-beta and the enhancement of the matrix metalloproteases MMP2 and MMP9 activity by decreasing TIMP3 level, thus promoting the growth, survival, migration, and invasion of HCC cells (52).

4.2.2. Anti-metastatic miRNAs and their targets in HCC

MicroRNAs can actively participate to the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). As an example, p53 enhances the expression of the miR-200 family, known to repress the EMT-inducer transcription factors ZEB/2 (135). Consequently, p53 mutation leads to miR-200 inhibition and ZEB1/2 up-regulation, promoting EMT. On the other hand, several anti-metastatic-related miRNAs, known to be frequently down-regulated in HCC, have been described to regulate the MET signaling pathway. The MET receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) is frequently up-regulated in different types of cancers and amplified during the metastatic transition of primary liver tumors. Numerous genes downstream of the MET signaling pathway are involved in the regulation of various cellular functions, including mitosis, proliferation, angiogenesis, tumor cell invasion, and metastasis. Furthermore, the MET-induced gene expression signature is shared by HCC and almost all liver metastases (136). miR-1, a down-regulated miRNA in HCC through CpG-island methylation, has been described as a negative regulator of MET (75). miR-34a was also reported to suppress tumor invasion and migration in HCC patients by directly targeting MET and, thus, to decrease MET-induced phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2) (137). miR-199a-3p, another miRNA with MET as a direct target, can inhibit mTOR, leading to G1-phase arrest and reduced invasive capability (116). In addition, miR-23b targets MET and the urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA), a critical functional downstream regulated by the HGF/c-Met signaling pathway, thereby decreasing the migration and proliferation abilities of HCC cells (138).

Other anti-metastatic miRNAs have been reported to be down-regulated in HCC such as miR-122, miR-125b, miR-139, miR-193b, let-7g, miR-185, miR-142-3p, miR-181a, miR-34a, and miR-199a-5p (Table 4). miR-122 has been described as a negative regulator of ADAM10 and ADAM17 (a disintegrin and metalloprotease family 10 and 17, respectively), both of which are obviously involved in metastasis (104,81). More recently, miR-122 was reported to target the matrix metalloproteinase MMP7 and paxillin (PXN) (106), the latter of which interacts with a number of proteins involved in the organization of the actin cytoskeleton, which is required for cell motility and implicated in a variety of biological processes, including tumor metastasis. miR-125b also inhibits HCC cell migration and invasion by repressing LIN28B, an RNA-binding protein highly expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma, which regulates tumor formation and invasion through the coordinated repression of the let-7/mir-98 family and the induction of multiple oncogenic pathways in HCC (119). Recently, miR-139, whose down-regulation in HCC is associated with a poor prognosis and metastatic feature, was reported to interact with Rho-kinase 2 (ROCK2) (139), a frequently over-expressed factor in primary liver cancer that plays a significant role by regulating cytoskeletal events and contributes to the invasion of HCC (140). miR-34a also inhibits cell migration and invasion by repressing the expression of several cytoskeleton proteins (115), let-7g levels in metastatic HCCs were significantly lower than those in the metastasis-free HCCs and inversely correlated with the levels of type I collagen alpha2, which was experimentally validated as a direct target of let-7g (141). The oncogene Six1 is frequently deregulated in aggressive forms of cancers and repressed by miRNA-185, a tumor suppressor miRNA that impedes anchorage-independent growth and cell migration (111). Another miRNA that represses metastatic characteristics in HCC cells is miR-181a, reported to directly target the osteopontin (OPN), a variably expressed and secreted glycoprophoprotein that mediates the growth and metastases of HCC (142). In addition, miR-193b was reported to inhibit the invasion and migration of HCC cells by directly targeting cyclin D1 and ETS1 (110). The discoidin domain receptor-1 (DDR1) tyrosine kinase, involved in the cell invasion-related signaling pathway, represents a potential target of miR-199a-5p, a miRNA significantly down-regulated in 65.2% of HCC tissues (143). Recently, miR-142-3p has been included in the anti-metastatic miRNA subclass because of targeting RAC1, a factor that regulates diverse cellular events in HCC cells, including migration and invasion (144).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>miRNAs</th>
<th>Target(s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated</td>
<td>miR-1</td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>Li et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in HCC</td>
<td>miR-23b</td>
<td>MET, uPA</td>
<td>Salvi et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>Li et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>ADAM10</td>
<td>Bai et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tsai et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-125b</td>
<td>MMP7, PXN</td>
<td>Bout et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-139</td>
<td>ROCK2</td>
<td>Liang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-142-3p</td>
<td>RAC1</td>
<td>Wong et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-181a</td>
<td>OPN</td>
<td>Wu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-185</td>
<td>Six1</td>
<td>Imam et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-193b</td>
<td>Cyclin D1, ETS1</td>
<td>Xu et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-199a-3p</td>
<td>MET, mTOR</td>
<td>Fornari et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-199a-5p</td>
<td>DDR1</td>
<td>Shen et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-expressed</td>
<td>miR-17-5p</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>RHOB</td>
<td>Connolly et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-30d</td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Meng et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-143</td>
<td>FNDC3B</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-151</td>
<td>RhodGDI</td>
<td>Ding et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>TIMP3</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-221</td>
<td>PTEN, TIMP3</td>
<td>Gambardella et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-222</td>
<td>PTEN, TIMP3</td>
<td>Gambardella et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-517a</td>
<td>PPP2R2A</td>
<td>Wong et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNAs in HCC diagnosis and prognosis</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3. miRNAs, apoptosis, and anti-HCC drug resistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The apoptotic process is one of the major barriers that must be bypassed by the malignant cell during transformation and tumor progression. Frequently, cancer cells acquire the ability to evade induced apoptosis and, therefore, are able to survive in the tumor environment. The Bcl-2 family is one of the main actors in the control of cell apoptosis by modulating the signaling of the mitochondrial death program. This family is composed of two groups of proteins that exhibit either pro-apoptotic (Bim, Bmf, Bax, Bak, Bid) or anti-apoptotic properties (Bcl-2, Bcl-w, Bcl-xL, Mcl-1). The results of several studies have shown that miRNAs can facilitate the apoptosis bypass by directly targeting the Bcl-2 family genes in HCC cells. Microarray analysis identified the let-7 family as being down-regulated in the HCC line Huh-7 in comparison with primary human hepatocytes (145). More precisely, let-7c or let-7g negatively regulates the expression of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-xL by targeting its 3'-UTR in both Huh-7 and HepG2 cell lines. These data suggest that a low expression of let-7 contributes to the Bcl-xL augmentation observed in the HCC. In addition, let-7c over-expression can enhance the apoptosis of hepatoma cells in cooperation with the anti-cancer drug Sorafenib. In a similar manner, it has been demonstrated that the forced expression of miR-101, normally highly down-regulated in HCC lines and HCC tumors, can exert a pro-apoptotic action by targeting Mcl-1 (146). Another anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member has been identified to be directly targeted by the hepatospecific miR-122 (147), a miRNA significantly down-regulated in HCC, as reported previously. Experimentally, the miRNA and protein level of Bcl-w can be repressed by miR-122 over-expression in human HCC lines, subsequently reducing cell viability. Ma et al. also showed that adenoviral vector-mediated expression of miR-122 induced the apoptosis and cell cycle arrest of liver cancer cell lines 148). In a therapeutic perspective, Fornari and co-workers assayed the effect of restoring miR-122 expression on triggering chemotherapy-induced apoptosis using doxorubicin and demonstrated that miR-122, as well as cyclin G1 silencing, increases sensitivity to the treatment (149). Moreover, in patients who underwent a surgical resection, lower miR-122 levels were associated with a shorter time to recurrence, whereas higher cyclin G1 expression was related to lower survival rates. Xiong and colleagues also showed that the miR-29 level is significantly reduced in HCC tissues and associated with poor survival rates (150). Conversely, enhanced expression of miR-29 dramatically increases HCC cell sensitivity to various apoptotic signals and suppresses the ability of hepatic cell lines to form tumors in vivo. The authors of this work also described that both anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 and Mcl-1 are directly targeted by miR-29, leading to apoptosis promotion. As a consequence, the crucial role of miRNAs in the regulation of apoptotic processes as well as their potential application in prognosis prediction can be considered (Figure 5). Indeed, all the miRNAs presented above are frequently down-regulated in HCC, thus making HCC cells more resistant to chemotherapy-induced apoptosis, at least by the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic gene expression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, miRNAs can also target the pro-apoptotic members of the Bcl-2 family and carry anti-apoptotic effects. For example, miR-25, a member of the miR-106b-25 cluster that is over-expressed in HCC, exerts an anti-apoptotic effect by targeting and inhibiting Bim (151). In addition, Gramantieri and collaborators revealed that HCC tissues exhibit an inverse correlation between miR-221 and the expression of Bmf, as well as a direct correlation between Bmf and the activated caspase-3 (152). In HCC, miR-221 over-expression is associated with a
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Table 5. Apoptosis-related miRNAs aberrantly expressed in HCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>miRNA(s)</th>
<th>Target(s) related-expression</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated in HCC</td>
<td>Let-7c (+) BCL-XL</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Shtama et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Let-7g (+) Bcl-2, (+) Mlc-1</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Xu et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-13b (+) Bcl-2, (+) Mlc-1</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Su et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-122 (+) Bcl-2, (+) Mlc-1</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Lin et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-199a-3p (+) c-MET, (+) mTOR</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Fornari et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-223 (+) Stat3 (anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis, drug resistance)</td>
<td>Wong et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-expressed in HCC</td>
<td>miR-21 (+) PTEN (tumor suppressor)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Meng et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-25 (-) Bim (pro-apoptosis)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Li et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-183 (-) PDCD4 (pro-apoptosis)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Li et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-299 (+) Mcl-1 (anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Garamanti et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-302 (+) PTEN (tumor suppressor)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Garofalo et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-222 Induces drug resistance</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-224 (-) API-1 (anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>(anti-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>miR-602 (-) RASSF1A</td>
<td>(tumor suppressor, pro-apoptosis)</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TRAIL-induced apoptosis resistance, * drug resistance to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis

more aggressive phenotype with tumor dispersion and a reduced time to recurrence after surgery. In vitro, the enforced expression of miR-221 causes Bmf down-regulation, whereas miR-221 silencing induces its up-regulation and leads to an increase of apoptotic cell death.

MicroRNAs can also regulate programmed cell death by targeting other apoptosis-related genes. The most studied case is certainly miR-21, which is widely over-expressed in HCC tumors and cell lines (68). As miR-21 targets the tumor suppressor PTEN, its high expression in HCC may contribute to the promotion of HCC growth and spread. Moreover, the loss of PI3K pathway inhibition by PTEN is associated with major augmentation of cancer cell survival, leading to increased chemotherapy drug resistance. The abnormal and sustained activation of the PI3K pathway can also contribute to significant radio-resistance of hepatic tumors. Importantly, Tonimaru et al. demonstrated that miR-21 over-expression is responsible for the drug resistance of HCC cells to the chemotherapeutic combination interferon-alpha/5-fluorouracil (IFN-alpha/S-FU). Indeed, the transfection of HCC cell lines with miR-21 precursors increases IFN-alpha/5-FU resistance, whereas the use of anti-miR-21 renders the cells sensitive to the treatment (153). In addition, the limited expression level of miR-21 in human HCC tissue specimens was significantly associated with a favorable response to the IFN-alpha/5-FU combination therapy and the survival rate of the patients. Wang and coworkers analyzed the expression profiles of 157 miRNAs from 19 HCC patients and found that 22 miRNAs have abnormal up/down-regulated expression (154). Among them, miR-224 was the most significantly up-regulated miRNA. The authors demonstrated that miR-224 increases cell proliferation and sensitizes cells to apoptosis by targeting the apoptosis inhibitor-5 (API-5). Thus, the expression of miR-224 was found to be inversely correlated with the expression of API-5 in HCC patients. In their article, Yang and colleagues showed that miR-602 expression is aberrantly increased in chronic HBV-related hepatitis, cirrhosis, and HCC relative to the normal liver (38). In addition, the specific expression of the miR-602 target, the tumor suppressor RASSF1A, was significantly decreased in the same samples. To finish, the inhibition of miR-602 in hepatoma cell lines in vitro leads to an increase of RASSF1A, promotes cell apoptosis, and inhibits cell proliferation. In another study, miR-223 has been shown to be down-regulated in HCC cell lines regardless of associated viral infection (70). The use of miR-223 precursors in HBV, HCV, and non-HBV/non-HCV cell lines revealed a consistent inhibitory effect on cell viability. The tumor suppressor effect of miR-223 probably involves the STMN1, one of its downstream targets. As previously reported STM1 is a key microtubule-regulatory protein that is known to be frequently over-expressed in HCC, promoting cell viability and proliferation as well as decreasing apoptosis of HCC cells.

Numerous studies have already reported the dramatic role of aberrantly expressed miRNAs in the apoptosis of HCC cells as well as in drug resistance (124,127,155), as shown in Table 5. Among the most significant reports, miR-183 was identified as frequently up-regulated in HCC tissue samples and targeting programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4), a pro-apoptotic molecule involved in the TGF-beta1-induced apoptosis (156). Therefore, miR-183 is believed to play a major role in HCC development by significantly repressing PDCD4 expression and enhancing apoptosis inhibition. Fornari and colleagues showed that miR-199a-3p repression observed in HCC leads to the over-expression of mTOR and c-MET, two predictive targets of this miRNA. Conversely, the restoration of miR-199a-3p reduces the growth and invasive properties of HCC cell, whereas sensitivity to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis is increased (116). In addition, the analysis of human HCC tissues revealed an inverse correlation linking miR-199a-3p and mTOR, as well as shorter time of recurrence after HCC resection in the patients with lower miR-199a-3p. As a matter of fact, it is now undeniable that the expression of cancer-related miRNAs may predict the risk of tumor recurrence following curative resection. In particular, the expression of
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miR-15b in HCC cells has been reported to be negatively correlated with the reappearance of the disease (157). Thus, the high expression of miR-15b predicts a low risk of tumor recurrence following surgical resection. Experimentally, targeting miR-15b with antagonists increases HCC cell proliferation and inhibits the TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-induced apoptosis in vitro, while miR-15b precursor transfection decreases proliferation and enhances apoptosis by repressing the anti-apoptotic Bel-2. Consequently, the expression profiling of apoptosis-associated miRNAs may help to predict the drug resistance level of HCC tumors in patients. Besides their prognostic significance, modulating the expression of these specific miRNAs may represent a valuable method in order to promote apoptosis-sensitizing strategies for HCC treatment.

5. MIRNA PROFILING FOR THE CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS AND PROGNOSIS OF HCC: A REASONABLE PERSPECTIVE?

One of the objectives regarding the global-scale studies carried out in hepatic cancer was to identify the molecular actors contributing to the progression of the HCC from normal liver. The variability observed between patients regarding the prognosis of the disease shows that the HCC comprises several distinct biological phenotypes resulting from the activation of different oncogenic pathways during the tumorigenesis process. Consequently, the molecular profiling of miRNAs, as well as genes, proteins, and other molecules, appeared essential to identify the factors participating in hepatocarcinogenesis with the goal to develop accurate biomarkers for the diagnosis and precise staging of HCC. The accumulated evidence of the specific and frequent deregulations of miRNA expression in chronic hepatitis and liver cancer suggests their potential as promising biomarkers for these pathologies. To date, more than 500 articles related to microRNA and liver have been published since 2002. Among them, several miRNAs are reported to be significantly and differently expressed between HCCs and non-tumor adjacent tissues as well as between different HCC subtypes, highlighting the diagnostic and prognostic relevance of miRNA profiling (66,158).

In this context, the consideration of particular miRNA expression patterns associated with distinct pathological parameters could become useful for the clinical classification and prognostic stratification of the HCC. Presently, the tumor advancement (number/size of nodules, presence of vascular invasion, and extra-hepatic spread), the alteration of the hepatic functions, and the presence of portal hypertension are considered for the prognostic purpose and choice of treatment. Because the HCC is heterogeneous from both molecular and clinical perspectives, the available assessment methods of liver cancer could be improved and redefined by the incorporation of molecular data. The fact that each type of tumor is now believed to be associated with a specific profile of miRNA argues that such small RNAs could represent a valuable source of molecular diagnostic markers in order to discriminate distinct forms of cancerous lesions (8,74). Regarding liver cancer, the presence of CSCs frequently contributes to HCC relapse due to the strong potential of these cells to metastasize as well as their resistance to cancer therapies. The miR-181 family has been reported to be up-regulated in HpSC-HCC (72) and may represent useful markers to identify HCC cases with a cancer stem cell feature, generally associated with poor prognosis. The c-MET expression signature also characterizes a subset of human HCCs associated with poor prognostic value and aggressive phenotype.

Budhu et al. defined 20 miRNAs as an HCC metastasis signature and showed that this 20 miRNA-based profile was capable of predicting survival and the recurrence of HCC in patients with multi-nodular or single tumors, including those at an early stage of the disease (159). Remarkably, the highlighted expression profile appeared to be a significant predictor of patient prognosis when compared to other clinical parameters, suggesting the clinical relevance of this miRNA signature. Furthermore, Li and co-workers demonstrated that a high expression of miR-125b is correlated with a good survival of HCC patients and can provide significance for the prognosis of liver cancer (160). Thus, profiling of aberrantly expressed cancer-related miRNAs might establish the basis for the development of a more rational system of classification in HCC diagnosis. It is plausible that miRNA signatures could help in the understanding of the advancement of liver cancer, allowing the consideration of more appropriate therapeutic strategies and a significant amelioration of patient survival.

Using miRNA profiling, several groups emphasized a plethora of miRNA signatures that might enable the differentiation of cancerous HCC tissues and normal liver tissues. Notably, each study highlighted a unique profile frequently lacking any miRNAs in common, which might be due to the difference of patient ethnicity, gender, underlying cause of liver disease, standardization method, control samples used (adjacent non-tumor tissue or hepatic samples from healthy donors), and/or techniques used for the screening. The fact that miRNA expression is heterogeneous between the studies also indicates that different miRNAs might be associated with unique tumor biology and distinct HCC outcomes. Several explanations argued for the existence of specific miRNA profiles reflecting the heterogeneity of the primitive liver cancer. As an example, HBV and HCV are both etiological agents for the development of the HCC that can alter miRNA expression. As shown previously, several miRNAs are clearly differentially expressed in the patients developing HCC with chronic HCV or HBV infection. Interestingly, the deregulation of most of these miRNAs is also associated in both cases, indicating the dual roles of miRNAs in viral replication and HCC development.

In addition to their potential implication in HCC diagnosis, the unique miRNA expression profiles previously reported and their correlation related to the advancement of the disease might also open the way to the development of novel curative strategies. Recent evidence indicates that the use of miRNA precursors or antagoniR might convincingly contribute to the development of
promising miRNA-based therapeutic compounds. As an example, Kota and colleagues showed that a systemic administration of miR-26a in mice inhibits the proliferation of HCC cells, leading to a remarkable slow-down of tumor progression without toxicity (108). These findings suggest that delivery of tumor suppressor type miRNAs, which are highly expressed and, therefore, tolerated in normal tissues but lost in transformed cells, may provide a general strategy for miRNA replacement therapies. In addition, the over-expression of miR-34 may also have a significant therapeutic value in both HCC and cholangiocarcinoma as miR-34 has been reported to target the anti-apoptotic protein family Bcl-2 and the receptor tyrosine kinase c-Met, two major oncogenes over-expressed in the HCC (137,161). In the drug-resistant EpCAM-positive HpSC-HCC, miR-181 conversely represents an attractive target, as the inhibition of this family of miRNAs significantly reduces the tumor potential of hepatic CSCs (72). The authors of this study hypothesized that combining chemotherapy and anti-miR-181 could be an interesting alternative of treatment for the resistant form of HpSC-HCC.

The primary liver cancer is a complex disease with a large variety of etiologic factors leading to multiple underlying tumorigenesis mechanisms. The lack of good molecular markers for the early diagnosis and the rational treatment of HCC represent a major challenge in clinical hepatology. However, the observations reviewed here give rise to significant hope that cancer-related miRNA expression signatures could serve in the establishment of a more relevant HCC grading system and provide new tools in order to improve the assessment of the lesion. Finally, developing non-invasive biomarkers for the diagnosis and the prognosis of liver cancer by using circulating miRNA profiling will certainly open the way to new possibilities in the management of HCC patients.
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